

April 8, 2020

Ms. Kelly Kinkaid PG; Licensed Professional Geologist

Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection
Bureau of Waste Management
909 Elmerton Avenue
Harrisburg, PA 17110-8200

REF: 4th Quarter 2019 Form 19, 50 and 52 Submittal
Frey Farm Landfill; BWM Permit #101389

Dear Ms. Kinkaid:

In accordance with the Municipal Waste Management Regulations, the Lancaster County Solid Waste Management Authority (LCSWMA) continues the above-referenced monitoring program.

LCSWMA provided the 4th Quarter 2019 data on January 9, 2020 to ARM Group and then ARM Group has provided an analysis for the groundwater, leachate, and contiguous landowners data. ARM Group's report is attached to this submittal.

Groundwater:

In accordance with the Municipal Waste Management Regulations, the Lancaster County Solid Waste Management Authority (LCSWMA) continues the above-referenced monitoring program.

Attached are the Forms 19, laboratory reports, and data export excel file for uploading the data into your LandLinks Access database.

Leachate:

In accordance with both the Pennsylvania Municipal Waste Management and the Federal Subtitle D Regulations, the Lancaster County Solid Waste Management Authority (LCSWMA) continues to complete the above referenced monitoring program. Enclosed is the Department's Form 50 - "Municipal Waste Landfill Leachate Analysis" for the quarterly monitoring period.

- LCSWMA continues to monitor the Form 50 parameters from location FFLEINFS. This location is the leachate collection system for the Frey Farm Landfill and represents "raw" leachate characteristics for the facility, as collected from the six (6) landfill cells.
- As indicated on the Form 50, the primary leachate collection and secondary detection systems encompass approximately 93 acres of drainage area.

- At DEP's request, we have included analyses of the four (4) secondary individual detection zone discharges with an individual Form 50 for each.
- Included on the CD are files which contains the FFLEINFS data in a compatible format for your LandLinks software. The CD also contains a pdf file of the laboratory results and the Form 50.

In accordance with Section 273.255(d)(1)(2) and (3) of the Municipal Waste Management Regulations, the Lancaster County Solid Waste Management Authority (LCSWMA) is providing this secondary flow report.

The 4th Quarter Frey Farm Landfill (FFLF) secondary flow was noted at 1.81 gallons per day per acre (gpdpa); which is below the regulatory limit of 100 gpdpa. The 4th Quarter secondary flow was 0.80% of the primary flow, which is below the regulatory 10% (maximum). Table 1 indicates this quarter's weekly flow information for the six (6) operational cells at the FFLF, cells 2 and 4 continue to indicate no secondary flow present.


- Consistent with all previous monitoring events, LCSWMA remains well below the secondary leachate flow threshold (100-gpdpa)

Contiguous Landowners:

Attached are the Forms 52, laboratory reports, and a data export excel file for uploading the data into your LandLinks Access database.

Please do not hesitate in contacting me if you have any questions or concerns at dbrown@lcswma.org.

Respectfully submitted,



Daniel A. Brown
Environmental Compliance Manager

Enclosures

Cc: LCSWMA: Environmental, John Ridinger, Aaron Rice
PA DEP: Ed Rawski, Randy Weiss



ARM Group LLC

Engineers and Scientists

April 7, 2020

Mr. Daniel Brown
Environmental Compliance Manager
Lancaster County Solid Waste
Management Authority
1299 Harrisburg Pike
PO Box 4425
Lancaster, PA 17604

Re: LCSWMA Frey Farm Landfill
Permit No. 101389
Manor Township
Lancaster County, Pennsylvania
Third Quarter 2019 Water Quality Data Review
ARM Project 190783

Dear Mr. Brown:

ARM Group LLC (ARM) has prepared this assessment at the request of the Lancaster County Solid Waste Management Authority (LCSWMA) to provide an evaluation of the Fourth Quarter 2019 water quality monitoring results for Frey Farm Landfill (FFLF). As part of this evaluation, ARM reviewed the historic and Fourth Quarter 2019 laboratory analytical results for the sampled upgradient and downgradient Form 19 groundwater monitoring wells, Form 50 leachate collection and detection zones, and Form 52 contiguous private wells.

The groundwater and leachate samples collected by LCSWMA during the Fourth Quarter 2019 were analyzed for quarterly Form 19, Form 50, and Form 52 parameters, as applicable. The following narrative provides a summary of noteworthy observations of the results for the Fourth Quarter of 2019, as well as a general discussion of recent data trends.

Background/Upgradient Parameter Concentrations

To determine if the concentration of a given parameter at each groundwater monitoring location is elevated compared to the background/upgradient concentration, ARM calculated the 95% upper prediction limits (UPLs) using historical data from the upgradient well, FFMP002W (MP-2), using laboratory analytical results provided by LCSWMA from the First Quarter 2009 through the most recent quarter (Fourth Quarter 2019).

The UPL approach is used to predict the upper limit of possible future values based on a background data set. A 95% UPL established from background data represents the upper limit which will predict if an independently obtained future sample result exceeds background levels with 95% confidence. If the concentration of a given parameter in a downgradient well exceeds its established UPL, this represents a statistically significant exceedance of background groundwater quality.

To calculate the UPLs, ARM first applied the Dixon's and Rosner's Tests for outliers in ChemStat® statistical analysis software (version 6.3.0.2, Starpoint Software, Inc., ©1996-2013) to identify potential historical anomalous concentrations in MP-2. The Dixon's Test applies to populations of 3-25 values, and the Rosner's Test is valid for populations of more than 25 values. ARM identified 44 statistical outliers at a 95% significance level in the historical dataset which did not appear to be part of a long-term concentration trend. No outliers were identified from the Fourth Quarter 2019 analytical results.

The most appropriate method of calculating a UPL varies according to the distribution of each dataset. After removing outliers, ARM assessed the remaining historical MP-2 concentration data for each parameter to determine the best fitting statistical distribution (i.e., normal, lognormal, gamma or no distribution) at a 95% significance level using the EPA's ProUCL statistical analysis software (version 5.1.002, EPA, 2015). ARM then used ProUCL to calculate the 95% UPLs for each parameter, which are summarized in the enclosed **Attachment 1**. The exported ProUCL statistical calculation sheets are included in the enclosed **Attachment 2**.

For pH, a one-sided UPL is not appropriate because of the double-sided nature of this parameter. ARM assessed the downgradient pH data by investigating time-series concentration plots for identifiable trends and comparing the Fourth Quarter 2019 results to the historical range of concentrations in both the sampled well and the upgradient well.

The Interstate Technology and Regulatory Council (ITRC) recommends that a UPL should only be applied for background populations of at least 8-10 observations. Use of smaller populations containing either fewer measurements or multiple non-detections can result in skewed datasets and statistically flawed UPL calculations.

The background population is less than 8 for all volatile organic compounds (VOCs), chemical oxygen demand (COD), and total phenolics because of a historical lack of detections in MP-2. A background level could therefore not be accurately calculated for these parameters, which are labeled with asterisks in the enclosed **Attachment 1**. ARM substituted the laboratory reporting detection limit for the statistical background standard when assessing these parameters in the downgradient wells due to their historical absence in the upgradient groundwater.

The attached **Table 1** summarizes the background exceedances in the downgradient Form 19 wells during the Fourth Quarter 2019. The attached **Table 2** summarizes the background exceedances in the downgradient Form 52 wells during the Fourth Quarter 2019. Background exceedances shown in **Tables 1 and 2** denote a statistically significant increase of concentrations relative to those observed historically in the upgradient well MP-2. Close attention should be paid to results from the monitoring locations with noted water quality changes during future



sampling events to evaluate the presence of any positive or negative trends for the parameters of concern.

Individual Form 19 Well Summary

- MP-2 – No parameters are above the statistical background level in this upgradient well for the Fourth Quarter 2019, indicating that groundwater quality appears relatively stable upgradient of the site. Alkalinity, ammonia-N, calcium, manganese, potassium, sodium, specific conductance (SpC), total dissolved solids (TDS), and total organic carbon (TOC) concentrations increased rapidly in 2012 to historical high levels. All these parameters have returned to apparently stable, long-term trends in line with historical average levels since 2014. pH has fluctuated over a range of approximately 0.5 unit over the past several years but appears to have a steady long-term trend. All other Form 19 analytical parameters appear to be stable and within historical concentration ranges.
- MP-5 – Parameters above background in this well include ammonia-N, calcium, chloride, magnesium, sodium, SpC, TDS, and total organic carbon (TOC). Concentrations of these parameters historically appeared stable until an increase in 2018. These concentrations have decreased over the past five quarters and now generally appear in line with the historical averages. pH appears to mimic the trend observed in the upgradient well at levels approximately 0.6 unit higher, on average, while fluctuating over a slightly wider range.
- MP-15 – Magnesium is above background in this well and appears to be increasing in concentration since early 2018. pH appears to mimic the trend observed in the upgradient well at levels approximately 0.7 unit higher, on average, while fluctuating over a slightly wider range.
- MP-16 – Chloride, magnesium, and sodium levels are above background in this well. Concentrations of these parameters appear to have a long-term stable trend with short-term fluctuations. pH appears to mimic the trend observed in the upgradient well at levels approximately 0.8 unit higher than background, on average.
- MP-17 – Parameters above background in this well include calcium, chloride, magnesium, manganese, sodium, SpC, TDS, and TOC. Concentrations of most of these parameters appear to be increasing over time. Two instances of apparent rapid increases in concentration occurred during 2012 and 2016. After both events, these parameter levels have generally appeared to stabilize. pH appears to mimic the trend observed in the upgradient well at levels approximately 0.8 unit higher than background.
- MP-18 – Parameters above background in this well include chloride, magnesium, manganese, sodium, SpC, and TOC. Concentrations of these parameters appeared to spike during the First Quarter 2018 sampling event but have since returned to near historical average levels. pH appears to mimic the trend observed in the upgradient well at levels approximately 0.5 unit higher, on average.



- MP-19 – Chloride is above background in this well and appears to be increasing slowly in concentration over time. pH appears to mimic the trend observed in the upgradient well at levels approximately 1.6 units higher, on average.
- MP-25 – Chloride and magnesium levels are above background in this well. Concentrations of these parameters appear to be fluctuating rapidly and increasing slowly over time. pH appears to be increasing slowly since 2016 and is currently approximately 1.3 units higher than background.
- MP-28 – Parameters above background in this well include chloride, magnesium, sodium, TDS, and TOC. Chloride, sodium, and TDS concentrations appear to be elevated yet stable over time. Magnesium and TOC concentrations appear to be decreasing as a long-term trend with occasional fluctuations. pH appears to mimic the trend observed in the upgradient well at levels approximately 0.6 unit higher, on average, while fluctuating over a slightly wider range.
- MP-29 – Chloride levels are above background in this well and appear to fluctuate between 20-160 mg/L in a seasonal pattern, but there does not appear to be a long-term increasing or decreasing trend. pH appears to mimic the trend observed in the upgradient well at levels approximately 0.5 unit higher, on average.
- MP-2DW – Parameters above background in this well include ammonia-N, calcium, chloride, iron, magnesium, manganese, sodium, SpC, TDS, and turbidity. These parameter concentrations appear to have increased between the Third Quarter 2017 and Fourth Quarter 2018 sampling events. They generally appear to be following a decreasing trend during subsequent quarters. pH appears to mimic the trend observed in the upgradient well at levels approximately 2.2 units higher, on average.
- MP-2SW – Parameters above background in this well include chloride, iron, sodium, SpC, TDS, TOC, and turbidity. Chloride, sodium, SpC, TDS levels appear to be decreasing over time. Iron, TOC, and turbidity appear to be increasing over time with occasional fluctuations. pH appears to mimic the trend observed in the upgradient well at levels approximately 0.8 unit higher, on average.
- MP-31 – Parameters above background in this well include iron, manganese, and turbidity. These parameter concentrations appear to be increasing slowly since the First Quarter 2018 sampling event. pH appears to mimic the trend observed in the upgradient well at levels approximately 2.1 units higher, on average, while fluctuating over a wider range.
- MP-32 – Parameters above background in this well include ammonia-N, iron, manganese, and turbidity. These parameter concentrations appear to be fluctuating rapidly but do not appear to show a long-term increasing or decreasing trend. pH appears to mimic the trend observed in the upgradient well at levels approximately 1.8 units higher, on average, while fluctuating over a wider range.



- MP-33 – Parameters above background in this well include ammonia-N, chloride, iron, manganese, and turbidity. These parameter concentrations appear to be fluctuating but do not appear to show a long-term increasing or decreasing trend. pH appears to mimic the trend observed in the upgradient well at levels approximately 0.9 unit higher, on average.
- MP-3A – Magnesium levels are above background in this well but appear to be steady long-term. pH appears to be increasing slowly over time and is currently approximately 0.8 units higher than background.
- MP-4A – Parameters above background in this well include alkalinity (bicarbonate and total), calcium, chloride, magnesium, sodium, SpC, and TDS. All these parameter concentrations appear to be either stable over time or decreasing. Calcium and TDS levels appear to be fluctuating rapidly within their long-term trends. pH appears to mimic the trend observed in the upgradient well at levels approximately 1.9 units higher, on average, while fluctuating over a slightly wider range.
- MP-26R – Parameters above background in this well include chloride, magnesium, manganese, sodium, SpC, sulfate, TDS, and TOC. Most of these parameters appear to be increasing slowly since 2014. Sulfate and TOC appear to be fluctuating rapidly but not increasing long-term. pH appears to mimic the trend observed in the upgradient well at levels approximately 0.4 unit higher, on average.
- MP-30R – Parameters above background in this well include chloride, iron, magnesium, manganese, sodium, SpC, TDS, TOC, and turbidity. These parameter concentrations appear to be fluctuating across a relatively wide range of values with no apparent long-term trends. pH appears to mimic the trend observed in the upgradient well at levels approximately 0.6 unit higher, on average, while fluctuating over a wider range.

Parameters not noted above are either at or below background levels. Overall, the groundwater quality at FFLF appears to be stable. Most parameters noted as being elevated above background levels do not appear to be increasing over time. Several parameters appear to be fluctuating but do not show an apparent long-term increasing or decreasing trend. ARM will continue to closely assess the noted parameters with increasing trends to see if any changes to the trends occur over time.



Form 50 Leachate Zone Summary

ARM reviewed the historic and Fourth Quarter 2019 laboratory analytical results for sample location FFLEINFS (grab samples collected from the combined flow from FFLF's primary leachate collection lines) and four (4) manholes which represent the secondary leachate detection zones (FFMH01SS, FFMH03SS, FFMH05SS, and FFMH06SS).

Leachate flows in the primary and secondary zones appear to be generally stable over time apart from occasional fluctuations. Flows from the secondary zones appear to fluctuate seasonally, with the highest flows generally occurring in the first quarter and the lowest flows generally occurring in the third quarter.

Form 50 VOC Detections and Apparent Trends

2-butanone (MEK), 4-methyl-2-pentanone (MIK), acetone, methylene chloride, and toluene have historically been present in FFLEINFS, but none of these VOC concentrations appear to be increasing over time.

1,1-dichloroethane, 1,4-dichlorobenzene, acetone, benzene, cis-1,2-dichloroethene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes have historically been present at low levels in FFMH01SS. 1,4-dichlorobenzene levels appear to be very slowly increasing over time, and the other noted VOC concentrations appear to be stable or decreasing.

Acetone has historically been present at levels between approximately 10-30 µg/l in FFMH03SS and FFMH05SS, although concentrations do not appear to be increasing over time.

Other Form 50 Detections and Apparent Trends

Ammonia-N, barium, chloride, iron, pH, potassium, sodium, and TOC levels appear to be increasing long-term at FFLEINFS and FFMH01SS. COD, nitrate-N, SpC, sulfate, TDS, and TOC appear to be decreasing at FFMH05SS. Alkalinity, calcium, magnesium, and manganese concentrations fluctuate across a wide range of values in the historical leachate results, but no long-term trends are apparent for these parameters. ARM will continue to closely assess the noted parameters with increasing trends to see if any changes to the trends occur over time.

Form 50 MCL Exceedances and Form 19 Subtitle D Parameter Analysis

Form 19 groundwater monitoring wells are subject to additional analysis of Subtitle D parameters at the next annual sampling event if secondary leachate samples collected from an upgradient cell are found to exceed the primary maximum contaminant limit (MCL) of a regulated compound. For the Fourth Quarter 2019, the analysis for the secondary leachate sample collected from FFMH01SS resulted in MCL exceedances for barium and cadmium. FFMH03SS had MCL exceedances for cadmium and nitrate-N. FFMH06SS had an MCL exceedance for cadmium. All downgradient wells should therefore be sampled for Subtitle D Form 19 parameters at the next annual sampling event.



Form 52 Contiguous Private Wells Summary

ARM reviewed the historic and Fourth Quarter 2019 groundwater monitoring results for ten (10) contiguous privately-owned wells. Samples collected from these wells were analyzed for Form 52 parameters. The attached **Table 2** summarizes the background exceedances in the downgradient Form 52 wells during the Fourth Quarter 2019. Background exceedances shown in **Table 2** denote a statistically significant increase of concentrations relative to those observed historically in the upgradient well MP-2.

- 3044RIVERRD – Parameters above background in this well include total iron, total magnesium, methylene chloride, and dissolved potassium. Iron levels fluctuate in an apparently seasonal pattern and appear to generally trend toward an increase since 2017. Magnesium and potassium levels appear to be stable and not increasing over time. pH appears to mimic the trend observed in the upgradient well at levels approximately 0.6 unit higher, on average, while fluctuating over a slightly wider range. Methylene chloride was detected at 1.2 µg/L in this well. This is the first detection according to the historical analytical data and represents potential laboratory contamination. ARM will closely assess future results to confirm if this is an anomalous result.
- 3052RIVERRD – Dissolved potassium is above background in this well but appears to be stable long-term. pH appears to be slowly increasing since 2017 and is currently approximately 0.6 unit higher than background.
- 3056RIVERRD – Parameters above background in this well include total and dissolved magnesium and dissolved potassium. Concentrations of both parameters appear to be stable and not increasing over time. pH appears to mimic the trend observed in the upgradient well at levels approximately 0.1 unit higher, on average, while fluctuating over a slightly wider range.
- 3060RIVERRD – Dissolved potassium is above background in this well but appears to be stable long-term. pH appears to mimic the trend observed in the upgradient well at levels approximately 0.1 unit lower, on average, while fluctuating over a slightly wider range.
- 3076RIVERRD – Parameters above background in this well include chloride, dissolved potassium, and total and dissolved sodium. Chloride and sodium levels appear to be stable and not increasing over time. Potassium levels appear to be trending toward an increase since the First Quarter 2019. pH appears to mimic the trend observed in the upgradient well at levels approximately 0.2 unit higher, on average.
- 3079RIVERRD – Parameters above background in this well include chloride, total manganese, and dissolved potassium. Chloride levels fluctuate in an apparently seasonal manner but do not appear to be trending toward an increase over time. Manganese levels appear to be trending toward a long-term increase since approximately 2015. Potassium levels appear to be trending toward an increase since the First Quarter 2019. pH appears to be slowly increasing since 2017 and is currently approximately 1.2 units higher than background.



- 3088RIVERRD – Parameters above background in this well include chloride, dissolved potassium, total and dissolved sodium, SpC, and TDS. Chloride, SpC, and TDS levels appear to be steadily increasing over time since 2013. Potassium levels appear to be stable and not increasing over time. Sodium levels increased rapidly during 2013 and appear to still be increasing slowly over the subsequent years. There appears to be a seasonal fluctuation pattern in the chloride, sodium, and SpC levels, indicating that road salt application may be influencing these parameter concentration increases. pH appears to mimic the trend observed in the upgradient well at levels approximately 1.5 units higher, on average, while fluctuating over a slightly wider range.
- 3100RIVERRD – Parameters above background in this well include chloride and dissolved potassium. Concentrations of both parameters appear to be stable and not increasing over time. pH appears to mimic the trend observed in the upgradient well at levels approximately 0.4 unit higher, on average.
- 3106RIVERRD – Parameters above background in this well include dissolved calcium, chloride, total and dissolved magnesium, dissolved potassium, total and dissolved sodium, and SpC. Concentrations of all these parameters appear to be trending toward an increase since the First Quarter 2019. Since late 2015, pH appears to mimic the trend observed in the upgradient well at levels approximately 1.0 unit higher, on average.
- 3125RIVERRD – Parameters above background in this well include total and bicarbonate alkalinity, chloride, dissolved potassium, total and dissolved sodium, SpC, and TDS. Chloride levels fluctuate in an apparently seasonal manner but do not appear to be trending toward an increase over time. Sodium, SpC, and TDS levels appear to be decreasing since the Second Quarter 2018. Total and bicarbonate alkalinity and potassium levels increased during the Second, Third, and Fourth Quarters 2018 and remain elevated above background levels. pH also appears to be increasing since early 2018 and is currently approximately 2.2 units higher than background.

Form 52 parameters not noted above are either at or below background levels. ARM will continue to assess the noted apparent trends in the Form 52 results to see if any changes in the trends develop.



Closing

If you have any questions regarding this water quality data evaluation, please contact the undersigned at 717-533-8600. ARM sincerely appreciates the opportunity to assist LCSWMA with its assessment of quarterly water quality data collected at FFLF.

Sincerely,
ARM Group LLC



Ryan Brandon
Project Hydrogeologist II



Scott Wendling, P.G.
Vice President, Sr. Project Manager

Enclosed: Tables 1-2
Attachments 1-2



TABLES



Table 1. LCSWMA Frey Farm Landfill Form 19 Groundwater Monitoring Well Background Standard Comparisons - 4th Quarter 2019

Parameter	Background Standard	Units	FFMP002W	FFMP005W	FFMP015W	FFMP016W	FFMP017W	FFMP018W	FFMP019W	FFMP025W	FFMP028W	FFMP029W	FFMP02DW	FFMP02SW	FFMP031W	FFMP032W	FFMP033W	FFMP03AW	FFMP04AW	FFMP26RW	FFMP30RW
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	1*	µg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	1*	µg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	1*	µg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (EDB) (ETHYLENE DIBROMIDE)	1*	µg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	1*	µg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
ALKALINITY	145.8	mg/L	<5	55	19	35	53	30	80	37	34	14	138	19	81	90	55	20	243	50	42
AMMONIA-NITROGEN	0.313	mg/L	<0.10	0.49	<0.10	0.11	0.14	0.17	0.17	<0.10	<0.10	0.19	0.32	0.16	<0.10	0.60	0.70	<0.10	0.11	0.15	0.15
BENZENE	1*	µg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
BICARBONATE	143.2	mg/L	<5	55	19	35	53	30	80	37	34	14	138	19	81	90	55	20	243	50	42
CALCIUM, TOTAL	73.74	mg/L	20.4	79.5	11.7	29	92.9	35.5	59	21.7	41.4	14.6	107	24.7	41.2	15.2	26.7	17.6	150	72	43.1
CHLORIDE	30.97	mg/L	21.1	187	13.4	68.5	348	99.2	81.1	51.8	89.4	61.9	264	133	23.5	21.2	39.2	24.7	296	195	229
cis 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1*	µg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
COD (CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND)	15*	mg/L	<15	<15	<15	<15	<15	<15	<15	<15	<15	<15	<15	<15	<15	<15	<15	<15	<15	<15	<15
ETHYLBENZENE	1*	µg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
FLUORIDE	0.5	mg/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.5	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	0.26	<0.2	<0.2	0.20	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
IRON, TOTAL	0.185	mg/L	<0.06	<0.06	<0.06	<0.06	0.09	<0.06	<0.06	<0.06	<0.06	<0.06	0.66	1.7	3.8	7.8	6	<0.06	<0.06	<0.06	0.27
MAGNESIUM, TOTAL	10.17	mg/L	8.2	19.3	12	14.6	41.6	16.2	5.8	11.9	17.5	10.1	17	9.6	4.1	5.1	9	12.7	25.1	19.9	16.9
MANGANESE, TOTAL	0.329	mg/L	0.26	0.090	0.030	0.010	0.45	0.35	<0.0056	0.0066	0.0092	0.030	0.45	0.030	0.36	0.65	0.49	0.26	0.20	0.50	3.0
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	1*	µg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
NITRATE-NITROGEN	28.82	mg/L	20.0	3.1	14.9	10.1	2.3	5.5	0.32	8.0	18.6	3.9	10.3	14.8	<0.20	<0.20	11.6	20.0	0.30	2.1	5.9
POTASSIUM, TOTAL	10.69	mg/L	1.9	3.5	2.1	3.0	5.2	6.5	1.2	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.0	5.1	1.5	1.5	1.7	1.4	2.5	8.4	6.8
SODIUM, TOTAL	22.3	mg/L	15.0	47.8	18.9	27.8	79.2	35.8	10.5	19.5	28.0	21.2	102	88.5	10.4	13.8	14.1	12.2	83.9	64.0	112
SPEC. COND., LAB	582.1	µmho/cm	261	981	252	428	1,530	613	478	306	552	323	1,370	719	269	169	294	264	1,560	1,020	1,090
SULFATE	59.97	mg/L	23.3	52.9	36.5	26	56	39.9	16.4	23.9	26.7	6.8	29.9	29.9	45.7	<2.0	7.4	3.1	46.4	65.5	32.9
TDS (TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS)	338.6	mg/L	220	628	304	284	900	290	256	188	426	146	714	360	122	92	284	80	922	502	626
TETRACHLOROETHENE	1*	µg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
TOC (TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON)	1.06	mg/L	0.8	1.2	1.0	0.88	2.1	1.1	0.92	0.91	1.1	<0.5	0.74	1.5	0.58	0.64	<0.5	0.57	0.91	1.5	1.3
TOLUENE	1*	µg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
TOTAL PHENOLICS	0.005*	mg/L	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005
trans 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1*	µg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
TRICHLOROETHENE	1*	µg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
TURBIDITY	1.792	NTU	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	0.18	0.48	<0.10	<0.10	0.11	<0.10	0.18	6.51	62.7	14	150	5.81	<0.10	0.34	0.70	3.07
VINYL CHLORIDE	1*	µg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
XYLENES (TOTAL)	3*	µg/L	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0

Notes:

Gray text indicates a parameter non-detection.

Shaded text indicates a background standard exceedance.

* Reporting limit substituted for background standard due to lack of historical detections in the upgradient well.

Table 2. LCSWMA Frey Farm Landfill Form 52 Groundwater Monitoring Well Background Standard Comparisons - 4th Quarter 2019

Parameter	FFLF Background Standard	Units	3044 RIVER RD	3052 RIVER RD	3056 RIVER RD	3060 RIVER RD	3076 RIVER RD	3079 RIVER RD	3088 RIVER RD	3100 RIVER RD	3106 RIVER RD	3125 RIVER RD
ALKALINITY	145.8	mg/L	13	10	6	7	11	33	107	22	12	229
AMMONIA-NITROGEN	0.311	mg/L						0.21				
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY	140.5	mg/L	13	10	6	7	11	33	107	22	12	229
CALCIUM, DISSOLVED	28.22	mg/L	13.5	16.5	11.0	9.6	13.9	9.0	0.3	12.3	30.1	7.80
CALCIUM, TOTAL	73.42	mg/L	14.2	16.5	10.9	9.3	15.1	9.5	0.66	13.6	30.6	8.20
CHLORIDE	30.9	mg/L	20.5	20.6	23.9	17.2	46.4	31.8	237	38.2	155	73.6
IRON, TOTAL	0.183	mg/L	0.53								0.040	0.04
MAGNESIUM, DISSOLVED	10.84	mg/L	10.2	8.4	12.9	10.4	8.2	5.3	0.14	6.1	20.1	0.97
MAGNESIUM, TOTAL	10.15	mg/L	10.3	8.2	12.5	9.7	8.5	5.4	0.24	6.2	19.1	0.96
MANGANESE, DISSOLVED	0.531	mg/L	0.020	0.030	0.090	0.110	0.210	0.410		0.010	0.060	0.0079
MANGANESE, TOTAL	0.33	mg/L	0.020	0.030	0.080	0.090	0.190	0.360		0.010	0.050	0.0062
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	1*	µg/L	1.2*									
NITRATE-NITROGEN	28.76	mg/L	17.7	17.5	18.7	14.3	10.0		6.1	3.3	14.2	5.6
pH-LAB	NA	S.U.	6.04	5.89	5.79	5.98	5.75	6.48	6.90	6.11	5.93	7.48
POTASSIUM, DISSOLVED	1.685	mg/L	2.0	2.1	2.4	2.5	4.5	3.0	4.1	2.4	3.1	5.6
POTASSIUM, TOTAL	10.49	mg/L	1.9	1.9	2.4	2.5	4.3	2.3	3.5	1.7	2.8	4.9
SODIUM, DISSOLVED	21.81	mg/L	9.0	8.1	8.2	9.2	24.3	14.2	207	17.5	57.1	138
SODIUM, TOTAL	22.2	mg/L	8.8	8.5	8.3	8.7	24.7	13.6	207	17.6	58.7	139
SPEC. COND., LAB	575.3	µmhos/cm	213	207	220	204	271	174	1,060	200	676	660
SULFATE	59.53	mg/L				8.8	12.3	15.6	2.6	10.8	5.4	9.8
TDS (TOT. DISSOLVED SOLIDS)	337	mg/L	180	166	188	162	198	120	508	144	226	404
TOC (TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON)	1.065	mg/L	0.62	0.57	0.52						0.51	
TURBIDITY	1.747	NTU		0.31							0.11	

Notes:

Blank cells indicate parameter not detected by laboratory.

Shaded text indicates exceedance of a FFLF statistical background standard.

*Methylene chloride has not been detected in any Form 52 results since 2Q 2013. This is a common laboratory contaminant. Future results will be closely monitored to confirm if this is an anomalous result.

ATTACHMENT 1

BACKGROUND UPPER PREDICTION LIMITS



LCSWMA Frey Farm Landfill			
4th Quarter 2019 - Background Upper Prediction Limits (MP-2)			
Parameter	Distribution	Upper Prediction Limit	Unit
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NA	1*	µg/L
1,1-Dichloroethane	NA	1*	µg/L
1,1-Dichloroethene	NA	1*	µg/L
1,2-Dibromoethane	NA	1*	µg/L
1,2-Dichloroethane	NA	1*	µg/L
Alkalinity	No Distribution	145.8	mg/L
Ammonia-Nitrogen	Normal	0.311	mg/L
Benzene	NA	1*	µg/L
Bicarbonate Alkalinity	No Distribution	140.5	mg/L
Calcium, Dissolved	Normal	28.22	mg/L
Calcium, Total	No Distribution	73.42	mg/L
Chloride	Normal	30.90	mg/L
Cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	NA	1*	µg/L
Chemical Oxygen Demand	NA	15*	mg/L
Ethylbenzene	NA	1*	µg/L
Fluoride	No Distribution	0.50	mg/L
Iron, Dissolved	NA	0.066*	mg/L
Iron, Total	Lognormal	0.183	mg/L
Magnesium, Dissolved	Normal	10.84	mg/L
Magnesium, Total	Normal	10.15	mg/L
Manganese, Dissolved	Gamma	0.531	mg/L
Manganese, Total	Lognormal	0.330	mg/L
Methylene Chloride	NA	1*	µg/L
Nitrate-Nitrogen	No Distribution	28.76	mg/L
pH-Lab	NA	None**	S.U.
Potassium, Dissolved	Normal	1.685	mg/L
Potassium, Total	No Distribution	10.49	mg/L
Sodium, Dissolved	Normal	21.81	mg/L
Sodium, Total	Normal	22.2	mg/L
Spec. Cond., Lab	No Distribution	575.3	µmhos/cm
Sulfate	No Distribution	59.53	mg/L
Total Dissolved Solids	Normal	337	mg/L
Tetrachloroethene	NA	1*	µg/L
Total Organic Carbon	Normal	1.065	mg/L
Toluene	NA	1*	µg/L
Total Phenolics	NA	0.005*	mg/L
Trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	NA	1*	µg/L
Trichloroethene	NA	1*	µg/L
Turbidity	Lognormal	1.747	NTU
Vinyl Chloride	NA	1*	µg/L
Total Xylenes	NA	3*	µg/L

Notes:

"NA" denotes parameter not detected or not enough detections in MP-2 over course of historical data to develop tolerance limits.

* Reporting limit substituted for background standard due to lack of historical detections.

** One-sided background standards are not appropriate for pH. Other analysis used in report.

ATTACHMENT 2

STATISTICAL CALCULATION SHEETS



	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1				Background Statistics for Data Sets with Non-Detects								
2	User Selected Options											
3	Date/Time of Computation			ProUCL 5.13/24/2020 9:24:28 PM								
4	From File			MP-2 ProUCL Entry.xls								
5	Full Precision			OFF								
6	Confidence Coefficient			95%								
7	Coverage			95%								
8	Different or Future K Observations			1								
9	Number of Bootstrap Operations			2000								
10												
11	1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE (ug/L)											
12												
13	General Statistics											
14	Total Number of Observations			44			Number of Missing Observations			0		
15	Number of Distinct Observations			1								
16	Number of Detects			0			Number of Non-Detects			44		
17	Number of Distinct Detects			0			Number of Distinct Non-Detects			1		
18	Minimum Detect			N/A			Minimum Non-Detect			1		
19	Maximum Detect			N/A			Maximum Non-Detect			1		
20	Variance Detected			N/A			Percent Non-Detects			100%		
21	Mean Detected			N/A			SD Detected			N/A		
22	Mean of Detected Logged Data			N/A			SD of Detected Logged Data			N/A		
23												
24	Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs!											
25	Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit!											
26	The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV).											
27												
28	The data set for variable 1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE (ug/L) was not processed!											
29												
30												
31	1,1-DICHLOROETHANE (ug/L)											
32												
33	General Statistics											
34	Total Number of Observations			44			Number of Missing Observations			0		
35	Number of Distinct Observations			1								
36	Number of Detects			0			Number of Non-Detects			44		
37	Number of Distinct Detects			0			Number of Distinct Non-Detects			1		
38	Minimum Detect			N/A			Minimum Non-Detect			1		
39	Maximum Detect			N/A			Maximum Non-Detect			1		
40	Variance Detected			N/A			Percent Non-Detects			100%		
41	Mean Detected			N/A			SD Detected			N/A		
42	Mean of Detected Logged Data			N/A			SD of Detected Logged Data			N/A		
43												
44	Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs!											
45	Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit!											
46	The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV).											
47												
48	The data set for variable 1,1-DICHLOROETHANE (ug/L) was not processed!											
49												
50												

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
51	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE (ug/L)											
52												
53	General Statistics											
54	Total Number of Observations				44		Number of Missing Observations				0	
55	Number of Distinct Observations				1							
56	Number of Detects				0		Number of Non-Detects				44	
57	Number of Distinct Detects				0		Number of Distinct Non-Detects				1	
58	Minimum Detect				N/A		Minimum Non-Detect				1	
59	Maximum Detect				N/A		Maximum Non-Detect				1	
60	Variance Detected				N/A		Percent Non-Detects				100%	
61	Mean Detected				N/A		SD Detected				N/A	
62	Mean of Detected Logged Data				N/A		SD of Detected Logged Data				N/A	
63												
64	Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs!											
65	Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit!											
66	The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV).											
67												
68	The data set for variable 1,1-DICHLOROETHENE (ug/L) was not processed!											
69												
70												
71	1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (ug/L)											
72												
73	General Statistics											
74	Total Number of Observations				44		Number of Missing Observations				0	
75	Number of Distinct Observations				1							
76	Number of Detects				0		Number of Non-Detects				44	
77	Number of Distinct Detects				0		Number of Distinct Non-Detects				1	
78	Minimum Detect				N/A		Minimum Non-Detect				1	
79	Maximum Detect				N/A		Maximum Non-Detect				1	
80	Variance Detected				N/A		Percent Non-Detects				100%	
81	Mean Detected				N/A		SD Detected				N/A	
82	Mean of Detected Logged Data				N/A		SD of Detected Logged Data				N/A	
83												
84	Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs!											
85	Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit!											
86	The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV).											
87												
88	The data set for variable 1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (ug/L) was not processed!											
89												
90												
91	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE											
92												
93	General Statistics											
94	Total Number of Observations				44		Number of Missing Observations				0	
95	Number of Distinct Observations				1							
96	Number of Detects				0		Number of Non-Detects				44	
97	Number of Distinct Detects				0		Number of Distinct Non-Detects				1	
98	Minimum Detect				N/A		Minimum Non-Detect				1	
99	Maximum Detect				N/A		Maximum Non-Detect				1	
100	Variance Detected				N/A		Percent Non-Detects				100%	

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
101	Mean Detected					N/A	SD Detected					N/A
102	Mean of Detected Logged Data					N/A	SD of Detected Logged Data					N/A
103												
104	Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs!											
105	Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit!											
106	The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV).											
107												
108	The data set for variable 1,2-DICHLOROETHANE was not processed!											
109												
110												
111	ALKALINITY (mg/L)											
112												
113	General Statistics											
114	Total Number of Observations					43	Number of Missing Observations					0
115	Number of Distinct Observations					14						
116	Number of Detects					20	Number of Non-Detects					23
117	Number of Distinct Detects					14	Number of Distinct Non-Detects					1
118	Minimum Detect					5	Minimum Non-Detect					5
119	Maximum Detect					182	Maximum Non-Detect					5
120	Variance Detected					3029	Percent Non-Detects					53.49%
121	Mean Detected					42.2	SD Detected					55.03
122	Mean of Detected Logged Data					2.904	SD of Detected Logged Data					1.329
123												
124	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)											
125	Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)					2.097	d2max (for USL)					2.897
126												
127	Normal GOF Test on Detects Only											
128	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic					0.725	Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
129	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.905	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
130	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.283	Lilliefors GOF Test					
131	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.192	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
132	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level											
133												
134	Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
135	KM Mean					22.3	KM SD					41.02
136	95% UTL95% Coverage					108.3	95% KM UPL (t)					92.09
137	90% KM Percentile (z)					74.87	95% KM Percentile (z)					89.77
138	99% KM Percentile (z)					117.7	95% KM USL					141.1
139												
140	DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
141	Mean					20.97	SD					42.09
142	95% UTL95% Coverage					109.2	95% UPL (t)					92.58
143	90% Percentile (z)					74.9	95% Percentile (z)					90.2
144	99% Percentile (z)					118.9	95% USL					142.9
145	DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons											
146												
147	Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only											
148	A-D Test Statistic					1.479	Anderson-Darling GOF Test					
149	5% A-D Critical Value					0.782	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
150	K-S Test Statistic					0.263	Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF					

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	
151	5% K-S Critical Value				0.202	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level							
152	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level												
153													
154	Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only												
155	k hat (MLE)				0.718	k star (bias corrected MLE)				0.644			
156	Theta hat (MLE)				58.77	Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				65.56			
157	nu hat (MLE)				28.72	nu star (bias corrected)				25.75			
158	MLE Mean (bias corrected)				42.2								
159	MLE Sd (bias corrected)				52.6	95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar)				4.516			
160													
161	Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects												
162	GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs												
163	GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20)												
164	For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs												
165	This is especially true when the sample size is small.												
166	For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates												
167	Minimum				0.01	Mean				19.63			
168	Maximum				182	Median				0.01			
169	SD				42.7	CV				2.175			
170	k hat (MLE)				0.183	k star (bias corrected MLE)				0.185			
171	Theta hat (MLE)				107.6	Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				106			
172	nu hat (MLE)				15.7	nu star (bias corrected)				15.93			
173	MLE Mean (bias corrected)				19.63	MLE Sd (bias corrected)				45.61			
174	95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar)				1.947	90% Percentile				59.28			
175	95% Percentile				103.1	99% Percentile				225.4			
176	The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data												
177	Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods												
178					WH	HW					WH	HW	
179	95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage				118.6	140	95% Approx. Gamma UPL				77.44	82.53	
180	95% Gamma USL				241.6	341.8							
181													
182	Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates												
183	Mean (KM)				22.3	SD (KM)				41.02			
184	Variance (KM)				1682	SE of Mean (KM)				6.418			
185	k hat (KM)				0.296	k star (KM)				0.291			
186	nu hat (KM)				25.42	nu star (KM)				24.98			
187	theta hat (KM)				75.44	theta star (KM)				76.77			
188	80% gamma percentile (KM)				33.92	90% gamma percentile (KM)				66.02			
189	95% gamma percentile (KM)				103.1	99% gamma percentile (KM)				199.8			
190													
191	The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates												
192	Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods												
193					WH	HW					WH	HW	
194	95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage				94.75	93.35	95% Approx. Gamma UPL				70.24	67.31	
195	95% KM Gamma Percentile				67.13	64.09	95% Gamma USL				160.4	168.3	
196													
197	Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only												
198	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.844	Shapiro Wilk GOF Test							
199	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.905	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level							
200	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.225	Lilliefors GOF Test							

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
201	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.192	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
202	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
203												
204	Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects											
205	Mean in Original Scale					20.05	Mean in Log Scale					0.874
206	SD in Original Scale					42.51	SD in Log Scale					2.337
207	95% UTL95% Coverage					322	95% BCA UTL95% Coverage					175.1
208	95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage					179.2	95% UPL (t)					127.7
209	90% Percentile (z)					47.88	95% Percentile (z)					111.9
210	99% Percentile (z)					550.1	95% USL					2087
211												
212	Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution											
213	KM Mean of Logged Data					2.211	95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage					90.55
214	KM SD of Logged Data					1.094	95% KM UPL (Lognormal)					58.73
215	95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z)					55.2	95% KM USL (Lognormal)					217.2
216												
217	Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution											
218	Mean in Original Scale					20.97	Mean in Log Scale					1.841
219	SD in Original Scale					42.09	SD in Log Scale					1.343
220	95% UTL95% Coverage					105.4	95% UPL (t)					61.96
221	90% Percentile (z)					35.25	95% Percentile (z)					57.43
222	99% Percentile (z)					143.5	95% USL					308.8
223	DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons.											
224												
225	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics											
226	Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05)											
227												
228	Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects)											
229	Order of Statistic, r					43	95% UTL with95% Coverage					182
230	Approx, f used to compute achieved CC					2.263	Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL					0.89
231	Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC					59	95% UPL					145.8
232	95% USL					182	95% KM Chebyshev UPL					203.2
233												
234	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.											
235	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers											
236	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.											
237	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
238	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
239												
240	AMMONIA-NITROGEN (mg/L)											
241												
242	General Statistics											
243	Total Number of Observations					44	Number of Missing Observations					0
244	Number of Distinct Observations					7						
245	Number of Detects					7	Number of Non-Detects					37
246	Number of Distinct Detects					7	Number of Distinct Non-Detects					1
247	Minimum Detect					0.1	Minimum Non-Detect					0.1
248	Maximum Detect					0.63	Maximum Non-Detect					0.1
249	Variance Detected					0.0395	Percent Non-Detects					84.09%
250	Mean Detected					0.304	SD Detected					0.199

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
251	Mean of Detected Logged Data					-1.389	SD of Detected Logged Data					0.699
252												
253	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)											
254	Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)				2.091		d2max (for USL)				2.906	
255												
256	Normal GOF Test on Detects Only											
257	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.904		Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
258	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.803		Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level					
259	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.254		Lilliefors GOF Test					
260	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.304		Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level					
261	Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level											
262												
263	Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
264	KM Mean			0.133		KM SD				0.105		
265	95% UTL95% Coverage			0.351		95% KM UPL (t)				0.311		
266	90% KM Percentile (z)			0.267		95% KM Percentile (z)				0.305		
267	99% KM Percentile (z)			0.376		95% KM USL				0.437		
268												
269	DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
270	Mean			0.0905		SD				0.12		
271	95% UTL95% Coverage			0.341		95% UPL (t)				0.294		
272	90% Percentile (z)			0.244		95% Percentile (z)				0.288		
273	99% Percentile (z)			0.369		95% USL				0.439		
274	DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons											
275												
276	Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only											
277	A-D Test Statistic			0.319		Anderson-Darling GOF Test						
278	5% A-D Critical Value			0.713		Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level						
279	K-S Test Statistic			0.212		Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF						
280	5% K-S Critical Value			0.314		Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level						
281	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level											
282												
283	Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only											
284	k hat (MLE)			2.665		k star (bias corrected MLE)				1.618		
285	Theta hat (MLE)			0.114		Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				0.188		
286	nu hat (MLE)			37.3		nu star (bias corrected)				22.65		
287	MLE Mean (bias corrected)			0.304								
288	MLE Sd (bias corrected)			0.239		95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar)				8.22		
289												
290	Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects											
291	GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs											
292	GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20)											
293	For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs											
294	This is especially true when the sample size is small.											
295	For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates											
296	Minimum			0.01		Mean				0.0568		
297	Maximum			0.63		Median				0.01		
298	SD			0.132		CV				2.319		
299	k hat (MLE)			0.516		k star (bias corrected MLE)				0.496		
300	Theta hat (MLE)			0.11		Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				0.115		

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
301					nu hat (MLE)	45.39				nu star (bias corrected)		43.63
302					MLE Mean (bias corrected)	0.0568				MLE Sd (bias corrected)		0.0807
303					95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar)	3.82				90% Percentile		0.154
304					95% Percentile	0.219				99% Percentile		0.379
305	The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data											
306	Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods											
307					WH	HW				WH		HW
308					95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage	0.256	0.246			95% Approx. Gamma UPL	0.185	0.171
309					95% Gamma USL	0.46	0.475					
310												
311	Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates											
312					Mean (KM)	0.133				SD (KM)		0.105
313					Variance (KM)	0.011				SE of Mean (KM)		0.0171
314					k hat (KM)	1.601				k star (KM)		1.507
315					nu hat (KM)	140.9				nu star (KM)		132.6
316					theta hat (KM)	0.0828				theta star (KM)		0.0879
317					80% gamma percentile (KM)	0.205				90% gamma percentile (KM)		0.276
318					95% gamma percentile (KM)	0.345				99% gamma percentile (KM)		0.5
319												
320	The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates											
321	Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods											
322					WH	HW				WH		HW
323					95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage	0.303	0.297			95% Approx. Gamma UPL	0.26	0.254
324					95% KM Gamma Percentile	0.255	0.249			95% Gamma USL	0.408	0.405
325												
326	Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only											
327					Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	0.935				Shapiro Wilk GOF Test		
328					5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.803				Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level		
329					Lilliefors Test Statistic	0.19				Lilliefors GOF Test		
330					5% Lilliefors Critical Value	0.304				Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level		
331	Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
332												
333	Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects											
334					Mean in Original Scale	0.0637				Mean in Log Scale		-4.267
335					SD in Original Scale	0.131				SD in Log Scale		1.863
336					95% UTL95% Coverage	0.69				95% BCA UTL95% Coverage		0.597
337					95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage	0.605				95% UPL (t)		0.333
338					90% Percentile (z)	0.153				95% Percentile (z)		0.301
339					99% Percentile (z)	1.07				95% USL		3.154
340												
341	Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution											
342					KM Mean of Logged Data	-2.157				95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage		0.28
343					KM SD of Logged Data	0.422				95% KM UPL (Lognormal)		0.237
344					95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z)	0.232				95% KM USL (Lognormal)		0.394
345												
346	Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution											
347					Mean in Original Scale	0.0905				Mean in Log Scale		-2.74
348					SD in Original Scale	0.12				SD in Log Scale		0.649
349					95% UTL95% Coverage	0.251				95% UPL (t)		0.195
350					90% Percentile (z)	0.148				95% Percentile (z)		0.188

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
351	99% Percentile (z)				0.292	95% USL						0.426
352	DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons.											
353												
354	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics											
355	Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level											
356												
357	Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects)											
358	Order of Statistic, r				44	95% UTL with 95% Coverage						0.63
359	Approx, f used to compute achieved CC				2.316	Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL						0.895
360	Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC				59	95% UPL						0.448
361	95% USL				0.63	95% KM Chebyshev UPL						0.594
362												
363	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.											
364	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers											
365	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.											
366	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
367	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
368												
369	BENZENE (ug/L)											
370												
371	General Statistics											
372	Total Number of Observations				44	Number of Missing Observations						0
373	Number of Distinct Observations				1							
374	Number of Detects				0	Number of Non-Detects						44
375	Number of Distinct Detects				0	Number of Distinct Non-Detects						1
376	Minimum Detect				N/A	Minimum Non-Detect						1
377	Maximum Detect				N/A	Maximum Non-Detect						1
378	Variance Detected				N/A	Percent Non-Detects						100%
379	Mean Detected				N/A	SD Detected						N/A
380	Mean of Detected Logged Data				N/A	SD of Detected Logged Data						N/A
381												
382	Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs!											
383	Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit!											
384	The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV).											
385												
386	The data set for variable BENZENE (ug/L) was not processed!											
387												
388												
389	BICARBONATE ALKALINITY (mg/L)											
390												
391	General Statistics											
392	Total Number of Observations				44	Number of Missing Observations						0
393	Number of Distinct Observations				14							
394	Number of Detects				20	Number of Non-Detects						24
395	Number of Distinct Detects				14	Number of Distinct Non-Detects						1
396	Minimum Detect				5	Minimum Non-Detect						5
397	Maximum Detect				182	Maximum Non-Detect						5
398	Variance Detected				2624	Percent Non-Detects						54.55%
399	Mean Detected				36.65	SD Detected						51.23
400	Mean of Detected Logged Data				2.823	SD of Detected Logged Data						1.241

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	
401													
402	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)												
403	Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)					2.091		d2max (for USL)					2.906
404													
405	Normal GOF Test on Detects Only												
406	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic					0.673		Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
407	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.905		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
408	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.268		Lilliefors GOF Test					
409	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.192		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
410	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level												
411													
412	Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution												
413	KM Mean					19.39		KM SD					37.17
414	95% UTL95% Coverage					97.11		95% KM UPL (t)					82.58
415	90% KM Percentile (z)					67.02		95% KM Percentile (z)					80.52
416	99% KM Percentile (z)					105.9		95% KM USL					127.4
417													
418	DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution												
419	Mean					18.02		SD					38.15
420	95% UTL95% Coverage					97.79		95% UPL (t)					82.88
421	90% Percentile (z)					66.91		95% Percentile (z)					80.77
422	99% Percentile (z)					106.8		95% USL					128.9
423	DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons												
424													
425	Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only												
426	A-D Test Statistic					1.343		Anderson-Darling GOF Test					
427	5% A-D Critical Value					0.779		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
428	K-S Test Statistic					0.251		Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF					
429	5% K-S Critical Value					0.201		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
430	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level												
431													
432	Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only												
433	k hat (MLE)					0.767		k star (bias corrected MLE)					0.685
434	Theta hat (MLE)					47.81		Theta star (bias corrected MLE)					53.51
435	nu hat (MLE)					30.66		nu star (bias corrected)					27.4
436	MLE Mean (bias corrected)					36.65							
437	MLE Sd (bias corrected)					44.28		95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar)					4.699
438													
439	Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects												
440	GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs												
441	GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20)												
442	For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs												
443	This is especially true when the sample size is small.												
444	For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates												
445	Minimum					0.01		Mean					16.66
446	Maximum					182		Median					0.01
447	SD					38.73		CV					2.324
448	k hat (MLE)					0.184		k star (bias corrected MLE)					0.187
449	Theta hat (MLE)					90.39		Theta star (bias corrected MLE)					89.14
450	nu hat (MLE)					16.22		nu star (bias corrected)					16.45

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	
451	MLE Mean (bias corrected)					16.66	MLE Sd (bias corrected)					38.54	
452	95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar)					1.96	90% Percentile					50.34	
453	95% Percentile					87.35	99% Percentile					190.4	
454	The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data												
455	Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods												
456					WH	HW					WH	HW	
457	95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage					99.14	116.5	95% Approx. Gamma UPL			65.08	69.18	
458	95% Gamma USL					204.5	288.8						
459													
460	Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates												
461	Mean (KM)					19.39	SD (KM)					37.17	
462	Variance (KM)					1382	SE of Mean (KM)					5.749	
463	k hat (KM)					0.272	k star (KM)					0.269	
464	nu hat (KM)					23.94	nu star (KM)					23.64	
465	theta hat (KM)					71.26	theta star (KM)					72.16	
466	80% gamma percentile (KM)					28.84	90% gamma percentile (KM)					57.85	
467	95% gamma percentile (KM)					91.82	99% gamma percentile (KM)					181.4	
468													
469	The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates												
470	Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods												
471					WH	HW					WH	HW	
472	95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage					78.6	76.73	95% Approx. Gamma UPL			59.07	56.31	
473	95% KM Gamma Percentile					56.61	53.8	95% Gamma USL			132.3	136.6	
474													
475	Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only												
476	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic					0.864	Shapiro Wilk GOF Test						
477	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.905	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level						
478	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.212	Lilliefors GOF Test						
479	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.192	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level						
480	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level												
481													
482	Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects												
483	Mean in Original Scale					17.11	Mean in Log Scale					0.847	
484	SD in Original Scale					38.54	SD in Log Scale					2.226	
485	95% UTL95% Coverage					244.9	95% BCA UTL95% Coverage					161.6	
486	95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage					177.8	95% UPL (t)					102.6	
487	90% Percentile (z)					40.41	95% Percentile (z)					90.72	
488	99% Percentile (z)					413.5	95% USL					1503	
489													
490	Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution												
491	KM Mean of Logged Data					2.161	95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage					72.48	
492	KM SD of Logged Data					1.015	95% KM UPL (Lognormal)					48.74	
493	95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z)					46.08	95% KM USL (Lognormal)					165.8	
494													
495	Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution												
496	Mean in Original Scale					18.02	Mean in Log Scale					1.783	
497	SD in Original Scale					38.15	SD in Log Scale					1.266	
498	95% UTL95% Coverage					83.94	95% UPL (t)					51.17	
499	90% Percentile (z)					30.12	95% Percentile (z)					47.72	
500	99% Percentile (z)					113.1	95% USL					235.6	

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
501	DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons.											
502												
503	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics											
504	Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05)											
505												
506	Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects)											
507	Order of Statistic, r				44		95% UTL with 95% Coverage				182	
508	Approx, f used to compute achieved CC				2.316		Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL				0.895	
509	Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC				59		95% UPL				140.5	
510	95% USL				182		95% KM Chebyshev UPL				183.2	
511												
512	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.											
513	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers											
514	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.											
515	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
516	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
517												
518	CALCIUM, DISSOLVED (mg/L)											
519												
520	General Statistics											
521	Total Number of Observations				10		Number of Distinct Observations				9	
522	Minimum				18.3		First Quartile				21.3	
523	Second Largest				26.1		Median				23.4	
524	Maximum				26.6		Third Quartile				24.48	
525	Mean				22.83		SD				2.803	
526	Coefficient of Variation				0.123		Skewness				-0.371	
527	Mean of logged Data				3.121		SD of logged Data				0.126	
528												
529	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)											
530	Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)				2.911		d2max (for USL)				2.176	
531												
532	Normal GOF Test											
533	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.945		Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
534	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.842		Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level					
535	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.167		Lilliefors GOF Test					
536	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.262		Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level					
537	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level											
538												
539	Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
540	95% UTL with 95% Coverage				30.99		90% Percentile (z)				26.42	
541	95% UPL (t)				28.22		95% Percentile (z)				27.44	
542	95% USL				28.93		99% Percentile (z)				29.35	
543												
544	Gamma GOF Test											
545	A-D Test Statistic				0.323		Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test					
546	5% A-D Critical Value				0.724		Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
547	K-S Test Statistic				0.184		Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test					
548	5% K-S Critical Value				0.266		Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
549	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level											
550												

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	
551	Gamma Statistics												
552	k hat (MLE)				71.14		k star (bias corrected MLE)				49.87		
553	Theta hat (MLE)				0.321		Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				0.458		
554	nu hat (MLE)				1423		nu star (bias corrected)				997.4		
555	MLE Mean (bias corrected)				22.83		MLE Sd (bias corrected)				3.233		
556													
557	Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution												
558	95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL				28.64		90% Percentile				27.06		
559	95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL				28.7		95% Percentile				28.39		
560	95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage				32.05		99% Percentile				31.02		
561	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage				32.21								
562	95% WH USL				29.49		95% HW USL				29.58		
563													
564	Lognormal GOF Test												
565	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.933		Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test						
566	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.842		Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level						
567	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.186		Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test						
568	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.262		Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level						
569	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level												
570													
571	Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution												
572	95% UTL with 95% Coverage				32.75		90% Percentile (z)				26.65		
573	95% UPL (t)				28.9		95% Percentile (z)				27.91		
574	95% USL				29.84		99% Percentile (z)				30.42		
575													
576	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics												
577	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level												
578													
579	Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values												
580	Order of Statistic, r				10		95% UTL with 95% Coverage				26.6		
581	Approx, f used to compute achieved CC				0.526		Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL				0.401		
582							Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC				59		
583	95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage				26.6		95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage				26.6		
584	95% UPL				26.6		90% Percentile				26.15		
585	90% Chebyshev UPL				31.65		95% Percentile				26.38		
586	95% Chebyshev UPL				35.64		99% Percentile				26.56		
587	95% USL				26.6								
588													
589	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.												
590	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers												
591	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.												
592	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data												
593	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.												
594													
595	CALCIUM, TOTAL (mg/L)												
596													
597	General Statistics												
598	Total Number of Observations				43		Number of Distinct Observations				37		
599	Minimum				18.9		First Quartile				21.25		
600	Second Largest				74.7		Median				23.1		

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
601					Maximum	93					Third Quartile	25.25
602					Mean	28.04					SD	15.39
603					Coefficient of Variation	0.549					Skewness	3.073
604					Mean of logged Data	3.25					SD of logged Data	0.36
605												
606	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)											
607					Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)	2.097					d2max (for USL)	2.897
608												
609	Normal GOF Test											
610					Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	0.536					Shapiro Wilk GOF Test	
611					5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.943					Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level	
612					Lilliefors Test Statistic	0.354					Lilliefors GOF Test	
613					5% Lilliefors Critical Value	0.134					Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level	
614	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level											
615												
616	Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
617					95% UTL with 95% Coverage	60.31					90% Percentile (z)	47.76
618					95% UPL (t)	54.22					95% Percentile (z)	53.35
619					95% USL	72.61					99% Percentile (z)	63.83
620												
621	Gamma GOF Test											
622					A-D Test Statistic	6.173					Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test	
623					5% A-D Critical Value	0.752					Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level	
624					K-S Test Statistic	0.315					Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test	
625					5% K-S Critical Value	0.135					Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level	
626	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level											
627												
628	Gamma Statistics											
629					k hat (MLE)	6.164					k star (bias corrected MLE)	5.749
630					Theta hat (MLE)	4.549					Theta star (bias corrected MLE)	4.877
631					nu hat (MLE)	530.1					nu star (bias corrected)	494.4
632					MLE Mean (bias corrected)	28.04					MLE Sd (bias corrected)	11.69
633												
634	Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution											
635					95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL	49.67					90% Percentile	43.68
636					95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL	49.13					95% Percentile	49.62
637					95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage	56.55					99% Percentile	62.11
638					95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage	56.11						
639					95% WH USL	72.33					95% HW USL	72.45
640												
641	Lognormal GOF Test											
642					Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	0.676					Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test	
643					5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.943					Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level	
644					Lilliefors Test Statistic	0.284					Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test	
645					5% Lilliefors Critical Value	0.134					Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level	
646	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
647												
648	Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution											
649					95% UTL with 95% Coverage	54.85					90% Percentile (z)	40.91
650					95% UPL (t)	47.57					95% Percentile (z)	46.62

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
651					95% USL	73.14					99% Percentile (z)	59.57
652												
653	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics											
654	Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05)											
655												
656	Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values											
657				Order of Statistic, r	43					95% UTL with 95% Coverage	93	
658				Approx, f used to compute achieved CC	2.263					Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL	0.89	
659										Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC	59	
660				95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage	90.53					95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage	90.53	
661				95% UPL	73.42					90% Percentile	34.72	
662				90% Chebyshev UPL	74.73					95% Percentile	66.74	
663				95% Chebyshev UPL	95.88					99% Percentile	85.31	
664				95% USL	93							
665												
666	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.											
667	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers											
668	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.											
669	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
670	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
671												
672	CHLORIDE (mg/L)											
673												
674	General Statistics											
675				Total Number of Observations	44					Number of Distinct Observations	38	
676				Minimum	19.6					First Quartile	22.3	
677				Second Largest	34.3					Median	25.15	
678				Maximum	34.5					Third Quartile	26.53	
679				Mean	25.07					SD	3.431	
680				Coefficient of Variation	0.137					Skewness	0.944	
681				Mean of logged Data	3.213					SD of logged Data	0.132	
682												
683	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)											
684				Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)	2.091					d2max (for USL)	2.906	
685												
686	Normal GOF Test											
687				Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	0.925					Shapiro Wilk GOF Test		
688				5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.944					Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level		
689				Lilliefors Test Statistic	0.115					Lilliefors GOF Test		
690				5% Lilliefors Critical Value	0.132					Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level		
691	Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level											
692												
693	Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
694				95% UTL with 95% Coverage	32.24					90% Percentile (z)	29.46	
695				95% UPL (t)	30.9					95% Percentile (z)	30.71	
696				95% USL	35.04					99% Percentile (z)	33.05	
697												
698	Gamma GOF Test											
699				A-D Test Statistic	0.537					Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test		
700				5% A-D Critical Value	0.747					Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level		

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
701	K-S Test Statistic					0.0968	Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test					
702	5% K-S Critical Value					0.133	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
703	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level											
704												
705	Gamma Statistics											
706	k hat (MLE)					57.81	k star (bias corrected MLE)					53.88
707	Theta hat (MLE)					0.434	Theta star (bias corrected MLE)					0.465
708	nu hat (MLE)					5087	nu star (bias corrected)					4741
709	MLE Mean (bias corrected)					25.07	MLE Sd (bias corrected)					3.415
710												
711	Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution											
712	95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL					31.01	90% Percentile					29.53
713	95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL					31.02	95% Percentile					30.93
714	95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage					32.53	99% Percentile					33.69
715	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage					32.58						
716	95% WH USL					35.88	95% HW USL					36.01
717												
718	Lognormal GOF Test											
719	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic					0.955	Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test					
720	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.944	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
721	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.0918	Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test					
722	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.132	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
723	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
724												
725	Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution											
726	95% UTL with 95% Coverage					32.73	90% Percentile (z)					29.42
727	95% UPL (t)					31.09	95% Percentile (z)					30.86
728	95% USL					36.44	99% Percentile (z)					33.76
729												
730	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics											
731	Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level											
732												
733	Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values											
734	Order of Statistic, r					44	95% UTL with 95% Coverage					34.5
735	Approx, f used to compute achieved CC					2.316	Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL					0.895
736							Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC					59
737	95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage					34.47	95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage					34.3
738	95% UPL					33.88	90% Percentile					28.51
739	90% Chebyshev UPL					35.48	95% Percentile					32.23
740	95% Chebyshev UPL					40.19	99% Percentile					34.41
741	95% USL					34.5						
742												
743	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.											
744	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers											
745	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.											
746	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
747	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
748												
749	CIS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE (ug/L)											
750												

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
751	General Statistics											
752	Total Number of Observations					44	Number of Missing Observations					0
753	Number of Distinct Observations					1						
754	Number of Detects					0	Number of Non-Detects					44
755	Number of Distinct Detects					0	Number of Distinct Non-Detects					1
756	Minimum Detect					N/A	Minimum Non-Detect					1
757	Maximum Detect					N/A	Maximum Non-Detect					1
758	Variance Detected					N/A	Percent Non-Detects					100%
759	Mean Detected					N/A	SD Detected					N/A
760	Mean of Detected Logged Data					N/A	SD of Detected Logged Data					N/A
761												
762	Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs!											
763	Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit!											
764	The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV).											
765												
766	The data set for variable CIS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE (ug/L) was not processed!											
767												
768												
769	Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)											
770												
771	General Statistics											
772	Total Number of Observations					43	Number of Missing Observations					0
773	Number of Distinct Observations					3						
774	Number of Detects					0	Number of Non-Detects					43
775	Number of Distinct Detects					0	Number of Distinct Non-Detects					3
776	Minimum Detect					N/A	Minimum Non-Detect					5
777	Maximum Detect					N/A	Maximum Non-Detect					15
778	Variance Detected					N/A	Percent Non-Detects					100%
779	Mean Detected					N/A	SD Detected					N/A
780	Mean of Detected Logged Data					N/A	SD of Detected Logged Data					N/A
781												
782	Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs!											
783	Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit!											
784	The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV).											
785												
786	The data set for variable Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L) was not processed!											
787												
788												
789	ETHYLBENZENE (mg/L)											
790												
791	General Statistics											
792	Total Number of Observations					44	Number of Missing Observations					0
793	Number of Distinct Observations					1						
794	Number of Detects					0	Number of Non-Detects					44
795	Number of Distinct Detects					0	Number of Distinct Non-Detects					1
796	Minimum Detect					N/A	Minimum Non-Detect					1
797	Maximum Detect					N/A	Maximum Non-Detect					1
798	Variance Detected					N/A	Percent Non-Detects					100%
799	Mean Detected					N/A	SD Detected					N/A
800	Mean of Detected Logged Data					N/A	SD of Detected Logged Data					N/A

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
801												
802	Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs!											
803	Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit!											
804	The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV).											
805												
806	The data set for variable ETHYLBENZENE (mg/L) was not processed!											
807												
808												
809	FLUORIDE (mg/L)											
810												
811	General Statistics											
812	Total Number of Observations				43		Number of Missing Observations				0	
813	Number of Distinct Observations				7							
814	Number of Detects				15		Number of Non-Detects				28	
815	Number of Distinct Detects				6		Number of Distinct Non-Detects				2	
816	Minimum Detect				0.12		Minimum Non-Detect				0.2	
817	Maximum Detect				0.24		Maximum Non-Detect				0.5	
818	Variance Detected				0.00157		Percent Non-Detects				65.12%	
819	Mean Detected				0.157		SD Detected				0.0396	
820	Mean of Detected Logged Data				-1.881		SD of Detected Logged Data				0.237	
821												
822	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)											
823	Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)				2.097		d2max (for USL)				2.897	
824												
825	Normal GOF Test on Detects Only											
826	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.807		Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
827	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.881		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
828	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.263		Lilliefors GOF Test					
829	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.22		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
830	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level											
831												
832	Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
833	KM Mean		0.143		KM SD		0.0303					
834	95% UTL95% Coverage		0.207		95% KM UPL (t)		0.195					
835	90% KM Percentile (z)		0.182		95% KM Percentile (z)		0.193					
836	99% KM Percentile (z)		0.214		95% KM USL		0.231					
837												
838	DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
839	Mean		0.162		SD		0.0649					
840	95% UTL95% Coverage		0.298		95% UPL (t)		0.272					
841	90% Percentile (z)		0.245		95% Percentile (z)		0.268					
842	99% Percentile (z)		0.313		95% USL		0.35					
843	DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons											
844												
845	Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only											
846	A-D Test Statistic		1.282		Anderson-Darling GOF Test							
847	5% A-D Critical Value		0.735		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level							
848	K-S Test Statistic		0.254		Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF							
849	5% K-S Critical Value		0.221		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level							
850	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level											

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
851												
852	Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only											
853					k hat (MLE)	18.4					k star (bias corrected MLE)	14.76
854					Theta hat (MLE)	0.00852					Theta star (bias corrected MLE)	0.0106
855					nu hat (MLE)	551.9					nu star (bias corrected)	442.9
856					MLE Mean (bias corrected)	0.157						
857					MLE Sd (bias corrected)	0.0408					95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar)	43.19
858												
859	Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects											
860	GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs											
861	GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20)											
862	For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs											
863	This is especially true when the sample size is small.											
864	For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates											
865					Minimum	0.0924					Mean	0.145
866					Maximum	0.24					Median	0.139
867					SD	0.0322					CV	0.222
868					k hat (MLE)	22.32					k star (bias corrected MLE)	20.77
869					Theta hat (MLE)	0.00651					Theta star (bias corrected MLE)	0.00699
870					nu hat (MLE)	1919					nu star (bias corrected)	1787
871					MLE Mean (bias corrected)	0.145					MLE Sd (bias corrected)	0.0319
872					95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar)	57.59					90% Percentile	0.187
873					95% Percentile	0.201					99% Percentile	0.229
874	The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data											
875	Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods											
876					WH	HW					WH	HW
877	95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage				0.218	0.219	95% Approx. Gamma UPL				0.202	0.202
878	95% Gamma USL				0.252	0.254						
879												
880	Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates											
881					Mean (KM)	0.143					SD (KM)	0.0303
882					Variance (KM)	9.1799E-4					SE of Mean (KM)	0.00599
883					k hat (KM)	22.41					k star (KM)	20.86
884					nu hat (KM)	1927					nu star (KM)	1794
885					theta hat (KM)	0.0064					theta star (KM)	0.00688
886					80% gamma percentile (KM)	0.169					90% gamma percentile (KM)	0.185
887					95% gamma percentile (KM)	0.199					99% gamma percentile (KM)	0.226
888												
889	The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates											
890	Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods											
891					WH	HW					WH	HW
892	95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage				0.207	0.207	95% Approx. Gamma UPL				0.193	0.193
893	95% KM Gamma Percentile				0.191	0.191	95% Gamma USL				0.236	0.237
894												
895	Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only											
896					Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	0.828					Shapiro Wilk GOF Test	
897					5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.881					Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level	
898					Lilliefors Test Statistic	0.24					Lilliefors GOF Test	
899					5% Lilliefors Critical Value	0.22					Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level	
900	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
901												
902	Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects											
903	Mean in Original Scale				0.145		Mean in Log Scale				-1.948	
904	SD in Original Scale				0.0307		SD in Log Scale				0.199	
905	95% UTL95% Coverage				0.216		95% BCA UTL95% Coverage				0.2	
906	95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage				0.236		95% UPL (t)				0.2	
907	90% Percentile (z)				0.184		95% Percentile (z)				0.198	
908	99% Percentile (z)				0.226		95% USL				0.254	
909												
910	Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution											
911	KM Mean of Logged Data				-1.961		95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage				0.207	
912	KM SD of Logged Data				0.184		95% KM UPL (Lognormal)				0.193	
913	95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z)				0.191		95% KM USL (Lognormal)				0.24	
914												
915	Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution											
916	Mean in Original Scale				0.162		Mean in Log Scale				-1.9	
917	SD in Original Scale				0.0649		SD in Log Scale				0.395	
918	95% UTL95% Coverage				0.343		95% UPL (t)				0.293	
919	90% Percentile (z)				0.248		95% Percentile (z)				0.286	
920	99% Percentile (z)				0.375		95% USL				0.47	
921	DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons.											
922												
923	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics											
924	Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05)											
925												
926	Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects)											
927	Order of Statistic, r				43		95% UTL with95% Coverage				0.5	
928	Approx, f used to compute achieved CC				2.263		Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL				0.89	
929	Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC				59		95% UPL				0.5	
930	95% USL				0.5		95% KM Chebyshev UPL				0.277	
931												
932	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.											
933	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers											
934	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.											
935	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
936	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
937												
938	IRON, DISSOLVED (mg/L)											
939												
940	General Statistics											
941	Total Number of Observations				11		Number of Missing Observations				0	
942	Number of Distinct Observations				2							
943	Number of Detects				0		Number of Non-Detects				11	
944	Number of Distinct Detects				0		Number of Distinct Non-Detects				2	
945	Minimum Detect				N/A		Minimum Non-Detect				0.05	
946	Maximum Detect				N/A		Maximum Non-Detect				0.06	
947	Variance Detected				N/A		Percent Non-Detects				100%	
948	Mean Detected				N/A		SD Detected				N/A	
949	Mean of Detected Logged Data				N/A		SD of Detected Logged Data				N/A	
950												

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
951	Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs!											
952	Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit!											
953	The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV).											
954												
955	The data set for variable IRON, DISSOLVED (mg/L) was not processed!											
956												
957												
958	IRON, TOTAL (mg/L)											
959												
960	General Statistics											
961	Total Number of Observations				42		Number of Missing Observations				0	
962	Number of Distinct Observations				11							
963	Number of Detects				13		Number of Non-Detects				29	
964	Number of Distinct Detects				10		Number of Distinct Non-Detects				1	
965	Minimum Detect				0.07		Minimum Non-Detect				0.06	
966	Maximum Detect				0.37		Maximum Non-Detect				0.06	
967	Variance Detected				0.00866		Percent Non-Detects				69.05%	
968	Mean Detected				0.166		SD Detected				0.0931	
969	Mean of Detected Logged Data				-1.912		SD of Detected Logged Data				0.482	
970												
971	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)											
972	Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)				2.104		d2max (for USL)				2.887	
973												
974	Normal GOF Test on Detects Only											
975	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.783		Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
976	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.866		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
977	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.303		Lilliefors GOF Test					
978	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.234		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
979	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level											
980												
981	Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
982	KM Mean		0.0929		KM SD		0.0699					
983	95% UTL95% Coverage		0.24		95% KM UPL (t)		0.212					
984	90% KM Percentile (z)		0.182		95% KM Percentile (z)		0.208					
985	99% KM Percentile (z)		0.255		95% KM USL		0.295					
986												
987	DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
988	Mean		0.0721		SD		0.0812					
989	95% UTL95% Coverage		0.243		95% UPL (t)		0.21					
990	90% Percentile (z)		0.176		95% Percentile (z)		0.206					
991	99% Percentile (z)		0.261		95% USL		0.307					
992	DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons											
993												
994	Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only											
995	A-D Test Statistic		0.726		Anderson-Darling GOF Test							
996	5% A-D Critical Value		0.737		Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level							
997	K-S Test Statistic		0.267		Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF							
998	5% K-S Critical Value		0.238		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level							
999	Detected data follow Appr. Gamma Distribution at 5% Significance Level											
1000												

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L				
1001	Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only															
1002	k hat (MLE)				4.431		k star (bias corrected MLE)				3.46					
1003	Theta hat (MLE)				0.0375		Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				0.048					
1004	nu hat (MLE)				115.2		nu star (bias corrected)				89.95					
1005	MLE Mean (bias corrected)				0.166											
1006	MLE Sd (bias corrected)				0.0893		95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar)				13.95					
1007																
1008	Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects															
1009	GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs															
1010	GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20)															
1011	For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs															
1012	This is especially true when the sample size is small.															
1013	For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates															
1014	Minimum				0.01		Mean				0.0601					
1015	Maximum				0.37		Median				0.01					
1016	SD				0.088		CV				1.463					
1017	k hat (MLE)				0.698		k star (bias corrected MLE)				0.664					
1018	Theta hat (MLE)				0.0862		Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				0.0906					
1019	nu hat (MLE)				58.6		nu star (bias corrected)				55.75					
1020	MLE Mean (bias corrected)				0.0601		MLE Sd (bias corrected)				0.0738					
1021	95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar)				4.606		90% Percentile				0.153					
1022	95% Percentile				0.209		99% Percentile				0.342					
1023	The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data															
1024	Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods															
1025					WH		HW						WH		HW	
1026	95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage				0.272		0.285		95% Approx. Gamma UPL				0.201		0.203	
1027	95% Gamma USL				0.455		0.513									
1028																
1029	Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates															
1030	Mean (KM)				0.0929		SD (KM)				0.0699					
1031	Variance (KM)				0.00488		SE of Mean (KM)				0.0112					
1032	k hat (KM)				1.766		k star (KM)				1.656					
1033	nu hat (KM)				148.3		nu star (KM)				139.1					
1034	theta hat (KM)				0.0526		theta star (KM)				0.0561					
1035	80% gamma percentile (KM)				0.142		90% gamma percentile (KM)				0.189					
1036	95% gamma percentile (KM)				0.234		99% gamma percentile (KM)				0.335					
1037																
1038	The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates															
1039	Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods															
1040					WH		HW						WH		HW	
1041	95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage				0.226		0.225		95% Approx. Gamma UPL				0.191		0.189	
1042	95% KM Gamma Percentile				0.186		0.184		95% Gamma USL				0.305		0.309	
1043																
1044	Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only															
1045	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.921		Shapiro Wilk GOF Test									
1046	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.866		Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level									
1047	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.237		Lilliefors GOF Test									
1048	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.234		Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level									
1049	Detected Data appear Approximate Lognormal at 5% Significance Level															
1050																

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1051	Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects											
1052	Mean in Original Scale				0.0743		Mean in Log Scale				-3.08	
1053	SD in Original Scale				0.0816		SD in Log Scale				1.008	
1054	95% UTL95% Coverage				0.383		95% BCA UTL95% Coverage				0.361	
1055	95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage				0.369		95% UPL (t)				0.256	
1056	90% Percentile (z)				0.167		95% Percentile (z)				0.241	
1057	99% Percentile (z)				0.479		95% USL				0.844	
1058												
1059	Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution											
1060	KM Mean of Logged Data				-2.534		95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage				0.222	
1061	KM SD of Logged Data				0.49		95% KM UPL (Lognormal)				0.183	
1062	95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z)				0.178		95% KM USL (Lognormal)				0.326	
1063												
1064	Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution											
1065	Mean in Original Scale				0.0721		Mean in Log Scale				-3.013	
1066	SD in Original Scale				0.0812		SD in Log Scale				0.79	
1067	95% UTL95% Coverage				0.259		95% UPL (t)				0.189	
1068	90% Percentile (z)				0.135		95% Percentile (z)				0.18	
1069	99% Percentile (z)				0.309		95% USL				0.482	
1070	DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons.											
1071												
1072	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics											
1073	Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level											
1074												
1075	Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects)											
1076	Order of Statistic, r		42		95% UTL with95% Coverage				0.37			
1077	Approx, f used to compute achieved CC		2.211		Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL				0.884			
1078	Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC		59		95% UPL				0.328			
1079	95% USL		0.37		95% KM Chebyshev UPL				0.401			
1080												
1081	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.											
1082	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers											
1083	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.											
1084	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
1085	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
1086												
1087	MAGNESIUM, DISSOLVED (mg/L)											
1088												
1089	General Statistics											
1090	Total Number of Observations				11		Number of Distinct Observations				9	
1091	Minimum				7.4		First Quartile				8.8	
1092	Second Largest				9.8		Median				9.3	
1093	Maximum				10.7		Third Quartile				9.6	
1094	Mean				9.191		SD				0.869	
1095	Coefficient of Variation				0.0945		Skewness				-0.521	
1096	Mean of logged Data				2.214		SD of logged Data				0.0975	
1097												
1098	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)											
1099	Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)				2.815		d2max (for USL)				2.234	
1100												

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L		
1101	Normal GOF Test													
1102	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic					0.955	Shapiro Wilk GOF Test							
1103	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.85	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level							
1104	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.151	Lilliefors GOF Test							
1105	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.251	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level							
1106	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level													
1107														
1108	Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution													
1109	95% UTL with 95% Coverage					11.64						90% Percentile (z)	10.3	
1110						95% UPL (t)	10.84						95% Percentile (z)	10.62
1111						95% USL	11.13						99% Percentile (z)	11.21
1112														
1113	Gamma GOF Test													
1114	A-D Test Statistic					0.371	Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test							
1115	5% A-D Critical Value					0.726	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level							
1116	K-S Test Statistic					0.153	Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test							
1117	5% K-S Critical Value					0.254	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level							
1118	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level													
1119														
1120	Gamma Statistics													
1121	k hat (MLE)					118.4	k star (bias corrected MLE)					86.19		
1122	Theta hat (MLE)					0.0776	Theta star (bias corrected MLE)					0.107		
1123	nu hat (MLE)					2605	nu star (bias corrected)					1896		
1124	MLE Mean (bias corrected)					9.191	MLE Sd (bias corrected)					0.99		
1125														
1126	Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution													
1127	95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL					10.94	90% Percentile					10.48		
1128	95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL					10.96	95% Percentile					10.88		
1129	95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage					11.89	99% Percentile					11.65		
1130	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage					11.92								
1131	95% WH USL					11.29	95% HW USL					11.31		
1132														
1133	Lognormal GOF Test													
1134	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic					0.938	Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test							
1135	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.85	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level							
1136	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.159	Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test							
1137	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.251	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level							
1138	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level													
1139														
1140	Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution													
1141	95% UTL with 95% Coverage					12.04	90% Percentile (z)					10.37		
1142						95% UPL (t)	11.01	95% Percentile (z)					10.74	
1143						95% USL	11.38	99% Percentile (z)					11.48	
1144														
1145	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics													
1146	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level													
1147														
1148	Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values													
1149	Order of Statistic, r					11	95% UTL with 95% Coverage					10.7		
1150	Approx, f used to compute achieved CC					0.579	Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL					0.431		

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1151						Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC						59
1152	95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage					10.7	95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage					10.7
1153	95% UPL					10.7	90% Percentile					9.8
1154	90% Chebyshev UPL					11.91	95% Percentile					10.25
1155	95% Chebyshev UPL					13.15	99% Percentile					10.61
1156	95% USL					10.7						
1157												
1158	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.											
1159	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers											
1160	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.											
1161	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
1162	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
1163												
1164	MAGNESIUM, TOTAL (mg/L)											
1165												
1166	General Statistics											
1167	Total Number of Observations					41	Number of Distinct Observations					21
1168	Minimum					7.6	First Quartile					8.5
1169	Second Largest					10.4	Median					9
1170	Maximum					10.6	Third Quartile					9.5
1171	Mean					8.998	SD					0.678
1172	Coefficient of Variation					0.0754	Skewness					0.163
1173	Mean of logged Data					2.194	SD of logged Data					0.0754
1174												
1175	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)											
1176	Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)					2.11	d2max (for USL)					2.878
1177												
1178	Normal GOF Test											
1179	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic					0.981	Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
1180	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.941	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level					
1181	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.0854	Lilliefors GOF Test					
1182	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.137	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level					
1183	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level											
1184												
1185	Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
1186	95% UTL with 95% Coverage					10.43	90% Percentile (z)					9.867
1187	95% UPL (t)					10.15	95% Percentile (z)					10.11
1188	95% USL					10.95	99% Percentile (z)					10.58
1189												
1190	Gamma GOF Test											
1191	A-D Test Statistic					0.243	Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test					
1192	5% A-D Critical Value					0.747	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
1193	K-S Test Statistic					0.0851	Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test					
1194	5% K-S Critical Value					0.137	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
1195	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level											
1196												
1197	Gamma Statistics											
1198	k hat (MLE)					180.5	k star (bias corrected MLE)					167.3
1199	Theta hat (MLE)					0.0498	Theta star (bias corrected MLE)					0.0538
1200	nu hat (MLE)					14802	nu star (bias corrected)					13720

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1201	MLE Mean (bias corrected)					8.998	MLE Sd (bias corrected)					0.696
1202												
1203	Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution											
1204	95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL					10.19	90% Percentile					9.9
1205	95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL					10.19	95% Percentile					10.17
1206	95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage					10.49	99% Percentile					10.69
1207	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage					10.5						
1208	95% WH USL					11.07	95% HW USL					11.09
1209												
1210	Lognormal GOF Test											
1211	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic					0.983	Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test					
1212	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.941	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
1213	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.0805	Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test					
1214	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.137	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
1215	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
1216												
1217	Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution											
1218	95% UTL with 95% Coverage					10.52	90% Percentile (z)					9.883
1219	95% UPL (t)					10.2	95% Percentile (z)					10.16
1220	95% USL					11.15	99% Percentile (z)					10.69
1221												
1222	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics											
1223	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level											
1224												
1225	Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values											
1226	Order of Statistic, r					41	95% UTL with 95% Coverage					10.6
1227	Approx, f used to compute achieved CC					2.158	Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL					0.878
1228							Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC					59
1229	95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage					10.6	95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage					10.4
1230	95% UPL					10.36	90% Percentile					9.7
1231	90% Chebyshev UPL					11.06	95% Percentile					10
1232	95% Chebyshev UPL					11.99	99% Percentile					10.52
1233	95% USL					10.6						
1234												
1235	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.											
1236	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers											
1237	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.											
1238	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
1239	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
1240												
1241	MANGANESE, DISSOLVED (mg/L)											
1242												
1243	General Statistics											
1244	Total Number of Observations					11	Number of Distinct Observations					8
1245	Minimum					0.11	First Quartile					0.245
1246	Second Largest					0.32	Median					0.26
1247	Maximum					0.57	Third Quartile					0.31
1248	Mean					0.287	SD					0.111
1249	Coefficient of Variation					0.386	Skewness					1.494
1250	Mean of logged Data					-1.313	SD of logged Data					0.388

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	
1251													
1252	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)												
1253	Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)					2.815		d2max (for USL)				2.234	
1254													
1255	Normal GOF Test												
1256	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic					0.812		Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
1257	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.85		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
1258	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.293		Lilliefors GOF Test					
1259	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.251		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
1260	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level												
1261													
1262	Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution												
1263	95% UTL with 95% Coverage				0.599		90% Percentile (z)				0.429		
1264	95% UPL (t)				0.497		95% Percentile (z)				0.47		
1265	95% USL				0.535		99% Percentile (z)				0.545		
1266													
1267	Gamma GOF Test												
1268	A-D Test Statistic					0.786		Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test					
1269	5% A-D Critical Value					0.73		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
1270	K-S Test Statistic					0.244		Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test					
1271	5% K-S Critical Value					0.256		Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
1272	Detected data follow Appr. Gamma Distribution at 5% Significance Level												
1273													
1274	Gamma Statistics												
1275	k hat (MLE)				7.82		k star (bias corrected MLE)				5.748		
1276	Theta hat (MLE)				0.0367		Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				0.05		
1277	nu hat (MLE)				172		nu star (bias corrected)				126.5		
1278	MLE Mean (bias corrected)				0.287		MLE Sd (bias corrected)				0.12		
1279													
1280	Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution												
1281	95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL				0.524		90% Percentile				0.448		
1282	95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL				0.531		95% Percentile				0.508		
1283	95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage				0.686		99% Percentile				0.636		
1284	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage				0.708								
1285	95% WH USL				0.581		95% HW USL				0.592		
1286													
1287	Lognormal GOF Test												
1288	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic					0.856		Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test					
1289	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.85		Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
1290	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.252		Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test					
1291	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.251		Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
1292	Data appear Approximate Lognormal at 5% Significance Level												
1293													
1294	Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution												
1295	95% UTL with 95% Coverage				0.802		90% Percentile (z)				0.442		
1296	95% UPL (t)				0.561		95% Percentile (z)				0.509		
1297	95% USL				0.64		99% Percentile (z)				0.663		
1298													
1299	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics												
1300	Data appear Approximate Gamma Distribution at 5% Significance Level												

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1301												
1302	Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values											
1303	Order of Statistic, r					11		95% UTL with 95% Coverage				0.57
1304	Approx, f used to compute achieved CC					0.579		Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL				0.431
1305								Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC				59
1306	95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage					0.57		95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage				0.57
1307	95% UPL					0.57		90% Percentile				0.32
1308	90% Chebyshev UPL					0.635		95% Percentile				0.445
1309	95% Chebyshev UPL					0.792		99% Percentile				0.545
1310	95% USL					0.57						
1311												
1312	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.											
1313	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers											
1314	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.											
1315	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
1316	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
1317												
1318	MANGANESE, TOTAL (mg/L)											
1319												
1320	General Statistics											
1321	Total Number of Observations					35		Number of Distinct Observations				11
1322	Minimum					0.23		First Quartile				0.26
1323	Second Largest					0.33		Median				0.27
1324	Maximum					0.34		Third Quartile				0.29
1325	Mean					0.278		SD				0.0294
1326	Coefficient of Variation					0.106		Skewness				0.599
1327	Mean of logged Data					-1.285		SD of logged Data				0.104
1328												
1329	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)											
1330	Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)					2.157		d2max (for USL)				2.812
1331												
1332	Normal GOF Test											
1333	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic					0.922		Shapiro Wilk GOF Test				
1334	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.934		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level				
1335	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.158		Lilliefors GOF Test				
1336	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.148		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level				
1337	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level											
1338												
1339	Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
1340	95% UTL with 95% Coverage					0.341		90% Percentile (z)				0.316
1341	95% UPL (t)					0.328		95% Percentile (z)				0.326
1342	95% USL					0.361		99% Percentile (z)				0.346
1343												
1344	Gamma GOF Test											
1345	A-D Test Statistic					0.877		Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test				
1346	5% A-D Critical Value					0.746		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level				
1347	K-S Test Statistic					0.158		Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test				
1348	5% K-S Critical Value					0.148		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level				
1349	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level											
1350												

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1351	Gamma Statistics											
1352	k hat (MLE)				94.86		k star (bias corrected MLE)				86.75	
1353	Theta hat (MLE)				0.00293		Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				0.0032	
1354	nu hat (MLE)				6640		nu star (bias corrected)				6072	
1355	MLE Mean (bias corrected)				0.278		MLE Sd (bias corrected)				0.0298	
1356												
1357	Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution											
1358	95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL				0.33		90% Percentile				0.317	
1359	95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL				0.33		95% Percentile				0.329	
1360	95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage				0.344		99% Percentile				0.352	
1361	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage				0.345							
1362	95% WH USL				0.366		95% HW USL				0.367	
1363												
1364	Lognormal GOF Test											
1365	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.937		Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test					
1366	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.934		Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
1367	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.153		Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test					
1368	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.148		Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
1369	Data appear Approximate Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
1370												
1371	Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution											
1372	95% UTL with 95% Coverage				0.346		90% Percentile (z)				0.316	
1373	95% UPL (t)				0.33		95% Percentile (z)				0.328	
1374	95% USL				0.37		99% Percentile (z)				0.352	
1375												
1376	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics											
1377	Data appear Approximate Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
1378												
1379	Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values											
1380	Order of Statistic, r				35		95% UTL with 95% Coverage				0.34	
1381	Approx, f used to compute achieved CC				1.842		Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL				0.834	
1382							Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC				59	
1383	95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage				0.34		95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage				0.33	
1384	95% UPL				0.332		90% Percentile				0.33	
1385	90% Chebyshev UPL				0.367		95% Percentile				0.33	
1386	95% Chebyshev UPL				0.408		99% Percentile				0.337	
1387	95% USL				0.34							
1388												
1389	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.											
1390	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers											
1391	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.											
1392	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
1393	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
1394												
1395	METHYLENE CHLORIDE (ug/L)											
1396												
1397	General Statistics											
1398	Total Number of Observations				44		Number of Missing Observations				0	
1399	Number of Distinct Observations				1							
1400	Number of Detects				0		Number of Non-Detects				44	

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1401	Number of Distinct Detects					0	Number of Distinct Non-Detects					1
1402	Minimum Detect					N/A	Minimum Non-Detect					1
1403	Maximum Detect					N/A	Maximum Non-Detect					1
1404	Variance Detected					N/A	Percent Non-Detects					100%
1405	Mean Detected					N/A	SD Detected					N/A
1406	Mean of Detected Logged Data					N/A	SD of Detected Logged Data					N/A
1407												
1408	Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs!											
1409	Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit!											
1410	The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV).											
1411												
1412	The data set for variable METHYLENE CHLORIDE (ug/L) was not processed!											
1413												
1414												
1415	NITRATE-NITROGEN (mg/L)											
1416												
1417	General Statistics											
1418	Total Number of Observations					43	Number of Distinct Observations					35
1419	Minimum					4.9	First Quartile					19.6
1420	Second Largest					29	Median					22.5
1421	Maximum					31.7	Third Quartile					25.9
1422	Mean					21.09	SD					6.478
1423	Coefficient of Variation					0.307	Skewness					-0.993
1424	Mean of logged Data					2.98	SD of logged Data					0.42
1425												
1426	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)											
1427	Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)					2.097	d2max (for USL)					2.897
1428												
1429	Normal GOF Test											
1430	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic					0.892	Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
1431	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.943	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
1432	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.179	Lilliefors GOF Test					
1433	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.134	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
1434	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level											
1435												
1436	Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
1437	95% UTL with 95% Coverage					34.68	90% Percentile (z)					29.39
1438	95% UPL (t)					32.11	95% Percentile (z)					31.75
1439	95% USL					39.86	99% Percentile (z)					36.16
1440												
1441	Gamma GOF Test											
1442	A-D Test Statistic					2.953	Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test					
1443	5% A-D Critical Value					0.75	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
1444	K-S Test Statistic					0.236	Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test					
1445	5% K-S Critical Value					0.135	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
1446	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level											
1447												
1448	Gamma Statistics											
1449	k hat (MLE)					7.433	k star (bias corrected MLE)					6.93
1450	Theta hat (MLE)					2.838	Theta star (bias corrected MLE)					3.044

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1451					nu hat (MLE)	639.2				nu star (bias corrected)		596
1452					MLE Mean (bias corrected)	21.09				MLE Sd (bias corrected)		8.013
1453												
1454	Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution											
1455					95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL	36.06				90% Percentile		31.79
1456					95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL	36.89				95% Percentile		35.76
1457					95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage	40.66				99% Percentile		44.04
1458					95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage	41.96						
1459					95% WH USL	51.1				95% HW USL		53.81
1460												
1461	Lognormal GOF Test											
1462					Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	0.783				Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test		
1463					5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.943				Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level		
1464					Lilliefors Test Statistic	0.259				Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test		
1465					5% Lilliefors Critical Value	0.134				Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level		
1466	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
1467												
1468	Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution											
1469					95% UTL with 95% Coverage	47.54				90% Percentile (z)		33.74
1470					95% UPL (t)	40.25				95% Percentile (z)		39.31
1471					95% USL	66.53				99% Percentile (z)		52.35
1472												
1473	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics											
1474	Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05)											
1475												
1476	Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values											
1477					Order of Statistic, r	43				95% UTL with 95% Coverage		31.7
1478					Approx, f used to compute achieved CC	2.263				Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL		0.89
1479										Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC		59
1480					95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage	31.43				95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage		31.31
1481					95% UPL	28.76				90% Percentile		26.7
1482					90% Chebyshev UPL	40.75				95% Percentile		27.73
1483					95% Chebyshev UPL	49.66				99% Percentile		30.57
1484					95% USL	31.7						
1485												
1486	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.											
1487	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers											
1488	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.											
1489	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
1490	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
1491												
1492	pH-FIELD (SU)											
1493												
1494	General Statistics											
1495					Total Number of Observations	42				Number of Distinct Observations		36
1496					Minimum	3.91				First Quartile		4.553
1497					Second Largest	6.38				Median		4.7
1498					Maximum	6.55				Third Quartile		5.143
1499					Mean	4.938				SD		0.568
1500					Coefficient of Variation	0.115				Skewness		1.14

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1501	Mean of logged Data					1.591	SD of logged Data					0.11
1502												
1503	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)											
1504	Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)				2.104	d2max (for USL)					2.887	
1505												
1506	Normal GOF Test											
1507	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.847	Shapiro Wilk GOF Test						
1508	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.942	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level						
1509	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.188	Lilliefors GOF Test						
1510	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.135	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level						
1511	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level											
1512												
1513	Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
1514	95% UTL with 95% Coverage			6.134	90% Percentile (z)					5.666		
1515	95% UPL (t)			5.906	95% Percentile (z)					5.873		
1516	95% USL			6.579	99% Percentile (z)					6.26		
1517												
1518	Gamma GOF Test											
1519	A-D Test Statistic			1.752	Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test							
1520	5% A-D Critical Value			0.747	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level							
1521	K-S Test Statistic			0.186	Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test							
1522	5% K-S Critical Value			0.136	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level							
1523	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level											
1524												
1525	Gamma Statistics											
1526	k hat (MLE)			82.67	k star (bias corrected MLE)					76.78		
1527	Theta hat (MLE)			0.0597	Theta star (bias corrected MLE)					0.0643		
1528	nu hat (MLE)			6944	nu star (bias corrected)					6449		
1529	MLE Mean (bias corrected)			4.938	MLE Sd (bias corrected)					0.564		
1530												
1531	Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution											
1532	95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL			5.912	90% Percentile					5.673		
1533	95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL			5.913	95% Percentile					5.9		
1534	95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage			6.164	99% Percentile					6.343		
1535	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage			6.168								
1536	95% WH USL			6.677	95% HW USL					6.691		
1537												
1538	Lognormal GOF Test											
1539	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic			0.87	Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test							
1540	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value			0.942	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level							
1541	Lilliefors Test Statistic			0.182	Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test							
1542	5% Lilliefors Critical Value			0.135	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level							
1543	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
1544												
1545	Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution											
1546	95% UTL with 95% Coverage			6.183	90% Percentile (z)					5.65		
1547	95% UPL (t)			5.917	95% Percentile (z)					5.879		
1548	95% USL			6.739	99% Percentile (z)					6.336		
1549												
1550	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics											

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1551	Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05)											
1552												
1553	Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values											
1554	Order of Statistic, r				42		95% UTL with 95% Coverage				6.55	
1555	Approx, f used to compute achieved CC				2.211		Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL				0.884	
1556					Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC				59			
1557	95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage				6.542		95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage				6.513	
1558	95% UPL				6.316		90% Percentile				5.781	
1559	90% Chebyshev UPL				6.663		95% Percentile				5.943	
1560	95% Chebyshev UPL				7.445		99% Percentile				6.48	
1561	95% USL				6.55							
1562												
1563	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.											
1564	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers											
1565	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.											
1566	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
1567	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
1568												
1569	pH-LAB (SU)											
1570												
1571	General Statistics											
1572	Total Number of Observations				41		Number of Distinct Observations				36	
1573	Minimum				4.84		First Quartile				5.41	
1574	Second Largest				7.24		Median				5.57	
1575	Maximum				7.81		Third Quartile				5.74	
1576	Mean				5.696		SD				0.604	
1577	Coefficient of Variation				0.106		Skewness				1.804	
1578	Mean of logged Data				1.735		SD of logged Data				0.0987	
1579												
1580	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)											
1581	Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)				2.11		d2max (for USL)				2.878	
1582												
1583	Normal GOF Test											
1584	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.811		Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
1585	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.941		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
1586	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.232		Lilliefors GOF Test					
1587	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.137		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
1588	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level											
1589												
1590	Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
1591	95% UTL with 95% Coverage				6.97		90% Percentile (z)				6.47	
1592	95% UPL (t)				6.725		95% Percentile (z)				6.689	
1593	95% USL				7.433		99% Percentile (z)				7.1	
1594												
1595	Gamma GOF Test											
1596	A-D Test Statistic				2.495		Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test					
1597	5% A-D Critical Value				0.747		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
1598	K-S Test Statistic				0.219		Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test					
1599	5% K-S Critical Value				0.137		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
1600	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level											

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L						
1601																		
1602	Gamma Statistics																	
1603	k hat (MLE)				100.8		k star (bias corrected MLE)				93.4							
1604	Theta hat (MLE)				0.0565		Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				0.061							
1605	nu hat (MLE)				8262		nu star (bias corrected)				7658							
1606	MLE Mean (bias corrected)				5.696		MLE Sd (bias corrected)				0.589							
1607																		
1608	Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution																	
1609	95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL				6.711		90% Percentile				6.463							
1610	95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL				6.709		95% Percentile				6.699							
1611	95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage				6.974		99% Percentile				7.156							
1612	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage				6.975													
1613	95% WH USL				7.491		95% HW USL				7.5							
1614																		
1615	Lognormal GOF Test																	
1616	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.854		Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test											
1617	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.941		Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
1618	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.213		Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test											
1619	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.137		Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
1620	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level																	
1621																		
1622	Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution																	
1623	95% UTL with 95% Coverage				6.979		90% Percentile (z)				6.431							
1624	95% UPL (t)				6.705		95% Percentile (z)				6.666							
1625	95% USL				7.528		99% Percentile (z)				7.13							
1626																		
1627	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics																	
1628	Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05)																	
1629																		
1630	Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values																	
1631	Order of Statistic, r				41		95% UTL with 95% Coverage				7.81							
1632	Approx, f used to compute achieved CC				2.158		Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL				0.878							
1633							Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC				59							
1634	95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage				7.81		95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage				7.24							
1635	95% UPL				7.231		90% Percentile				6.44							
1636	90% Chebyshev UPL				7.529		95% Percentile				7.15							
1637	95% Chebyshev UPL				8.36		99% Percentile				7.582							
1638	95% USL				7.81													
1639																		
1640	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.																	
1641	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers																	
1642	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.																	
1643	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data																	
1644	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.																	
1645																		
1646	POTASSIUM, DISSOLVED (mg/L)																	
1647																		
1648	General Statistics																	
1649	Total Number of Observations				10		Number of Distinct Observations				7							
1650	Minimum				0.95		First Quartile				1.1							

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1651	Second Largest					1.6	Median					1.2
1652	Maximum					1.6	Third Quartile					1.375
1653	Mean					1.245	SD					0.229
1654	Coefficient of Variation					0.184	Skewness					0.54
1655	Mean of logged Data					0.204	SD of logged Data					0.181
1656												
1657	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)											
1658	Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)					2.911	d2max (for USL)					2.176
1659												
1660	Normal GOF Test											
1661	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic					0.92	Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
1662	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.842	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level					
1663	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.178	Lilliefors GOF Test					
1664	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.262	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level					
1665	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level											
1666												
1667	Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
1668	95% UTL with 95% Coverage					1.912	90% Percentile (z)					1.539
1669	95% UPL (t)					1.685	95% Percentile (z)					1.622
1670	95% USL					1.743	99% Percentile (z)					1.778
1671												
1672	Gamma GOF Test											
1673	A-D Test Statistic					0.306	Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test					
1674	5% A-D Critical Value					0.724	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
1675	K-S Test Statistic					0.162	Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test					
1676	5% K-S Critical Value					0.266	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
1677	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level											
1678												
1679	Gamma Statistics											
1680	k hat (MLE)					33.83	k star (bias corrected MLE)					23.75
1681	Theta hat (MLE)					0.0368	Theta star (bias corrected MLE)					0.0524
1682	nu hat (MLE)					676.5	nu star (bias corrected)					474.9
1683	MLE Mean (bias corrected)					1.245	MLE Sd (bias corrected)					0.255
1684												
1685	Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution											
1686	95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL					1.716	90% Percentile					1.582
1687	95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL					1.721	95% Percentile					1.693
1688	95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage					2.007	99% Percentile					1.915
1689	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage					2.023						
1690	95% WH USL					1.788	95% HW USL					1.795
1691												
1692	Lognormal GOF Test											
1693	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic					0.941	Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test					
1694	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.842	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
1695	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.148	Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test					
1696	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.262	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
1697	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
1698												
1699	Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution											
1700	95% UTL with 95% Coverage					2.076	90% Percentile (z)					1.546

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1701					95% UPL (t)	1.736				95% Percentile (z)		1.651
1702					95% USL	1.818				99% Percentile (z)		1.868
1703												
1704	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics											
1705	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level											
1706												
1707	Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values											
1708					Order of Statistic, r	10				95% UTL with 95% Coverage		1.6
1709					Approx, f used to compute achieved CC	0.526				Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL		0.401
1710										Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC		59
1711					95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage	1.6				95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage		1.6
1712					95% UPL	1.6				90% Percentile		1.6
1713					90% Chebyshev UPL	1.966				95% Percentile		1.6
1714					95% Chebyshev UPL	2.292				99% Percentile		1.6
1715					95% USL	1.6						
1716												
1717	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.											
1718	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers											
1719	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.											
1720	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
1721	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
1722												
1723	POTASSIUM, TOTAL (mg/L)											
1724												
1725	General Statistics											
1726					Total Number of Observations	42				Number of Distinct Observations		17
1727					Minimum	0				First Quartile		1.2
1728					Second Largest	11.1				Median		1.25
1729					Maximum	14.4				Third Quartile		1.9
1730					Mean	2.201				SD		2.716
1731					Coefficient of Variation	1.234				Skewness		3.401
1732												
1733	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)											
1734					Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)	2.104				d2max (for USL)		2.887
1735												
1736	Normal GOF Test											
1737					Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	0.499				Shapiro Wilk GOF Test		
1738					5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.942				Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level		
1739					Lilliefors Test Statistic	0.354				Lilliefors GOF Test		
1740					5% Lilliefors Critical Value	0.135				Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level		
1741	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level											
1742												
1743	Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
1744					95% UTL with 95% Coverage	7.914				90% Percentile (z)		5.682
1745					95% UPL (t)	6.826				95% Percentile (z)		6.669
1746					95% USL	10.04				99% Percentile (z)		8.519
1747												
1748	Gamma Statistics											
1749	Gamma Statistics Not Available											
1750												

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1751	Cannot Compute Gamma Statistics!											
1752												
1753	Cannot Compute Log Statistics											
1754												
1755	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics											
1756	Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05)											
1757												
1758	Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values											
1759	Order of Statistic, r				42		95% UTL with 95% Coverage				14.4	
1760	Approx, f used to compute achieved CC				2.211		Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL				0.884	
1761					Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC				59			
1762	95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage				14.03		95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage				14.03	
1763	95% UPL				10.49		90% Percentile				3.06	
1764	90% Chebyshev UPL				10.45		95% Percentile				6.965	
1765	95% Chebyshev UPL				14.18		99% Percentile				13.05	
1766	95% USL				14.4							
1767												
1768	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.											
1769	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers											
1770	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.											
1771	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
1772	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
1773												
1774	SODIUM, DISSOLVED (mg/L)											
1775												
1776	General Statistics											
1777	Total Number of Observations				11		Number of Distinct Observations				9	
1778	Minimum				13.7		First Quartile				14.2	
1779	Second Largest				20.6		Median				16.2	
1780	Maximum				20.9		Third Quartile				18.75	
1781	Mean				16.76		SD				2.667	
1782	Coefficient of Variation				0.159		Skewness				0.437	
1783	Mean of logged Data				2.808		SD of logged Data				0.157	
1784												
1785	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)											
1786	Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)				2.815		d2max (for USL)				2.234	
1787												
1788	Normal GOF Test											
1789	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.895		Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
1790	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.85		Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level					
1791	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.186		Lilliefors GOF Test					
1792	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.251		Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level					
1793	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level											
1794												
1795	Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
1796	95% UTL with 95% Coverage				24.27		90% Percentile (z)				20.18	
1797	95% UPL (t)				21.81		95% Percentile (z)				21.15	
1798	95% USL				22.72		99% Percentile (z)				22.97	
1799												
1800	Gamma GOF Test											

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1801	A-D Test Statistic					0.469	Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test					
1802	5% A-D Critical Value					0.728	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
1803	K-S Test Statistic					0.201	Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test					
1804	5% K-S Critical Value					0.255	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
1805	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level											
1806												
1807	Gamma Statistics											
1808	k hat (MLE)					44.49	k star (bias corrected MLE)					32.41
1809	Theta hat (MLE)					0.377	Theta star (bias corrected MLE)					0.517
1810	nu hat (MLE)					978.7	nu star (bias corrected)					713.1
1811	MLE Mean (bias corrected)					16.76	MLE Sd (bias corrected)					2.944
1812												
1813	Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution											
1814	95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL					22.11	90% Percentile					20.63
1815	95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL					22.16	95% Percentile					21.88
1816	95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage					25.17	99% Percentile					24.36
1817	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage					25.31						
1818	95% WH USL					23.21	95% HW USL					23.29
1819												
1820	Lognormal GOF Test											
1821	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic					0.902	Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test					
1822	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.85	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
1823	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.19	Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test					
1824	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.251	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
1825	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
1826												
1827	Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution											
1828	95% UTL with 95% Coverage					25.77	90% Percentile (z)					20.26
1829	95% UPL (t)					22.3	95% Percentile (z)					21.45
1830	95% USL					23.53	99% Percentile (z)					23.87
1831												
1832	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics											
1833	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level											
1834												
1835	Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values											
1836	Order of Statistic, r					11	95% UTL with 95% Coverage					20.9
1837	Approx, f used to compute achieved CC					0.579	Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL					0.431
1838							Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC					59
1839	95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage					20.9	95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage					20.9
1840	95% UPL					20.9	90% Percentile					20.6
1841	90% Chebyshev UPL					25.12	95% Percentile					20.75
1842	95% Chebyshev UPL					28.91	99% Percentile					20.87
1843	95% USL					20.9						
1844												
1845	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.											
1846	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers											
1847	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.											
1848	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
1849	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
1850												

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1851	SODIUM, TOTAL (mg/L)											
1852												
1853	General Statistics											
1854	Total Number of Observations				40		Number of Distinct Observations				30	
1855	Minimum				13.7		First Quartile				14.48	
1856	Second Largest				22.3		Median				15.7	
1857	Maximum				24		Third Quartile				18.35	
1858	Mean				16.6		SD				2.507	
1859	Coefficient of Variation				0.151		Skewness				1.08	
1860	Mean of logged Data				2.799		SD of logged Data				0.143	
1861												
1862	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)											
1863	Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)				2.117		d2max (for USL)				2.868	
1864												
1865	Normal GOF Test											
1866	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.876		Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
1867	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.94		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
1868	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.169		Lilliefors GOF Test					
1869	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.139		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
1870	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level											
1871												
1872	Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
1873	95% UTL with 95% Coverage				21.9		90% Percentile (z)				19.81	
1874	95% UPL (t)				20.87		95% Percentile (z)				20.72	
1875	95% USL				23.78		99% Percentile (z)				22.43	
1876												
1877	Gamma GOF Test											
1878	A-D Test Statistic				1.476		Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test					
1879	5% A-D Critical Value				0.746		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
1880	K-S Test Statistic				0.164		Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test					
1881	5% K-S Critical Value				0.139		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
1882	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level											
1883												
1884	Gamma Statistics											
1885	k hat (MLE)				48.65		k star (bias corrected MLE)				45.02	
1886	Theta hat (MLE)				0.341		Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				0.369	
1887	nu hat (MLE)				3892		nu star (bias corrected)				3601	
1888	MLE Mean (bias corrected)				16.6		MLE Sd (bias corrected)				2.473	
1889												
1890	Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution											
1891	95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL				20.92		90% Percentile				19.83	
1892	95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL				20.93		95% Percentile				20.86	
1893	95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage				22.1		99% Percentile				22.88	
1894	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage				22.13							
1895	95% WH USL				24.36		95% HW USL				24.45	
1896												
1897	Lognormal GOF Test											
1898	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.898		Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test					
1899	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.94		Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
1900	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.157		Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test					

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1901	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.139	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level						
1902	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
1903												
1904	Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution											
1905	95% UTL with 95% Coverage			22.23							90% Percentile (z)	19.72
1906	95% UPL (t)			20.96							95% Percentile (z)	20.78
1907	95% USL			24.74							99% Percentile (z)	22.9
1908												
1909	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics											
1910	Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05)											
1911												
1912	Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values											
1913	Order of Statistic, r			40	95% UTL with 95% Coverage						24	
1914	Approx, f used to compute achieved CC			2.105	Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL						0.871	
1915					Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC						59	
1916	95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage			24	95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage						24	
1917	95% UPL			22.2	90% Percentile						20	
1918	90% Chebyshev UPL			24.21	95% Percentile						20.4	
1919	95% Chebyshev UPL			27.66	99% Percentile						23.34	
1920	95% USL			24								
1921												
1922	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.											
1923	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers											
1924	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.											
1925	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
1926	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
1927												
1928	SPEC. COND., FIELD (umhos/cm)											
1929												
1930	General Statistics											
1931	Total Number of Observations			41	Number of Distinct Observations						32	
1932	Minimum			215	First Quartile						309	
1933	Second Largest			590	Median						331	
1934	Maximum			661	Third Quartile						350	
1935	Mean			338.3	SD						80.14	
1936	Coefficient of Variation			0.237	Skewness						2.31	
1937	Mean of logged Data			5.802	SD of logged Data						0.207	
1938												
1939	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)											
1940	Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)			2.11	d2max (for USL)						2.878	
1941												
1942	Normal GOF Test											
1943	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic			0.749	Shapiro Wilk GOF Test							
1944	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value			0.941	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level							
1945	Lilliefors Test Statistic			0.272	Lilliefors GOF Test							
1946	5% Lilliefors Critical Value			0.137	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level							
1947	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level											
1948												
1949	Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
1950	95% UTL with 95% Coverage			507.5	90% Percentile (z)						441	

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	
1951					95% UPL (t)	474.9					95% Percentile (z)	470.2	
1952					95% USL	569					99% Percentile (z)	524.8	
1953													
1954	Gamma GOF Test												
1955					A-D Test Statistic	2.577							Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test
1956					5% A-D Critical Value	0.747							Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level
1957					K-S Test Statistic	0.235							Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test
1958					5% K-S Critical Value	0.138							Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level
1959	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level												
1960													
1961	Gamma Statistics												
1962					k hat (MLE)	22.42					k star (bias corrected MLE)	20.79	
1963					Theta hat (MLE)	15.09					Theta star (bias corrected MLE)	16.27	
1964					nu hat (MLE)	1838					nu star (bias corrected)	1705	
1965					MLE Mean (bias corrected)	338.3					MLE Sd (bias corrected)	74.2	
1966													
1967	Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution												
1968					95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL	470.5					90% Percentile	436.2	
1969					95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL	470.4					95% Percentile	468.9	
1970					95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage	508					99% Percentile	534.4	
1971					95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage	508.7							
1972					95% WH USL	584.2					95% HW USL	587.5	
1973													
1974	Lognormal GOF Test												
1975					Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	0.857							Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test
1976					5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.941							Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level
1977					Lilliefors Test Statistic	0.22							Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test
1978					5% Lilliefors Critical Value	0.137							Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level
1979	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level												
1980													
1981	Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution												
1982					95% UTL with 95% Coverage	511.9					90% Percentile (z)	431.3	
1983					95% UPL (t)	470.7					95% Percentile (z)	464.9	
1984					95% USL	600					99% Percentile (z)	535.3	
1985													
1986	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics												
1987	Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05)												
1988													
1989	Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values												
1990					Order of Statistic, r	41					95% UTL with 95% Coverage	661	
1991					Approx, f used to compute achieved CC	2.158					Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL	0.878	
1992											Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC	59	
1993					95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage	661					95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage	590	
1994					95% UPL	577.6					90% Percentile	371	
1995					90% Chebyshev UPL	581.7					95% Percentile	466	
1996					95% Chebyshev UPL	691.9					99% Percentile	632.6	
1997					95% USL	661							
1998													
1999	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.												
2000	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers												

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
2001	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.											
2002	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
2003	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
2004												
2005	SPEC. COND., LAB (umhos/cm)											
2006												
2007	General Statistics											
2008	Total Number of Observations				41		Number of Distinct Observations				34	
2009	Minimum				242		First Quartile				282	
2010	Second Largest				589		Median				302	
2011	Maximum				656		Third Quartile				336	
2012	Mean				323.5		SD				81.42	
2013	Coefficient of Variation				0.252		Skewness				2.698	
2014	Mean of logged Data				5.756		SD of logged Data				0.205	
2015												
2016	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)											
2017	Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)				2.11		d2max (for USL)				2.878	
2018												
2019	Normal GOF Test											
2020	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.714		Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
2021	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.941		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
2022	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.21		Lilliefors GOF Test					
2023	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.137		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
2024	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level											
2025												
2026	Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
2027	95% UTL with 95% Coverage				495.3		90% Percentile (z)				427.9	
2028	95% UPL (t)				462.3		95% Percentile (z)				457.4	
2029	95% USL				557.8		99% Percentile (z)				512.9	
2030												
2031	Gamma GOF Test											
2032	A-D Test Statistic				2.245		Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test					
2033	5% A-D Critical Value				0.747		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
2034	K-S Test Statistic				0.171		Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test					
2035	5% K-S Critical Value				0.138		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
2036	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level											
2037												
2038	Gamma Statistics											
2039	k hat (MLE)				21.61		k star (bias corrected MLE)				20.05	
2040	Theta hat (MLE)				14.97		Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				16.14	
2041	nu hat (MLE)				1772		nu star (bias corrected)				1644	
2042	MLE Mean (bias corrected)				323.5		MLE Sd (bias corrected)				72.25	
2043												
2044	Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution											
2045	95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL				452.2		90% Percentile				418.9	
2046	95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL				451.2		95% Percentile				450.8	
2047	95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage				488.9		99% Percentile				514.9	
2048	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage				488.4							
2049	95% WH USL				563.5		95% HW USL				564.9	
2050												

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
2051	Lognormal GOF Test											
2052	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic					0.835	Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test					
2053	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.941	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
2054	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.152	Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test					
2055	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.137	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
2056	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
2057												
2058	Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution											
2059	95% UTL with 95% Coverage				487.2					90% Percentile (z)	411.1	
2060					95% UPL (t)	448.3					95% Percentile (z)	442.8
2061					95% USL	570.2					99% Percentile (z)	509.3
2062												
2063	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics											
2064	Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05)											
2065												
2066	Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values											
2067	Order of Statistic, r				41	95% UTL with 95% Coverage				656		
2068	Approx, f used to compute achieved CC				2.158	Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL				0.878		
2069						Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC				59		
2070	95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage				656	95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage				589		
2071					95% UPL	575.3					90% Percentile	381
2072					90% Chebyshev UPL	570.7					95% Percentile	452
2073					95% Chebyshev UPL	682.7					99% Percentile	629.2
2074					95% USL	656						
2075												
2076	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.											
2077	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers											
2078	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.											
2079	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
2080	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
2081												
2082	SULFATE (mg/L)											
2083												
2084	General Statistics											
2085	Total Number of Observations				41	Number of Distinct Observations				38		
2086	Minimum				6.9	First Quartile				9.8		
2087	Second Largest				60.4	Median				12.3		
2088	Maximum				74	Third Quartile				23.3		
2089	Mean				20.28	SD				15.97		
2090	Coefficient of Variation				0.787	Skewness				1.75		
2091	Mean of logged Data				2.78	SD of logged Data				0.647		
2092												
2093	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)											
2094	Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)				2.11	d2max (for USL)				2.878		
2095												
2096	Normal GOF Test											
2097	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic					0.766	Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
2098	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.941	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
2099	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.241	Lilliefors GOF Test					
2100	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.137	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	
2101	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level												
2102													
2103	Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution												
2104	95% UTL with 95% Coverage				53.98						90% Percentile (z)		40.75
2105	95% UPL (t)				47.5						95% Percentile (z)		46.55
2106	95% USL				66.23						99% Percentile (z)		57.43
2107													
2108	Gamma GOF Test												
2109	A-D Test Statistic				1.996		Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test						
2110	5% A-D Critical Value				0.758		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level						
2111	K-S Test Statistic				0.226		Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test						
2112	5% K-S Critical Value				0.139		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level						
2113	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level												
2114													
2115	Gamma Statistics												
2116	k hat (MLE)				2.328		k star (bias corrected MLE)				2.174		
2117	Theta hat (MLE)				8.711		Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				9.329		
2118	nu hat (MLE)				190.9		nu star (bias corrected)				178.3		
2119	MLE Mean (bias corrected)				20.28		MLE Sd (bias corrected)				13.76		
2120													
2121	Background Statistics Assuming Gamma Distribution												
2122	95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL				47.2		90% Percentile				38.69		
2123	95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL				47.41		95% Percentile				46.87		
2124	95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage				57.45		99% Percentile				64.92		
2125	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage				58.48								
2126	95% WH USL				80.69		95% HW USL				84.59		
2127													
2128	Lognormal GOF Test												
2129	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.903		Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test						
2130	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.941		Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level						
2131	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.2		Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test						
2132	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.137		Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level						
2133	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level												
2134													
2135	Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution												
2136	95% UTL with 95% Coverage				63.2		90% Percentile (z)				36.96		
2137	95% UPL (t)				48.59		95% Percentile (z)				46.76		
2138	95% USL				103.9		99% Percentile (z)				72.69		
2139													
2140	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics												
2141	Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05)												
2142													
2143	Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values												
2144	Order of Statistic, r				41		95% UTL with 95% Coverage				74		
2145	Approx, f used to compute achieved CC				2.158		Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL				0.878		
2146							Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC				59		
2147	95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage				74		95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage				60.4		
2148	95% UPL				59.53		90% Percentile				42.2		
2149	90% Chebyshev UPL				68.77		95% Percentile				51.7		
2150	95% Chebyshev UPL				90.73		99% Percentile				68.56		

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
2151					95% USL	74						
2152												
2153												
2154												
2155												
2156												
2157												
2158												
2159												
2160												
2161												
2162					Total Number of Observations	41				Number of Distinct Observations	39	
2163					Minimum	135				First Quartile	199	
2164					Second Largest	381				Median	238	
2165					Maximum	433				Third Quartile	262	
2166					Mean	236.4				SD	58.98	
2167					Coefficient of Variation	0.249				Skewness	1.087	
2168					Mean of logged Data	5.437				SD of logged Data	0.24	
2169												
2170												
2171					Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)	2.11				d2max (for USL)	2.878	
2172												
2173												
2174					Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic	0.926				Shapiro Wilk GOF Test		
2175					5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value	0.941				Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level		
2176					Lilliefors Test Statistic	0.123				Lilliefors GOF Test		
2177					5% Lilliefors Critical Value	0.137				Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level		
2178												
2179												
2180												
2181					95% UTL with 95% Coverage	360.9				90% Percentile (z)	312	
2182					95% UPL (t)	337				95% Percentile (z)	333.5	
2183					95% USL	406.2				99% Percentile (z)	373.6	
2184												
2185												
2186					A-D Test Statistic	0.576				Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test		
2187					5% A-D Critical Value	0.747				Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level		
2188					K-S Test Statistic	0.0985				Kolmogorov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test		
2189					5% K-S Critical Value	0.138				Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level		
2190												
2191												
2192												
2193					k hat (MLE)	17.72				k star (bias corrected MLE)	16.44	
2194					Theta hat (MLE)	13.34				Theta star (bias corrected MLE)	14.38	
2195					nu hat (MLE)	1453				nu star (bias corrected)	1348	
2196					MLE Mean (bias corrected)	236.4				MLE Sd (bias corrected)	58.32	
2197												
2198												
2199					95% Wilson Hilferty (WH) Approx. Gamma UPL	341.4				90% Percentile	313.6	
2200					95% Hawkins Wixley (HW) Approx. Gamma UPL	342.3				95% Percentile	339.8	

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
2201	95% WH Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage					371.7	99% Percentile					392.8
2202	95% HW Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage					373.8						
2203	95% WH USL					433.9	95% HW USL					439.1
2204												
2205	Lognormal GOF Test											
2206	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic					0.971	Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test					
2207	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.941	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
2208	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.113	Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test					
2209	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.137	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
2210	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
2211												
2212	Background Statistics assuming Lognormal Distribution											
2213	95% UTL with 95% Coverage					381.3	90% Percentile (z)					312.5
2214	95% UPL (t)					345.9	95% Percentile (z)					341
2215	95% USL					458.4	99% Percentile (z)					401.6
2216												
2217	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics											
2218	Data appear Approximate Normal at 5% Significance Level											
2219												
2220	Nonparametric Upper Limits for Background Threshold Values											
2221	Order of Statistic, r					41	95% UTL with 95% Coverage					433
2222	Approx, f used to compute achieved CC					2.158	Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL					0.878
2223							Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC					59
2224	95% Percentile Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage					433	95% BCA Bootstrap UTL with 95% Coverage					381
2225	95% UPL					376	90% Percentile					293
2226	90% Chebyshev UPL					415.5	95% Percentile					331
2227	95% Chebyshev UPL					496.6	99% Percentile					412.2
2228	95% USL					433						
2229												
2230	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.											
2231	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers											
2232	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.											
2233	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
2234	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
2235												
2236	TETRACHLOROETHENE (ug/L)											
2237												
2238	General Statistics											
2239	Total Number of Observations					44	Number of Missing Observations					0
2240	Number of Distinct Observations					1						
2241	Number of Detects					0	Number of Non-Detects					44
2242	Number of Distinct Detects					0	Number of Distinct Non-Detects					1
2243	Minimum Detect					N/A	Minimum Non-Detect					1
2244	Maximum Detect					N/A	Maximum Non-Detect					1
2245	Variance Detected					N/A	Percent Non-Detects					100%
2246	Mean Detected					N/A	SD Detected					N/A
2247	Mean of Detected Logged Data					N/A	SD of Detected Logged Data					N/A
2248												
2249	Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs!											
2250	Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit!											

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L				
2251	The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV).															
2252																
2253	The data set for variable TETRACHLOROETHENE (ug/L) was not processed!															
2254																
2255																
2256	TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON (mg/L)															
2257																
2258	General Statistics															
2259	Total Number of Observations				41				Number of Missing Observations				0			
2260	Number of Distinct Observations				13											
2261	Number of Detects				12				Number of Non-Detects				29			
2262	Number of Distinct Detects				11				Number of Distinct Non-Detects				2			
2263	Minimum Detect				0.6				Minimum Non-Detect				0.5			
2264	Maximum Detect				1.4				Maximum Non-Detect				1			
2265	Variance Detected				0.0659				Percent Non-Detects				70.73%			
2266	Mean Detected				0.887				SD Detected				0.257			
2267	Mean of Detected Logged Data				-0.157				SD of Detected Logged Data				0.278			
2268																
2269	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)															
2270	Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)				2.11				d2max (for USL)				2.878			
2271																
2272	Normal GOF Test on Detects Only															
2273	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.912				Shapiro Wilk GOF Test							
2274	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.859				Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level							
2275	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.177				Lilliefors GOF Test							
2276	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.243				Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level							
2277	Detected Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level															
2278																
2279	Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution															
2280	KM Mean				0.707				KM SD				0.21			
2281	95% UTL95% Coverage				1.15				95% KM UPL (t)				1.065			
2282	90% KM Percentile (z)				0.976				95% KM Percentile (z)				1.052			
2283	99% KM Percentile (z)				1.195				95% KM USL				1.311			
2284																
2285	DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution															
2286	Mean				0.589				SD				0.247			
2287	95% UTL95% Coverage				1.111				95% UPL (t)				1.01			
2288	90% Percentile (z)				0.906				95% Percentile (z)				0.996			
2289	99% Percentile (z)				1.164				95% USL				1.3			
2290	DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons															
2291																
2292	Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only															
2293	A-D Test Statistic				0.365				Anderson-Darling GOF Test							
2294	5% A-D Critical Value				0.731				Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level							
2295	K-S Test Statistic				0.155				Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF							
2296	5% K-S Critical Value				0.245				Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level							
2297	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level															
2298																
2299	Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only															
2300	k hat (MLE)				13.9				k star (bias corrected MLE)				10.48			

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	
2301				Theta hat (MLE)		0.0638				Theta star (bias corrected MLE)		0.0846	
2302				nu hat (MLE)		333.7				nu star (bias corrected)		251.6	
2303				MLE Mean (bias corrected)		0.887							
2304				MLE Sd (bias corrected)		0.274				95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar)		32.63	
2305													
2306				Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects									
2307				GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs									
2308				GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20)									
2309				For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs									
2310				This is especially true when the sample size is small.									
2311				For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates									
2312				Minimum		0.231				Mean		0.674	
2313				Maximum		1.4				Median		0.66	
2314				SD		0.261				CV		0.387	
2315				k hat (MLE)		6.665				k star (bias corrected MLE)		6.194	
2316				Theta hat (MLE)		0.101				Theta star (bias corrected MLE)		0.109	
2317				nu hat (MLE)		546.6				nu star (bias corrected)		507.9	
2318				MLE Mean (bias corrected)		0.674				MLE Sd (bias corrected)		0.271	
2319				95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar)		21.55				90% Percentile		1.035	
2320				95% Percentile		1.172				99% Percentile		1.457	
2321				The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data									
2322				Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods									
2323					WH	HW				WH	HW		
2324				95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage	1.345	1.371			95% Approx. Gamma UPL	1.182	1.196		
2325				95% Gamma USL	1.693	1.754							
2326													
2327				Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates									
2328				Mean (KM)		0.707				SD (KM)		0.21	
2329				Variance (KM)		0.0441				SE of Mean (KM)		0.0456	
2330				k hat (KM)		11.32				k star (KM)		10.51	
2331				nu hat (KM)		928.3				nu star (KM)		861.7	
2332				theta hat (KM)		0.0624				theta star (KM)		0.0672	
2333				80% gamma percentile (KM)		0.881				90% gamma percentile (KM)		0.996	
2334				95% gamma percentile (KM)		1.099				99% gamma percentile (KM)		1.31	
2335													
2336				The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates									
2337				Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods									
2338					WH	HW				WH	HW		
2339				95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage	1.178	1.184			95% Approx. Gamma UPL	1.07	1.072		
2340				95% KM Gamma Percentile	1.055	1.056			95% Gamma USL	1.402	1.419		
2341													
2342				Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only									
2343				Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic		0.941				Shapiro Wilk GOF Test			
2344				5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value		0.859			Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level				
2345				Lilliefors Test Statistic		0.151				Lilliefors GOF Test			
2346				5% Lilliefors Critical Value		0.243			Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level				
2347				Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level									
2348													
2349				Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects									
2350				Mean in Original Scale		0.695				Mean in Log Scale		-0.415	

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
2351				SD in Original Scale		0.232				SD in Log Scale		0.322
2352				95% UTL95% Coverage		1.303				95% BCA UTL95% Coverage		1.1
2353				95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage		1.4				95% UPL (t)		1.143
2354				90% Percentile (z)		0.998				95% Percentile (z)		1.122
2355				99% Percentile (z)		1.397				95% USL		1.669
2356												
2357	Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution											
2358				KM Mean of Logged Data		-0.386				95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage		1.204
2359				KM SD of Logged Data		0.271				95% KM UPL (Lognormal)		1.079
2360				95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z)		1.062				95% KM USL (Lognormal)		1.483
2361												
2362	Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution											
2363				Mean in Original Scale		0.589				Mean in Log Scale		-0.604
2364				SD in Original Scale		0.247				SD in Log Scale		0.384
2365				95% UTL95% Coverage		1.23				95% UPL (t)		1.052
2366				90% Percentile (z)		0.894				95% Percentile (z)		1.028
2367				99% Percentile (z)		1.336				95% USL		1.651
2368	DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons.											
2369												
2370	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics											
2371	Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level											
2372												
2373	Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects)											
2374				Order of Statistic, r		41				95% UTL with95% Coverage		1.4
2375				Approx, f used to compute achieved CC		2.158				Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL		0.878
2376				Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC		59				95% UPL		1.19
2377				95% USL		1.4				95% KM Chebyshev UPL		1.633
2378												
2379	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.											
2380	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers											
2381	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.											
2382	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
2383	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
2384												
2385	TOLUENE (mg/)											
2386												
2387	General Statistics											
2388				Total Number of Observations		43				Number of Missing Observations		0
2389				Number of Distinct Observations		1						
2390				Number of Detects		0				Number of Non-Detects		43
2391				Number of Distinct Detects		0				Number of Distinct Non-Detects		1
2392				Minimum Detect		N/A				Minimum Non-Detect		1
2393				Maximum Detect		N/A				Maximum Non-Detect		1
2394				Variance Detected		N/A				Percent Non-Detects		100%
2395				Mean Detected		N/A				SD Detected		N/A
2396				Mean of Detected Logged Data		N/A				SD of Detected Logged Data		N/A
2397												
2398	Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs!											
2399	Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit!											
2400	The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV).											

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
2401												
2402	The data set for variable TOLUENE (mg/) was not processed!											
2403												
2404												
2405	TOTAL PHENOLICS (mg/L)											
2406												
2407	General Statistics											
2408	Total Number of Observations				44		Number of Missing Observations				0	
2409	Number of Distinct Observations				2							
2410	Number of Detects				2		Number of Non-Detects				42	
2411	Number of Distinct Detects				1		Number of Distinct Non-Detects				2	
2412	Minimum Detect				0.01		Minimum Non-Detect				0.005	
2413	Maximum Detect				0.01		Maximum Non-Detect				0.01	
2414	Variance Detected				0		Percent Non-Detects				95.45%	
2415	Mean Detected				0.01		SD Detected				0	
2416	Mean of Detected Logged Data				-4.605		SD of Detected Logged Data				0	
2417												
2418	Warning: Only one distinct data value was detected! ProUCL (or any other software) should not be used on such a data set!											
2419	It is suggested to use alternative site specific values determined by the Project Team to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV).											
2420												
2421	The data set for variable TOTAL PHENOLICS (mg/L) was not processed!											
2422												
2423												
2424	TRANS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE (ug/L)											
2425												
2426	General Statistics											
2427	Total Number of Observations				44		Number of Missing Observations				0	
2428	Number of Distinct Observations				1							
2429	Number of Detects				0		Number of Non-Detects				44	
2430	Number of Distinct Detects				0		Number of Distinct Non-Detects				1	
2431	Minimum Detect				N/A		Minimum Non-Detect				1	
2432	Maximum Detect				N/A		Maximum Non-Detect				1	
2433	Variance Detected				N/A		Percent Non-Detects				100%	
2434	Mean Detected				N/A		SD Detected				N/A	
2435	Mean of Detected Logged Data				N/A		SD of Detected Logged Data				N/A	
2436												
2437	Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs!											
2438	Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit!											
2439	The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV).											
2440												
2441	The data set for variable TRANS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE (ug/L) was not processed!											
2442												
2443												
2444	TRICHLOROETHENE (ug/L)											
2445												
2446	General Statistics											
2447	Total Number of Observations				44		Number of Missing Observations				0	
2448	Number of Distinct Observations				1							
2449	Number of Detects				0		Number of Non-Detects				44	
2450	Number of Distinct Detects				0		Number of Distinct Non-Detects				1	

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
2451				Minimum Detect		N/A				Minimum Non-Detect		1
2452				Maximum Detect		N/A				Maximum Non-Detect		1
2453				Variance Detected		N/A				Percent Non-Detects		100%
2454				Mean Detected		N/A				SD Detected		N/A
2455				Mean of Detected Logged Data		N/A				SD of Detected Logged Data		N/A
2456												
2457	Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs!											
2458	Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit!											
2459	The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV).											
2460												
2461	The data set for variable TRICHLOROETHENE (ug/L) was not processed!											
2462												
2463												
2464	TURBIDITY (NTU)											
2465												
2466	General Statistics											
2467				Total Number of Observations		44				Number of Missing Observations		0
2468				Number of Distinct Observations		29						
2469				Number of Detects		37				Number of Non-Detects		7
2470				Number of Distinct Detects		28				Number of Distinct Non-Detects		1
2471				Minimum Detect		0.11				Minimum Non-Detect		0.1
2472				Maximum Detect		10.1				Maximum Non-Detect		0.1
2473				Variance Detected		3.434				Percent Non-Detects		15.91%
2474				Mean Detected		0.826				SD Detected		1.853
2475				Mean of Detected Logged Data		-1.038				SD of Detected Logged Data		1.05
2476												
2477	Critical Values for Background Threshold Values (BTVs)											
2478				Tolerance Factor K (For UTL)		2.091				d2max (for USL)		2.906
2479												
2480	Normal GOF Test on Detects Only											
2481				Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic		0.405				Shapiro Wilk GOF Test		
2482				5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value		0.936				Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level		
2483				Lilliefors Test Statistic		0.401				Lilliefors GOF Test		
2484				5% Lilliefors Critical Value		0.144				Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level		
2485	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level											
2486												
2487	Kaplan Meier (KM) Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
2488				KM Mean		0.71				KM SD		1.697
2489				95% UTL95% Coverage		4.259				95% KM UPL (t)		3.596
2490				90% KM Percentile (z)		2.886				95% KM Percentile (z)		3.502
2491				99% KM Percentile (z)		4.659				95% KM USL		5.643
2492												
2493	DL/2 Substitution Background Statistics Assuming Normal Distribution											
2494				Mean		0.703				SD		1.72
2495				95% UTL95% Coverage		4.299				95% UPL (t)		3.626
2496				90% Percentile (z)		2.907				95% Percentile (z)		3.531
2497				99% Percentile (z)		4.703				95% USL		5.701
2498	DL/2 is not a recommended method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons											
2499												
2500	Gamma GOF Tests on Detected Observations Only											

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L		
2501	A-D Test Statistic					4.196	Anderson-Darling GOF Test							
2502	5% A-D Critical Value					0.792	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level							
2503	K-S Test Statistic					0.277	Kolmogorov-Smirnov GOF							
2504	5% K-S Critical Value					0.151	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level							
2505	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level													
2506														
2507	Gamma Statistics on Detected Data Only													
2508	k hat (MLE)					0.712	k star (bias corrected MLE)					0.673		
2509	Theta hat (MLE)					1.16	Theta star (bias corrected MLE)					1.228		
2510	nu hat (MLE)					52.71	nu star (bias corrected)					49.77		
2511	MLE Mean (bias corrected)					0.826								
2512	MLE Sd (bias corrected)					1.007	95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar)					4.645		
2513														
2514	Gamma ROS Statistics using Imputed Non-Detects													
2515	GROS may not be used when data set has > 50% NDs with many tied observations at multiple DLs													
2516	GROS may not be used when kstar of detects is small such as <1.0, especially when the sample size is small (e.g., <15-20)													
2517	For such situations, GROS method may yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs													
2518	This is especially true when the sample size is small.													
2519	For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs and UCLs may be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates													
2520	Minimum					0.01	Mean					0.696		
2521	Maximum					10.1	Median					0.235		
2522	SD					1.722	CV					2.474		
2523	k hat (MLE)					0.51	k star (bias corrected MLE)					0.49		
2524	Theta hat (MLE)					1.366	Theta star (bias corrected MLE)					1.421		
2525	nu hat (MLE)					44.84	nu star (bias corrected)					43.11		
2526	MLE Mean (bias corrected)					0.696	MLE Sd (bias corrected)					0.995		
2527	95% Percentile of Chisquare (2kstar)					3.792	90% Percentile					1.891		
2528	95% Percentile					2.694	99% Percentile					4.67		
2529	The following statistics are computed using Gamma ROS Statistics on Imputed Data													
2530	Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods													
2531						WH	HW						WH	HW
2532	95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage					3.191	3.303	95% Approx. Gamma UPL					2.315	2.3
2533	95% Gamma USL					5.676	6.413							
2534														
2535	Estimates of Gamma Parameters using KM Estimates													
2536	Mean (KM)					0.71	SD (KM)					1.697		
2537	Variance (KM)					2.88	SE of Mean (KM)					0.259		
2538	k hat (KM)					0.175	k star (KM)					0.178		
2539	nu hat (KM)					15.42	nu star (KM)					15.7		
2540	theta hat (KM)					4.054	theta star (KM)					3.982		
2541	80% gamma percentile (KM)					0.877	90% gamma percentile (KM)					2.142		
2542	95% gamma percentile (KM)					3.766	99% gamma percentile (KM)					8.327		
2543														
2544	The following statistics are computed using gamma distribution and KM estimates													
2545	Upper Limits using Wilson Hilferty (WH) and Hawkins Wixley (HW) Methods													
2546						WH	HW						WH	HW
2547	95% Approx. Gamma UTL with 95% Coverage					2.87	2.759	95% Approx. Gamma UPL					2.14	2.009
2548	95% KM Gamma Percentile					2.048	1.917	95% Gamma USL					4.89	4.977
2549														
2550	Lognormal GOF Test on Detected Observations Only													

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
2551	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic					0.855	Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
2552	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value					0.936	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
2553	Lilliefors Test Statistic					0.143	Lilliefors GOF Test					
2554	5% Lilliefors Critical Value					0.144	Detected Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
2555	Detected Data appear Approximate Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
2556												
2557	Background Lognormal ROS Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution Using Imputed Non-Detects											
2558	Mean in Original Scale					0.7	Mean in Log Scale					-1.409
2559	SD in Original Scale					1.721	SD in Log Scale					1.303
2560	95% UTL95% Coverage					3.729	95% BCA UTL95% Coverage					9.076
2561	95% Bootstrap (%) UTL95% Coverage					9.407	95% UPL (t)					2.241
2562	90% Percentile (z)					1.299	95% Percentile (z)					2.085
2563	99% Percentile (z)					5.068	95% USL					10.79
2564												
2565	Statistics using KM estimates on Logged Data and Assuming Lognormal Distribution											
2566	KM Mean of Logged Data					-1.239	95% KM UTL (Lognormal)95% Coverage					2.641
2567	KM SD of Logged Data					1.057	95% KM UPL (Lognormal)					1.747
2568	95% KM Percentile Lognormal (z)					1.648	95% KM USL (Lognormal)					6.251
2569												
2570	Background DL/2 Statistics Assuming Lognormal Distribution											
2571	Mean in Original Scale					0.703	Mean in Log Scale					-1.349
2572	SD in Original Scale					1.72	SD in Log Scale					1.204
2573	95% UTL95% Coverage					3.215	95% UPL (t)					2.008
2574	90% Percentile (z)					1.213	95% Percentile (z)					1.879
2575	99% Percentile (z)					4.267	95% USL					8.576
2576	DL/2 is not a Recommended Method. DL/2 provided for comparisons and historical reasons.											
2577												
2578	Nonparametric Distribution Free Background Statistics											
2579	Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level											
2580												
2581	Nonparametric Upper Limits for BTVs(no distinction made between detects and nondetects)											
2582	Order of Statistic, r					44	95% UTL with95% Coverage					10.1
2583	Approx, f used to compute achieved CC					2.316	Approximate Actual Confidence Coefficient achieved by UTL					0.895
2584	Approximate Sample Size needed to achieve specified CC					59	95% UPL					4.928
2585	95% USL					10.1	95% KM Chebyshev UPL					8.192
2586												
2587	Note: The use of USL tends to yield a conservative estimate of BTV, especially when the sample size starts exceeding 20.											
2588	Therefore, one may use USL to estimate a BTV only when the data set represents a background data set free of outliers											
2589	and consists of observations collected from clean unimpacted locations.											
2590	The use of USL tends to provide a balance between false positives and false negatives provided the data											
2591	represents a background data set and when many onsite observations need to be compared with the BTV.											
2592												
2593	VINYL CHLORIDE (ug/L)											
2594												
2595	General Statistics											
2596	Total Number of Observations					44	Number of Missing Observations					0
2597	Number of Distinct Observations					1						
2598	Number of Detects					0	Number of Non-Detects					44
2599	Number of Distinct Detects					0	Number of Distinct Non-Detects					1
2600	Minimum Detect					N/A	Minimum Non-Detect					1

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
2601				Maximum Detect		N/A				Maximum Non-Detect		1
2602				Variance Detected		N/A				Percent Non-Detects		100%
2603				Mean Detected		N/A				SD Detected		N/A
2604				Mean of Detected Logged Data		N/A				SD of Detected Logged Data		N/A
2605												
2606	Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs!											
2607	Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit!											
2608	The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV).											
2609												
2610	The data set for variable VINYL CHLORIDE (ug/L) was not processed!											
2611												
2612												
2613	TOTAL XYLENES (ug/L)											
2614												
2615	General Statistics											
2616				Total Number of Observations		44				Number of Missing Observations		0
2617				Number of Distinct Observations		1						
2618				Number of Detects		0				Number of Non-Detects		44
2619				Number of Distinct Detects		0				Number of Distinct Non-Detects		1
2620				Minimum Detect		N/A				Minimum Non-Detect		3
2621				Maximum Detect		N/A				Maximum Non-Detect		3
2622				Variance Detected		N/A				Percent Non-Detects		100%
2623				Mean Detected		N/A				SD Detected		N/A
2624				Mean of Detected Logged Data		N/A				SD of Detected Logged Data		N/A
2625												
2626	Warning: All observations are Non-Detects (NDs), therefore all statistics and estimates should also be NDs!											
2627	Specifically, sample mean, UCLs, UPLs, and other statistics are also NDs lying below the largest detection limit!											
2628	The Project Team may decide to use alternative site specific values to estimate environmental parameters (e.g., EPC, BTV).											
2629												
2630	The data set for variable TOTAL XYLENES (ug/L) was not processed!											
2631												
2632												



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF WASTE MANAGEMENT

Date Prepared/Revised 01/09/2020
DEP USE ONLY
Date Received

FORM 19
MUNICIPAL WASTE LANDFILL
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES

This form must be fully and accurately completed. All required information must be typed or legibly printed in the spaces provided. If additional space is necessary, identify each attached sheet as Form 19, reference the item number and identify the date prepared. The "date prepared/revised" on any attached sheets needs to match the "date prepared/revised" on this page.

General Reference: Section 273.284
Federal Regulations, Subtitle D: 258.54 and Appendix I to Part 258.

SECTION A. APPLICANT IDENTIFIER

Applicant/permittee: Lancaster County Solid Waste Mana
Site Name: Frey Farm Landfill
Facility ID (as issued by DEP): 101389

SECTION B. FACILITY INFORMATION

Monitoring Wells must be designed and constructed in accordance with Department Standards. INDICATE THE LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE TO THE NEAREST ONE TENTH OF A SECOND (D° MM' SS.S")

Monitoring Point Number: FFMP015W Well Spring Stream Other
 Upgradient/Upstream Downgradient/Downstream

Location (County): Lancaster County Municipality: Manor Township

Sampling Point: Latitude: 39 ° 57 ' 36.43 " Longitude: 76 ° 27 ' 10.82 "

Depth to Water Level: 59.81 ft Measured from: Land Surface TOC

Casing Stickup: 1.90 ft Elevation of Water Level: 516.59 ft./MSL

Sampling Depth: 135 ft Volume of Water Column: 130.84 gal

Total Well Depth: 148.9 ft Sampling Method: Pumped Bailed Grab

Well Purged: Yes No Well Volumes Purged: 1.3

Sample Field Filtered (must be 0.45 micron)?: Yes No

Spring Flow Rate: gpm

Sample Date (mm/dd/yy): 11/18/2019 Sample Collection Time: 10:40

Sample Collector's Name: Mr. Brian G Shade

Sample Collector's Affiliation: ALS

Laboratory(ies) Performing Analysis: ALS Environmental

Were any holding times exceeded?: Yes No If yes, please explain in comments field.

Lab Accreditation Number(s): 22-293

Lab Sample Number(s): 3070614001 Final Lab Analysis CompletionDate: 11/27/2019

Name/Affiliation of Person who Filled Out Form: Nick R. Rogers

Comments: _____

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP015W

Sample Date 11/18/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****ANALYTES****1-Q. Inorganics (Enter all data in mg/l except as noted)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
AMMONIA-NITROGEN	0.1 ND	D6919-09
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY	19	SM20-2320B
CALCIUM, TOTAL	11.7	SW846 6010C
CALCIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
COD (CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND)	15 ND	EPA 410.4
CHLORIDE	13.4	EPA 300
FLUORIDE	0.2 ND	EPA 300
IRON, TOTAL (ug/l)	67 ND	SW846 6010C
IRON, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, TOTAL	12	SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, TOTAL (ug/l)	30	SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
NITRATE-NITROGEN	14.9	EPA 300
pH-FIELD (SU)	5.31	FIELD
pH-LAB (SU)	5.92	SM20-4500HB
POTASSIUM, TOTAL	2.1	SW846 6010C
POTASSIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SODIUM, TOTAL	18.9	SW846 6010C
SODIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SPEC. COND., FIELD (umhos/cm)	293	FIELD
SPEC. COND., LAB (umhos/cm)	252	SW846 9050A
SULFATE	36.5	EPA 300
ALKALINITY	19	SM20-2320B
TDS (TOT. DISSOLVED SOLIDS)	304	SM20-2540C
TOC (TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON)	1	SW846 9060A
TOTAL PHENOLICS (ug/l)	5 ND	SW846 9066
TURBIDITY (N.T.U.)	0.1 ND	SM 2130B

* Indicator Analyte - For comparison with detection zone analytes.

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.

** Total and dissolved analysis required only in conjunction with additional annual metals sampling (see page 4).

Remaining quarterly samples only require total metals analysis.

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP015W

Sample Date 11/18/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****2-Q. Organics (Enter all data in ug/l)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
BENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
CIS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRANS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
ETHYLBENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TETRACHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TOLUENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
VINYL CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
XYLENES (TOTAL)	3 ND	SW846 8260B

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF WASTE MANAGEMENT

Date Prepared/Revised
01/09/2020

DEP USE ONLY

Date Received

FORM 19
MUNICIPAL WASTE LANDFILL
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES

This form must be fully and accurately completed. All required information must be typed or legibly printed in the spaces provided. If additional space is necessary, identify each attached sheet as Form 19, reference the item number and identify the date prepared. The "date prepared/revised" on any attached sheets needs to match the "date prepared/revised" on this page.

General Reference: Section 273.284
Federal Regulations, Subtitle D: 258.54 and Appendix I to Part 258.

SECTION A. APPLICANT IDENTIFIER

Applicant/permittee: Lancaster County Solid Waste Mana

Site Name: Frey Farm Landfill

Facility ID (as issued by DEP): 101389

SECTION B. FACILITY INFORMATION

Monitoring Wells must be designed and constructed in accordance with Department Standards. INDICATE THE LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE TO THE NEAREST ONE TENTH OF A SECOND (D° MM' SS.S")

Monitoring Point Number: FFMP028W Well Spring Stream Other
 Upgradient/Upstream Downgradient/Downstream

Location (County): Lancaster County Municipality: Manor Township

Sampling Point: Latitude: 37 ° 57 ' 21.62 " Longitude: 76 ° 27 ' 0.1 "

Depth to Water Level: 12.31 ft Measured from: Land Surface TOC

Casing Stickup: 2.50 ft Elevation of Water Level: 452.69 ft./MSL

Sampling Depth: 50 ft Volume of Water Column: _____ gal

Total Well Depth: 60 ft Sampling Method: Pumped Bailed Grab

Well Purged: Yes No Well Volumes Purged: 2.3

Sample Field Filtered (must be 0.45 micron)?: Yes No

Spring Flow Rate: _____ gpm

Sample Date (mm/dd/yy): 11/18/2019 Sample Collection Time: 13:45

Sample Collector's Name: Mr. Brian G Shade

Sample Collector's Affiliation: ALS

Laboratory(ies) Performing Analysis: ALS Environmental

Were any holding times exceeded?: Yes No If yes, please explain in comments field.

Lab Accreditation Number(s): 22-293

Lab Sample Number(s): 3070614002 Final Lab Analysis CompletionDate: 11/27/2019

Name/Affiliation of Person who Filled Out Form: Nick R. Rogers

Comments: _____

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP028W

Sample Date 11/18/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****ANALYTES****1-Q. Inorganics (Enter all data in mg/l except as noted)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
AMMONIA-NITROGEN	0.1 ND	D6919-09
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY	34	SM20-2320B
CALCIUM, TOTAL	41.4	SW846 6010C
CALCIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
COD (CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND)	15 ND	EPA 410.4
CHLORIDE	89.4	EPA 300
FLUORIDE	0.2 ND	EPA 300
IRON, TOTAL (ug/l)	67 ND	SW846 6010C
IRON, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, TOTAL	17.5	SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, TOTAL (ug/l)	9.2	SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
NITRATE-NITROGEN	18.6	EPA 300
pH-FIELD (SU)	5.44	FIELD
pH-LAB (SU)	6.01	SM20-4500HB
POTASSIUM, TOTAL	2.2	SW846 6010C
POTASSIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SODIUM, TOTAL	28	SW846 6010C
SODIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SPEC. COND., FIELD (umhos/cm)	573	FIELD
SPEC. COND., LAB (umhos/cm)	552	SW846 9050A
SULFATE	26.7	EPA 300
ALKALINITY	34	SM20-2320B
TDS (TOT. DISSOLVED SOLIDS)	426	SM20-2540C
TOC (TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON)	1.1	SW846 9060A
TOTAL PHENOLICS (ug/l)	5 ND	SW846 9066
TURBIDITY (N.T.U.)	0.1 ND	SM 2130B

* Indicator Analyte - For comparison with detection zone analytes.

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.

** Total and dissolved analysis required only in conjunction with additional annual metals sampling (see page 4).
Remaining quarterly samples only require total metals analysis.

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP028W

Sample Date 11/18/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****2-Q. Organics (Enter all data in ug/l)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
BENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
CIS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRANS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
ETHYLBENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	1.1	SW846 8260B
TETRACHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TOLUENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
VINYL CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
XYLENES (TOTAL)	3 ND	SW846 8260B

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF WASTE MANAGEMENT



Date Prepared/Revised
01/09/2020

DEP USE ONLY

Date Received

FORM 19
MUNICIPAL WASTE LANDFILL
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES

This form must be fully and accurately completed. All required information must be typed or legibly printed in the spaces provided. If additional space is necessary, identify each attached sheet as Form 19, reference the item number and identify the date prepared. The "date prepared/revised" on any attached sheets needs to match the "date prepared/revised" on this page.

General Reference: Section 273.284
Federal Regulations, Subtitle D: 258.54 and Appendix I to Part 258.

SECTION A. APPLICANT IDENTIFIER

Applicant/permittee: Lancaster County Solid Waste Mana

Site Name: Frey Farm Landfill

Facility ID (as issued by DEP): 101389

SECTION B. FACILITY INFORMATION

Monitoring Wells must be designed and constructed in accordance with Department Standards. INDICATE THE LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE TO THE NEAREST ONE TENTH OF A SECOND (D° MM' SS.S")

Monitoring Point Number: FFMP033W Well Spring Stream Other
 Upgradient/Upstream Downgradient/Downstream

Location (County): Lancaster County Municipality: MANOR TOWNSHIP

Sampling Point: Latitude: 39 ° 57 ' 31.09 " Longitude: 76 ° 27 ' 4.98 "

Depth to Water Level: 18.11 ft Measured from: Land Surface TOC

Casing Stickup: 0.49 ft Elevation of Water Level: 498.41 ft./MSL

Sampling Depth: 79 ft Volume of Water Column: 114.39 gal

Total Well Depth: 96 ft Sampling Method: Pumped Bailed Grab

Well Purged: Yes No Well Volumes Purged: 1.0

Sample Field Filtered (must be 0.45 micron)?: Yes No

Spring Flow Rate: gpm

Sample Date (mm/dd/yy): 11/18/2019 Sample Collection Time: 15:03

Sample Collector's Name: Mr. Brian G Shade

Sample Collector's Affiliation: ALS

Laboratory(ies) Performing Analysis: ALS Environmental

Were any holding times exceeded?: Yes No If yes, please explain in comments field.

Lab Accreditation Number(s): 22-293

Lab Sample Number(s): 3070614003 Final Lab Analysis CompletionDate: 11/27/2019

Name/Affiliation of Person who Filled Out Form: Nick R. Rogers

Comments: _____

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP033W

Sample Date 11/18/2019

FORM 19
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES
ANALYTES

1-Q. Inorganics (Enter all data in mg/l except as noted)

ANALYTE	VALUE ^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
AMMONIA-NITROGEN	0.702	D6919-09
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY	55	SM20-2320B
CALCIUM, TOTAL	26.7	SW846 6010C
CALCIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
COD (CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND)	15 ND	EPA 410.4
CHLORIDE	39.2	EPA 300
FLUORIDE	0.2 ND	EPA 300
IRON, TOTAL (ug/l)	6000	SW846 6010C
IRON, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, TOTAL	9	SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, TOTAL (ug/l)	490	SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
NITRATE-NITROGEN	11.6	EPA 300
pH-FIELD (SU)	5.82	FIELD
pH-LAB (SU)	6.46	SM20-4500HB
POTASSIUM, TOTAL	1.7	SW846 6010C
POTASSIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SODIUM, TOTAL	14.1	SW846 6010C
SODIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SPEC. COND., FIELD (umhos/cm)	351	FIELD
SPEC. COND., LAB (umhos/cm)	294	SW846 9050A
SULFATE	7.4	EPA 300
ALKALINITY	55	SM20-2320B
TDS (TOT. DISSOLVED SOLIDS)	284	SM20-2540C
TOC (TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON)	0.5 ND	SW846 9060A
TOTAL PHENOLICS (ug/l)	5 ND	SW846 9066
TURBIDITY (N.T.U.)	5.81	SM 2130B

* Indicator Analyte - For comparison with detection zone analytes.

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.

** Total and dissolved analysis required only in conjunction with additional annual metals sampling (see page 4).
Remaining quarterly samples only require total metals analysis.

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP033W

Sample Date 11/18/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****2-Q. Organics (Enter all data in ug/l)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
BENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
CIS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRANS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
ETHYLBENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TETRACHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TOLUENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
VINYL CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
XYLENES (TOTAL)	3 ND	SW846 8260B

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF WASTE MANAGEMENT

Date Prepared/Revised
01/09/2020

DEP USE ONLY

Date Received

FORM 19
MUNICIPAL WASTE LANDFILL
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES

This form must be fully and accurately completed. All required information must be typed or legibly printed in the spaces provided. If additional space is necessary, identify each attached sheet as Form 19, reference the item number and identify the date prepared. The "date prepared/revised" on any attached sheets needs to match the "date prepared/revised" on this page.

General Reference: Section 273.284
Federal Regulations, Subtitle D: 258.54 and Appendix I to Part 258.

SECTION A. APPLICANT IDENTIFIER

Applicant/permittee: Lancaster County Solid Waste Mana

Site Name: Frey Farm Landfill

Facility ID (as issued by DEP): 101389

SECTION B. FACILITY INFORMATION

Monitoring Wells must be designed and constructed in accordance with Department Standards. INDICATE THE LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE TO THE NEAREST ONE TENTH OF A SECOND (D° MM' SS.S")

Monitoring Point Number: FFMP30RW Well Spring Stream Other
 Upgradient/Upstream Downgradient/Downstream

Location (County): Lancaster County

Municipality: Manor Township

Sampling Point: Latitude: 39 ° 57 ' 15.52 " Longitude: 76 ° 27 ' 26.8 "

Depth to Water Level: 36.45 ft Measured from: Land Surface TOC

Casing Stickup: 2.20 ft Elevation of Water Level: 525.85 ft./MSL

Sampling Depth: 85 ft Volume of Water Column: 78.65 gal

Total Well Depth: 90 ft Sampling Method: Pumped Bailed Grab

Well Purged: Yes No Well Volumes Purged: 1.4

Sample Field Filtered (must be 0.45 micron)?: Yes No

Spring Flow Rate: gpm

Sample Date (mm/dd/yy): 11/19/2019 Sample Collection Time: 10:59

Sample Collector's Name: Mr. Brian G Shade

Sample Collector's Affiliation: ALS

Laboratory(ies) Performing Analysis: ALS Environmental

Were any holding times exceeded?: Yes No If yes, please explain in comments field.

Lab Accreditation Number(s): 22-293

Lab Sample Number(s): 3070806001 Final Lab Analysis Completion Date: 11/26/2019

Name/Affiliation of Person who Filled Out Form: Nick R. Rogers

Comments: _____

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP30RW

Sample Date 11/19/2019

FORM 19
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES
ANALYTES

1-Q. Inorganics (Enter all data in mg/l except as noted)

ANALYTE	VALUE ^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
AMMONIA-NITROGEN	0.159	D6919-09
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY	42	SM20-2320B
CALCIUM, TOTAL	43.1	SW846 6010C
CALCIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
COD (CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND)	15 ND	EPA 410.4
CHLORIDE	229	EPA 300
FLUORIDE	0.2 ND	EPA 300
IRON, TOTAL (ug/l)	270	SW846 6010C
IRON, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, TOTAL	16.9	SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, TOTAL (ug/l)	3000	SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
NITRATE-NITROGEN	5.9	EPA 300
pH-FIELD (SU)	5.39	FIELD
pH-LAB (SU)	6.17	SM20-4500HB
POTASSIUM, TOTAL	6.8	SW846 6010C
POTASSIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SODIUM, TOTAL	112	SW846 6010C
SODIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SPEC. COND., FIELD (umhos/cm)	1008	FIELD
SPEC. COND., LAB (umhos/cm)	1090	SW846 9050A
SULFATE	32.9	EPA 300
ALKALINITY	42	SM20-2320B
TDS (TOT. DISSOLVED SOLIDS)	626	SM20-2540C
TOC (TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON)	1.3	SW846 9060A
TOTAL PHENOLICS (ug/l)	5 ND	SW846 9066
TURBIDITY (N.T.U.)	3.07	SM 2130B

* Indicator Analyte - For comparison with detection zone analytes.

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.

** Total and dissolved analysis required only in conjunction with additional annual metals sampling (see page 4).
Remaining quarterly samples only require total metals analysis.

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP30RW

Sample Date 11/19/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****2-Q. Organics (Enter all data in ug/l)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
BENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
CIS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRANS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
ETHYLBENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TETRACHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TOLUENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
VINYL CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
XYLENES (TOTAL)	3 ND	SW846 8260B

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF WASTE MANAGEMENT

Date Prepared/Revised
01/09/2020

DEP USE ONLY

Date Received

FORM 19
MUNICIPAL WASTE LANDFILL
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES

This form must be fully and accurately completed. All required information must be typed or legibly printed in the spaces provided. If additional space is necessary, identify each attached sheet as Form 19, reference the item number and identify the date prepared. The "date prepared/revised" on any attached sheets needs to match the "date prepared/revised" on this page.

General Reference: Section 273.284
Federal Regulations, Subtitle D: 258.54 and Appendix I to Part 258.

SECTION A. APPLICANT IDENTIFIER

Applicant/permittee: Lancaster County Solid Waste Mana

Site Name: Frey Farm Landfill

Facility ID (as issued by DEP): 101389

SECTION B. FACILITY INFORMATION

Monitoring Wells must be designed and constructed in accordance with Department Standards. INDICATE THE LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE TO THE NEAREST ONE TENTH OF A SECOND (D° MM' SS.S")

Monitoring Point Number: FFMP04AW Well Spring Stream Other
 Upgradient/Upstream Downgradient/Downstream

Location (County): Lancaster County

Municipality: Manor Township

Sampling Point: Latitude: 39 ° 57 ' 15.4 " Longitude: 76 ° 27 ' 26.58 "

Depth to Water Level: 36.52 ft Measured from: Land Surface TOC

Casing Stickup: 2.52 ft Elevation of Water Level: 524.2 ft./MSL

Sampling Depth: 146 ft Volume of Water Column: 389.19 gal

Total Well Depth: 301.52 ft Sampling Method: Pumped Bailed Grab

Well Purged: Yes No Well Volumes Purged: 0.7

Sample Field Filtered (must be 0.45 micron)?: Yes No

Spring Flow Rate: gpm

Sample Date (mm/dd/yy): 11/19/2019 Sample Collection Time: 12:06

Sample Collector's Name: Mr. Brian G Shade

Sample Collector's Affiliation: ALS

Laboratory(ies) Performing Analysis: ALS Environmental

Were any holding times exceeded?: Yes No If yes, please explain in comments field.

Lab Accreditation Number(s): 22-293

Lab Sample Number(s): 3070806002 Final Lab Analysis CompletionDate: 11/26/2019

Name/Affiliation of Person who Filled Out Form: Nick R. Rogers

Comments: _____

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP04AW

Sample Date 11/19/2019

FORM 19
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES
ANALYTES

1-Q. Inorganics (Enter all data in mg/l except as noted)

ANALYTE	VALUE ^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
AMMONIA-NITROGEN	0.111	D6919-09
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY	243	SM20-2320B
CALCIUM, TOTAL	150	SW846 6010C
CALCIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
COD (CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND)	15 ND	EPA 410.4
CHLORIDE	296	EPA 300
FLUORIDE	0.2 ND	EPA 300
IRON, TOTAL (ug/l)	67 ND	SW846 6010C
IRON, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, TOTAL	25.1	SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, TOTAL (ug/l)	200	SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
NITRATE-NITROGEN	0.3	EPA 300
pH-FIELD (SU)	6.91	FIELD
pH-LAB (SU)	7.57	SM20-4500HB
POTASSIUM, TOTAL	2.5	SW846 6010C
POTASSIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SODIUM, TOTAL	83.9	SW846 6010C
SODIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SPEC. COND., FIELD (umhos/cm)	1459	FIELD
SPEC. COND., LAB (umhos/cm)	1560	SW846 9050A
SULFATE	46.4	EPA 300
ALKALINITY	243	SM20-2320B
TDS (TOT. DISSOLVED SOLIDS)	922	SM20-2540C
TOC (TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON)	0.91	SW846 9060A
TOTAL PHENOLICS (ug/l)	5 ND	SW846 9066
TURBIDITY (N.T.U.)	0.34	SM 2130B

* Indicator Analyte - For comparison with detection zone analytes.

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.

** Total and dissolved analysis required only in conjunction with additional annual metals sampling (see page 4).
Remaining quarterly samples only require total metals analysis.

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP04AW

Sample Date 11/19/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****2-Q. Organics (Enter all data in ug/l)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
BENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
CIS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRANS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
ETHYLBENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TETRACHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TOLUENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
VINYL CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
XYLENES (TOTAL)	3 ND	SW846 8260B

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF WASTE MANAGEMENT

Date Prepared/Revised
01/09/2020

DEP USE ONLY

Date Received

FORM 19
MUNICIPAL WASTE LANDFILL
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES

This form must be fully and accurately completed. All required information must be typed or legibly printed in the spaces provided. If additional space is necessary, identify each attached sheet as Form 19, reference the item number and identify the date prepared. The "date prepared/revised" on any attached sheets needs to match the "date prepared/revised" on this page.

General Reference: Section 273.284
Federal Regulations, Subtitle D: 258.54 and Appendix I to Part 258.

SECTION A. APPLICANT IDENTIFIER

Applicant/permittee: Lancaster County Solid Waste Mana

Site Name: Frey Farm Landfill

Facility ID (as issued by DEP): 101389

SECTION B. FACILITY INFORMATION

Monitoring Wells must be designed and constructed in accordance with Department Standards. INDICATE THE LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE TO THE NEAREST ONE TENTH OF A SECOND (D° MM' SS.S")

Monitoring Point Number: FFMP017W Well Spring Stream Other
 Upgradient/Upstream Downgradient/Downstream

Location (County): Lancaster County

Municipality: Manor Township

Sampling Point: Latitude: 39 ° 57 ' 8.5 " Longitude: 76 ° 27 ' 6.17 "

Depth to Water Level: 42.25 ft Measured from: Land Surface TOC

Casing Stickup: 2.00 ft Elevation of Water Level: 438.45 ft./MSL

Sampling Depth: 135 ft Volume of Water Column: 158.98 gal

Total Well Depth: 150.5 ft Sampling Method: Pumped Bailed Grab

Well Purged: Yes No Well Volumes Purged: 0.9

Sample Field Filtered (must be 0.45 micron)?: Yes No

Spring Flow Rate: gpm

Sample Date (mm/dd/yy): 11/19/2019 Sample Collection Time: 13:50

Sample Collector's Name: Mr. Brian G Shade

Sample Collector's Affiliation: ALS

Laboratory(ies) Performing Analysis: ALS Environmental

Were any holding times exceeded?: Yes No If yes, please explain in comments field.

Lab Accreditation Number(s): 22-293

Lab Sample Number(s): 3070806003 Final Lab Analysis CompletionDate: 11/26/2019

Name/Affiliation of Person who Filled Out Form: Nick R. Rogers

Comments: _____

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP017W

Sample Date 11/19/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****ANALYTES****1-Q. Inorganics (Enter all data in mg/l except as noted)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
AMMONIA-NITROGEN	0.146	D6919-09
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY	53	SM20-2320B
CALCIUM, TOTAL	92.9	SW846 6010C
CALCIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
COD (CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND)	15 ND	EPA 410.4
CHLORIDE	348	EPA 300
FLUORIDE	0.2 ND	EPA 300
IRON, TOTAL (ug/l)	67 ND	SW846 6010C
IRON, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, TOTAL	41.6	SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, TOTAL (ug/l)	450	SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
NITRATE-NITROGEN	2.3	EPA 300
pH-FIELD (SU)	5.67	FIELD
pH-LAB (SU)	6.4	SM20-4500HB
POTASSIUM, TOTAL	5.2	SW846 6010C
POTASSIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SODIUM, TOTAL	79.2	SW846 6010C
SODIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SPEC. COND., FIELD (umhos/cm)	1419	FIELD
SPEC. COND., LAB (umhos/cm)	1530	SW846 9050A
SULFATE	56	EPA 300
ALKALINITY	53	SM20-2320B
TDS (TOT. DISSOLVED SOLIDS)	900	SM20-2540C
TOC (TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON)	2.1	SW846 9060A
TOTAL PHENOLICS (ug/l)	5 ND	SW846 9066
TURBIDITY (N.T.U.)	0.48	SM 2130B

* Indicator Analyte - For comparison with detection zone analytes.

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.

** Total and dissolved analysis required only in conjunction with additional annual metals sampling (see page 4).

Remaining quarterly samples only require total metals analysis.

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP017W

Sample Date 11/19/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****2-Q. Organics (Enter all data in ug/l)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
BENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
CIS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRANS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
ETHYLBENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	1	SW846 8260B
TETRACHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TOLUENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
VINYL CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
XYLENES (TOTAL)	3 ND	SW846 8260B

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF WASTE MANAGEMENT

Date Prepared/Revised
01/09/2020

DEP USE ONLY

Date Received

FORM 19
MUNICIPAL WASTE LANDFILL
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES

This form must be fully and accurately completed. All required information must be typed or legibly printed in the spaces provided. If additional space is necessary, identify each attached sheet as Form 19, reference the item number and identify the date prepared. The "date prepared/revised" on any attached sheets needs to match the "date prepared/revised" on this page.

General Reference: Section 273.284
Federal Regulations, Subtitle D: 258.54 and Appendix I to Part 258.

SECTION A. APPLICANT IDENTIFIER

Applicant/permittee: Lancaster County Solid Waste Mana

Site Name: Frey Farm Landfill

Facility ID (as issued by DEP): 101389

SECTION B. FACILITY INFORMATION

Monitoring Wells must be designed and constructed in accordance with Department Standards. INDICATE THE LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE TO THE NEAREST ONE TENTH OF A SECOND (D° MM' SS.S")

Monitoring Point Number: FFMP005W Well Spring Stream Other
 Upgradient/Upstream Downgradient/Downstream

Location (County): Lancaster County

Municipality: Manor Township

Sampling Point: Latitude: 39 ° 57 ' 10.67 " Longitude: 76 ° 27 ' 21.3 "

Depth to Water Level: 70.98 ft Measured from: Land Surface TOC

Casing Stickup: 1.70 ft Elevation of Water Level: 466.42 ft./MSL

Sampling Depth: 135 ft Volume of Water Column: 116.05 gal

Total Well Depth: 150 ft Sampling Method: Pumped Bailed Grab

Well Purged: Yes No Well Volumes Purged: 0.6

Sample Field Filtered (must be 0.45 micron)?: Yes No

Spring Flow Rate: gpm

Sample Date (mm/dd/yy): 11/19/2019 Sample Collection Time: 15:10

Sample Collector's Name: Mr. Brian G Shade

Sample Collector's Affiliation: ALS

Laboratory(ies) Performing Analysis: ALS Environmental

Were any holding times exceeded?: Yes No If yes, please explain in comments field.

Lab Accreditation Number(s): 22-293

Lab Sample Number(s): 3070806004 Final Lab Analysis CompletionDate: 11/26/2019

Name/Affiliation of Person who Filled Out Form: Nick R. Rogers

Comments: _____

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP005W

Sample Date 11/19/2019

FORM 19
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES
ANALYTES

1-Q. Inorganics (Enter all data in mg/l except as noted)

ANALYTE	VALUE ^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
AMMONIA-NITROGEN	0.499	D6919-09
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY	55	SM20-2320B
CALCIUM, TOTAL	79.5	SW846 6010C
CALCIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
COD (CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND)	15 ND	EPA 410.4
CHLORIDE	187	EPA 300
FLUORIDE	0.2 ND	EPA 300
IRON, TOTAL (ug/l)	67 ND	SW846 6010C
IRON, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, TOTAL	19.3	SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, TOTAL (ug/l)	96	SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
NITRATE-NITROGEN	3.1	EPA 300
pH-FIELD (SU)	5.55	FIELD
pH-LAB (SU)	6.32	SM20-4500HB
POTASSIUM, TOTAL	3.5	SW846 6010C
POTASSIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SODIUM, TOTAL	47.8	SW846 6010C
SODIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SPEC. COND., FIELD (umhos/cm)	906	FIELD
SPEC. COND., LAB (umhos/cm)	981	SW846 9050A
SULFATE	52.9	EPA 300
ALKALINITY	55	SM20-2320B
TDS (TOT. DISSOLVED SOLIDS)	628	SM20-2540C
TOC (TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON)	1.2	SW846 9060A
TOTAL PHENOLICS (ug/l)	5 ND	SW846 9066
TURBIDITY (N.T.U.)	0.1 ND	SM 2130B

* Indicator Analyte - For comparison with detection zone analytes.

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.

** Total and dissolved analysis required only in conjunction with additional annual metals sampling (see page 4).
Remaining quarterly samples only require total metals analysis.

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP005W

Sample Date 11/19/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****2-Q. Organics (Enter all data in ug/l)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
BENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
CIS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRANS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
ETHYLBENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TETRACHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TOLUENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
VINYL CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
XYLENES (TOTAL)	3 ND	SW846 8260B

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF WASTE MANAGEMENT

Date Prepared/Revised
01/09/2020

DEP USE ONLY

Date Received

FORM 19
MUNICIPAL WASTE LANDFILL
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES

This form must be fully and accurately completed. All required information must be typed or legibly printed in the spaces provided. If additional space is necessary, identify each attached sheet as Form 19, reference the item number and identify the date prepared. The "date prepared/revised" on any attached sheets needs to match the "date prepared/revised" on this page.

General Reference: Section 273.284
Federal Regulations, Subtitle D: 258.54 and Appendix I to Part 258.

SECTION A. APPLICANT IDENTIFIER

Applicant/permittee: Lancaster County Solid Waste Mana

Site Name: Frey Farm Landfill

Facility ID (as issued by DEP): 101389

SECTION B. FACILITY INFORMATION

Monitoring Wells must be designed and constructed in accordance with Department Standards. INDICATE THE LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE TO THE NEAREST ONE TENTH OF A SECOND (D° MM' SS.S")

Monitoring Point Number: FFMP26RW Well Spring Stream Other
 Upgradient/Upstream Downgradient/Downstream

Location (County): Lancaster County

Municipality: Manor Township

Sampling Point: Latitude: 39 ° 57 ' 11.03 " Longitude: 76 ° 27 ' 20.3 "

Depth to Water Level: 80.96 ft Measured from: Land Surface TOC

Casing Stickup: 3.30 ft Elevation of Water Level: 466.44 ft./MSL

Sampling Depth: 105 ft Volume of Water Column: 48.52 gal

Total Well Depth: 114 ft Sampling Method: Pumped Bailed Grab

Well Purged: Yes No Well Volumes Purged: 1.5

Sample Field Filtered (must be 0.45 micron)?: Yes No

Spring Flow Rate: gpm

Sample Date (mm/dd/yy): 11/20/2019 Sample Collection Time: 10:14

Sample Collector's Name: Mr. Brian G Shade

Sample Collector's Affiliation: ALS

Laboratory(ies) Performing Analysis: ALS Environmental

Were any holding times exceeded?: Yes No If yes, please explain in comments field.

Lab Accreditation Number(s): 22-293

Lab Sample Number(s): 3071088001 Final Lab Analysis CompletionDate: 11/27/2019

Name/Affiliation of Person who Filled Out Form: Nick R. Rogers

Comments: _____

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP26RW

Sample Date 11/20/2019

FORM 19
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES
ANALYTES

1-Q. Inorganics (Enter all data in mg/l except as noted)

ANALYTE	VALUE ^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
AMMONIA-NITROGEN	0.154	D6919-09
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY	50	SM20-2320B
CALCIUM, TOTAL	72	SW846 6010C
CALCIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
COD (CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND)	15 ND	EPA 410.4
CHLORIDE	195	EPA 300
FLUORIDE	0.2 ND	EPA 300
IRON, TOTAL (ug/l)	67 ND	SW846 6010C
IRON, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, TOTAL	19.9	SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, TOTAL (ug/l)	500	SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
NITRATE-NITROGEN	2.1	EPA 300
pH-FIELD (SU)	5.23	FIELD
pH-LAB (SU)	5.96	SM20-4500HB
POTASSIUM, TOTAL	8.4	SW846 6010C
POTASSIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SODIUM, TOTAL	64	SW846 6010C
SODIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SPEC. COND., FIELD (umhos/cm)	956	FIELD
SPEC. COND., LAB (umhos/cm)	1020	SW846 9050A
SULFATE	65.5	EPA 300
ALKALINITY	50	SM20-2320B
TDS (TOT. DISSOLVED SOLIDS)	502	SM20-2540C
TOC (TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON)	1.5	SW846 9060A
TOTAL PHENOLICS (ug/l)	5 ND	SW846 9066
TURBIDITY (N.T.U.)	0.7	SM 2130B

* Indicator Analyte - For comparison with detection zone analytes.

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.

** Total and dissolved analysis required only in conjunction with additional annual metals sampling (see page 4).
Remaining quarterly samples only require total metals analysis.

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP26RW

Sample Date 11/20/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****2-Q. Organics (Enter all data in ug/l)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
BENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
CIS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRANS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
ETHYLBENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TETRACHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TOLUENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
VINYL CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
XYLENES (TOTAL)	3 ND	SW846 8260B

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF WASTE MANAGEMENT

Date Prepared/Revised
01/09/2020

DEP USE ONLY

Date Received

FORM 19
MUNICIPAL WASTE LANDFILL
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES

This form must be fully and accurately completed. All required information must be typed or legibly printed in the spaces provided. If additional space is necessary, identify each attached sheet as Form 19, reference the item number and identify the date prepared. The "date prepared/revised" on any attached sheets needs to match the "date prepared/revised" on this page.

General Reference: Section 273.284
Federal Regulations, Subtitle D: 258.54 and Appendix I to Part 258.

SECTION A. APPLICANT IDENTIFIER

Applicant/permittee: Lancaster County Solid Waste Mana

Site Name: Frey Farm Landfill

Facility ID (as issued by DEP): 101389

SECTION B. FACILITY INFORMATION

Monitoring Wells must be designed and constructed in accordance with Department Standards. INDICATE THE LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE TO THE NEAREST ONE TENTH OF A SECOND (D° MM' SS.S")

Monitoring Point Number: FFMP018W Well Spring Stream Other
 Upgradient/Upstream Downgradient/Downstream

Location (County): Lancaster County Municipality: Manor Township

Sampling Point: Latitude: 39 ° 57 ' 11.62 " Longitude: 76 ° 27 ' 5.68 "

Depth to Water Level: 28.06 ft Measured from: Land Surface TOC

Casing Stickup: 2.46 ft Elevation of Water Level: 444.14 ft./MSL

Sampling Depth: 40 ft Volume of Water Column: 15.25 gal

Total Well Depth: 51.43 ft Sampling Method: Pumped Bailed Grab

Well Purged: Yes No Well Volumes Purged: 3.1

Sample Field Filtered (must be 0.45 micron)?: Yes No

Spring Flow Rate: gpm

Sample Date (mm/dd/yy): 11/20/2019 Sample Collection Time: 10:40

Sample Collector's Name: Mr. Brian G Shade

Sample Collector's Affiliation: ALS

Laboratory(ies) Performing Analysis: ALS Environmental

Were any holding times exceeded?: Yes No If yes, please explain in comments field.

Lab Accreditation Number(s): 22-293

Lab Sample Number(s): 3071088002 Final Lab Analysis CompletionDate: 11/27/2019

Name/Affiliation of Person who Filled Out Form: Nick R. Rogers

Comments: _____

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP018W

Sample Date 11/20/2019

FORM 19
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES
ANALYTES

1-Q. Inorganics (Enter all data in mg/l except as noted)

ANALYTE	VALUE ^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
AMMONIA-NITROGEN	0.174	D6919-09
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY	30	SM20-2320B
CALCIUM, TOTAL	35.5	SW846 6010C
CALCIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
COD (CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND)	15 ND	EPA 410.4
CHLORIDE	99.2	EPA 300
FLUORIDE	0.2 ND	EPA 300
IRON, TOTAL (ug/l)	67 ND	SW846 6010C
IRON, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, TOTAL	16.2	SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, TOTAL (ug/l)	350	SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
NITRATE-NITROGEN	5.5	EPA 300
pH-FIELD (SU)	5.51	FIELD
pH-LAB (SU)	6.15	SM20-4500HB
POTASSIUM, TOTAL	6.5	SW846 6010C
POTASSIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SODIUM, TOTAL	35.8	SW846 6010C
SODIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SPEC. COND., FIELD (umhos/cm)	572	FIELD
SPEC. COND., LAB (umhos/cm)	613	SW846 9050A
SULFATE	39.9	EPA 300
ALKALINITY	30	SM20-2320B
TDS (TOT. DISSOLVED SOLIDS)	290	SM20-2540C
TOC (TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON)	1.1	SW846 9060A
TOTAL PHENOLICS (ug/l)	5 ND	SW846 9066
TURBIDITY (N.T.U.)	0.1 ND	SM 2130B

* Indicator Analyte - For comparison with detection zone analytes.

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.

** Total and dissolved analysis required only in conjunction with additional annual metals sampling (see page 4).
Remaining quarterly samples only require total metals analysis.

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP018W

Sample Date 11/20/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****2-Q. Organics (Enter all data in ug/l)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
BENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
CIS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRANS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
ETHYLBENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	1	SW846 8260B
TETRACHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TOLUENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
VINYL CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
XYLENES (TOTAL)	3 ND	SW846 8260B

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF WASTE MANAGEMENT

Date Prepared/Revised
01/09/2020

DEP USE ONLY

Date Received

FORM 19
MUNICIPAL WASTE LANDFILL
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES

This form must be fully and accurately completed. All required information must be typed or legibly printed in the spaces provided. If additional space is necessary, identify each attached sheet as Form 19, reference the item number and identify the date prepared. The "date prepared/revised" on any attached sheets needs to match the "date prepared/revised" on this page.

General Reference: Section 273.284
Federal Regulations, Subtitle D: 258.54 and Appendix I to Part 258.

SECTION A. APPLICANT IDENTIFIER

Applicant/permittee: Lancaster County Solid Waste Mana

Site Name: Frey Farm Landfill

Facility ID (as issued by DEP): 101389

SECTION B. FACILITY INFORMATION

Monitoring Wells must be designed and constructed in accordance with Department Standards. INDICATE THE LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE TO THE NEAREST ONE TENTH OF A SECOND (D° MM' SS.S")

Monitoring Point Number: FFMP019W Well Spring Stream Other
 Upgradient/Upstream Downgradient/Downstream

Location (County): Lancaster County

Municipality: Manor Township

Sampling Point: Latitude: 39 ° 57 ' 11.58 " Longitude: 76 ° 27 ' 5.75 "

Depth to Water Level: 28.84 ft Measured from: Land Surface TOC

Casing Stickup: 1.79 ft Elevation of Water Level: 443.11 ft./MSL

Sampling Depth: 49 ft Volume of Water Column: 67.85 gal

Total Well Depth: 132.79 ft Sampling Method: Pumped Bailed Grab

Well Purged: Yes No Well Volumes Purged: 2.3

Sample Field Filtered (must be 0.45 micron)?: Yes No

Spring Flow Rate: gpm

Sample Date (mm/dd/yy): 11/20/2019 Sample Collection Time: 11:27

Sample Collector's Name: Mr. Brian G Shade

Sample Collector's Affiliation: ALS

Laboratory(ies) Performing Analysis: ALS Environmental

Were any holding times exceeded?: Yes No If yes, please explain in comments field.

Lab Accreditation Number(s): 22-293

Lab Sample Number(s): 3071088003 Final Lab Analysis CompletionDate: 11/27/2019

Name/Affiliation of Person who Filled Out Form: Nick R. Rogers

Comments: _____

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP019W

Sample Date 11/20/2019

FORM 19
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES
ANALYTES

1-Q. Inorganics (Enter all data in mg/l except as noted)

ANALYTE	VALUE ^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
AMMONIA-NITROGEN	0.175	D6919-09
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY	80	SM20-2320B
CALCIUM, TOTAL	59	SW846 6010C
CALCIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
COD (CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND)	15 ND	EPA 410.4
CHLORIDE	81.1	EPA 300
FLUORIDE	0.2 ND	EPA 300
IRON, TOTAL (ug/l)	67 ND	SW846 6010C
IRON, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, TOTAL	5.8	SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, TOTAL (ug/l)	5.6 ND	SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
NITRATE-NITROGEN	0.32	EPA 300
pH-FIELD (SU)	6.53	FIELD
pH-LAB (SU)	7.28	SM20-4500HB
POTASSIUM, TOTAL	1.2	SW846 6010C
POTASSIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SODIUM, TOTAL	10.5	SW846 6010C
SODIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SPEC. COND., FIELD (umhos/cm)	454	FIELD
SPEC. COND., LAB (umhos/cm)	478	SW846 9050A
SULFATE	16.4	EPA 300
ALKALINITY	80	SM20-2320B
TDS (TOT. DISSOLVED SOLIDS)	256	SM20-2540C
TOC (TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON)	0.92	SW846 9060A
TOTAL PHENOLICS (ug/l)	5 ND	SW846 9066
TURBIDITY (N.T.U.)	0.1 ND	SM 2130B

* Indicator Analyte - For comparison with detection zone analytes.

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.

** Total and dissolved analysis required only in conjunction with additional annual metals sampling (see page 4).
Remaining quarterly samples only require total metals analysis.

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP019W

Sample Date 11/20/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****2-Q. Organics (Enter all data in ug/l)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
BENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
CIS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRANS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
ETHYLBENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	1.5	SW846 8260B
TETRACHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TOLUENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
VINYL CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
XYLENES (TOTAL)	3 ND	SW846 8260B

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF WASTE MANAGEMENT

Date Prepared/Revised
01/09/2020

DEP USE ONLY

Date Received

FORM 19
MUNICIPAL WASTE LANDFILL
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES

This form must be fully and accurately completed. All required information must be typed or legibly printed in the spaces provided. If additional space is necessary, identify each attached sheet as Form 19, reference the item number and identify the date prepared. The "date prepared/revised" on any attached sheets needs to match the "date prepared/revised" on this page.

General Reference: Section 273.284
Federal Regulations, Subtitle D: 258.54 and Appendix I to Part 258.

SECTION A. APPLICANT IDENTIFIER

Applicant/permittee: Lancaster County Solid Waste Mana

Site Name: Frey Farm Landfill

Facility ID (as issued by DEP): 101389

SECTION B. FACILITY INFORMATION

Monitoring Wells must be designed and constructed in accordance with Department Standards. INDICATE THE LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE TO THE NEAREST ONE TENTH OF A SECOND (D° MM' SS.S")

Monitoring Point Number: FFMP029W Well Spring Stream Other
 Upgradient/Upstream Downgradient/Downstream

Location (County): Lancaster County Municipality: Manor Township

Sampling Point: Latitude: 39 ° 57 ' 12.93 " Longitude: 76 ° 27 ' 0.67 "

Depth to Water Level: 39.43 ft Measured from: Land Surface TOC

Casing Stickup: 2.00 ft Elevation of Water Level: 437.87 ft./MSL

Sampling Depth: 55 ft Volume of Water Column: 28.01 gal

Total Well Depth: 58.5 ft Sampling Method: Pumped Bailed Grab

Well Purged: Yes No Well Volumes Purged: 3.3

Sample Field Filtered (must be 0.45 micron)?: Yes No

Spring Flow Rate: gpm

Sample Date (mm/dd/yy): 11/20/2019 Sample Collection Time: 12:32

Sample Collector's Name: Mr. Brian G Shade

Sample Collector's Affiliation: ALS

Laboratory(ies) Performing Analysis: ALS Environmental

Were any holding times exceeded?: Yes No If yes, please explain in comments field.

Lab Accreditation Number(s): 22-293

Lab Sample Number(s): 3071088004 Final Lab Analysis CompletionDate: 11/27/2019

Name/Affiliation of Person who Filled Out Form: Nick R. Rogers

Comments: _____

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP029W

Sample Date 11/20/2019

FORM 19
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES
ANALYTES

1-Q. Inorganics (Enter all data in mg/l except as noted)

ANALYTE	VALUE ^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
AMMONIA-NITROGEN	0.196	D6919-09
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY	14	SM20-2320B
CALCIUM, TOTAL	14.6	SW846 6010C
CALCIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
COD (CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND)	15 ND	EPA 410.4
CHLORIDE	61.9	EPA 300
FLUORIDE	0.2 ND	EPA 300
IRON, TOTAL (ug/l)	67 ND	SW846 6010C
IRON, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, TOTAL	10.1	SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, TOTAL (ug/l)	32	SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
NITRATE-NITROGEN	3.9	EPA 300
pH-FIELD (SU)	5.04	FIELD
pH-LAB (SU)	6	SM20-4500HB
POTASSIUM, TOTAL	2.4	SW846 6010C
POTASSIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SODIUM, TOTAL	21.2	SW846 6010C
SODIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SPEC. COND., FIELD (umhos/cm)	307	FIELD
SPEC. COND., LAB (umhos/cm)	323	SW846 9050A
SULFATE	6.8	EPA 300
ALKALINITY	14	SM20-2320B
TDS (TOT. DISSOLVED SOLIDS)	146	SM20-2540C
TOC (TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON)	0.5 ND	SW846 9060A
TOTAL PHENOLICS (ug/l)	5 ND	SW846 9066
TURBIDITY (N.T.U.)	0.18	SM 2130B

* Indicator Analyte - For comparison with detection zone analytes.

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.

** Total and dissolved analysis required only in conjunction with additional annual metals sampling (see page 4).
Remaining quarterly samples only require total metals analysis.

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP029W

Sample Date 11/20/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****2-Q. Organics (Enter all data in ug/l)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
BENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
CIS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRANS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
ETHYLBENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	1.9	SW846 8260B
TETRACHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TOLUENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
VINYL CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
XYLENES (TOTAL)	3 ND	SW846 8260B

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF WASTE MANAGEMENT

Date Prepared/Revised 01/09/2020
DEP USE ONLY
Date Received

FORM 19
MUNICIPAL WASTE LANDFILL
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES

This form must be fully and accurately completed. All required information must be typed or legibly printed in the spaces provided. If additional space is necessary, identify each attached sheet as Form 19, reference the item number and identify the date prepared. The "date prepared/revised" on any attached sheets needs to match the "date prepared/revised" on this page.

General Reference: Section 273.284
Federal Regulations, Subtitle D: 258.54 and Appendix I to Part 258.

SECTION A. APPLICANT IDENTIFIER

Applicant/permittee: Lancaster County Solid Waste Mana

Site Name: Frey Farm Landfill

Facility ID (as issued by DEP): 101389

SECTION B. FACILITY INFORMATION

Monitoring Wells must be designed and constructed in accordance with Department Standards. INDICATE THE LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE TO THE NEAREST ONE TENTH OF A SECOND (D° MM' SS.S")

Monitoring Point Number: FFMP02DW Well Spring Stream Other
 Upgradient/Upstream Downgradient/Downstream

Location (County): Lancaster County Municipality: MANOR TOWNSHIP

Sampling Point: Latitude: 39 ° 57 ' 27.74 " Longitude: 76 ° 27 ' 1.49 "

Depth to Water Level: 20.59 ft Measured from: Land Surface TOC

Casing Stickup: _____ ft Elevation of Water Level: 489.01 ft./MSL

Sampling Depth: 120 ft Volume of Water Column: _____ gal

Total Well Depth: 152 ft Sampling Method: Pumped Bailed Grab

Well Purged: Yes No Well Volumes Purged: 0.4

Sample Field Filtered (must be 0.45 micron)?: Yes No

Spring Flow Rate: _____ gpm

Sample Date (mm/dd/yy): 11/20/2019 Sample Collection Time: 13:52

Sample Collector's Name: Mr. Brian G Shade

Sample Collector's Affiliation: ALS

Laboratory(ies) Performing Analysis: ALS Environmental

Were any holding times exceeded?: Yes No If yes, please explain in comments field.

Lab Accreditation Number(s): 22-293

Lab Sample Number(s): 3071088005 Final Lab Analysis CompletionDate: 11/27/2019

Name/Affiliation of Person who Filled Out Form: Nick R. Rogers

Comments: _____

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP02DW

Sample Date 11/20/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****ANALYTES****1-Q. Inorganics (Enter all data in mg/l except as noted)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
AMMONIA-NITROGEN	0.322	D6919-09
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY	138	SM20-2320B
CALCIUM, TOTAL	107	SW846 6010C
CALCIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
COD (CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND)	15 ND	EPA 410.4
CHLORIDE	264	EPA 300
FLUORIDE	0.2 ND	EPA 300
IRON, TOTAL (ug/l)	660	SW846 6010C
IRON, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, TOTAL	17	SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, TOTAL (ug/l)	450	SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
NITRATE-NITROGEN	10.3	EPA 300
pH-FIELD (SU)	7.23	FIELD
pH-LAB (SU)	7.77	SM20-4500HB
POTASSIUM, TOTAL	2	SW846 6010C
POTASSIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SODIUM, TOTAL	102	SW846 6010C
SODIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SPEC. COND., FIELD (umhos/cm)	1286	FIELD
SPEC. COND., LAB (umhos/cm)	1370	SW846 9050A
SULFATE	29.9	EPA 300
ALKALINITY	138	SM20-2320B
TDS (TOT. DISSOLVED SOLIDS)	714	SM20-2540C
TOC (TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON)	0.74	SW846 9060A
TOTAL PHENOLICS (ug/l)	5 ND	SW846 9066
TURBIDITY (N.T.U.)	6.51	SM 2130B

* Indicator Analyte - For comparison with detection zone analytes.

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.

** Total and dissolved analysis required only in conjunction with additional annual metals sampling (see page 4).

Remaining quarterly samples only require total metals analysis.

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP02DW

Sample Date 11/20/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****2-Q. Organics (Enter all data in ug/l)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
BENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
CIS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRANS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
ETHYLBENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	1.4	SW846 8260B
TETRACHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TOLUENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
VINYL CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
XYLENES (TOTAL)	3 ND	SW846 8260B

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF WASTE MANAGEMENT

Date Prepared/Revised
01/09/2020

DEP USE ONLY

Date Received

FORM 19
MUNICIPAL WASTE LANDFILL
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES

This form must be fully and accurately completed. All required information must be typed or legibly printed in the spaces provided. If additional space is necessary, identify each attached sheet as Form 19, reference the item number and identify the date prepared. The "date prepared/revised" on any attached sheets needs to match the "date prepared/revised" on this page.

General Reference: Section 273.284
Federal Regulations, Subtitle D: 258.54 and Appendix I to Part 258.

SECTION A. APPLICANT IDENTIFIER

Applicant/permittee: Lancaster County Solid Waste Mana

Site Name: Frey Farm Landfill

Facility ID (as issued by DEP): 101389

SECTION B. FACILITY INFORMATION

Monitoring Wells must be designed and constructed in accordance with Department Standards. INDICATE THE LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE TO THE NEAREST ONE TENTH OF A SECOND (D° MM' SS.S")

Monitoring Point Number: FFMP02SW Well Spring Stream Other
 Upgradient/Upstream Downgradient/Downstream

Location (County): Lancaster County Municipality: MANOR TOWNSHIP

Sampling Point: Latitude: 39 ° 57 ' 27.9 " Longitude: 76 ° 27 ' 1.58 "

Depth to Water Level: 15.96 ft Measured from: Land Surface TOC

Casing Stickup: _____ ft Elevation of Water Level: 493.94 ft./MSL

Sampling Depth: 18 ft Volume of Water Column: _____ gal

Total Well Depth: 25 ft Sampling Method: Pumped Bailed Grab

Well Purged: Yes No Well Volumes Purged: 0.7

Sample Field Filtered (must be 0.45 micron)?: Yes No

Spring Flow Rate: _____ gpm

Sample Date (mm/dd/yy): 11/20/2019 Sample Collection Time: 14:17

Sample Collector's Name: Mr. Brian G Shade

Sample Collector's Affiliation: ALS

Laboratory(ies) Performing Analysis: ALS Environmental

Were any holding times exceeded?: Yes No If yes, please explain in comments field.

Lab Accreditation Number(s): 22-293

Lab Sample Number(s): 3071088006 Final Lab Analysis CompletionDate: 11/27/2019

Name/Affiliation of Person who Filled Out Form: Nick R. Rogers

Comments: _____

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP02SW

Sample Date 11/20/2019

FORM 19
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES
ANALYTES

1-Q. Inorganics (Enter all data in mg/l except as noted)

ANALYTE	VALUE ^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
AMMONIA-NITROGEN	0.16	D6919-09
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY	19	SM20-2320B
CALCIUM, TOTAL	24.7	SW846 6010C
CALCIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
COD (CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND)	15 ND	EPA 410.4
CHLORIDE	133	EPA 300
FLUORIDE	0.2 ND	EPA 300
IRON, TOTAL (ug/l)	1700	SW846 6010C
IRON, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, TOTAL	9.6	SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, TOTAL (ug/l)	38	SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
NITRATE-NITROGEN	14.8	EPA 300
pH-FIELD (SU)	5.46	FIELD
pH-LAB (SU)	6.1	SM20-4500HB
POTASSIUM, TOTAL	5.1	SW846 6010C
POTASSIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SODIUM, TOTAL	88.5	SW846 6010C
SODIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SPEC. COND., FIELD (umhos/cm)	395	FIELD
SPEC. COND., LAB (umhos/cm)	719	SW846 9050A
SULFATE	29.9	EPA 300
ALKALINITY	19	SM20-2320B
TDS (TOT. DISSOLVED SOLIDS)	360	SM20-2540C
TOC (TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON)	1.5	SW846 9060A
TOTAL PHENOLICS (ug/l)	5 ND	SW846 9066
TURBIDITY (N.T.U.)	62.7	SM 2130B

* Indicator Analyte - For comparison with detection zone analytes.

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.

** Total and dissolved analysis required only in conjunction with additional annual metals sampling (see page 4).
Remaining quarterly samples only require total metals analysis.

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP02SW

Sample Date 11/20/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****2-Q. Organics (Enter all data in ug/l)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
BENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
CIS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRANS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
ETHYLBENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	1.4	SW846 8260B
TETRACHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TOLUENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
VINYL CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
XYLENES (TOTAL)	3 ND	SW846 8260B

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF WASTE MANAGEMENT

Date Prepared/Revised
01/09/2020

DEP USE ONLY

Date Received

FORM 19
MUNICIPAL WASTE LANDFILL
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES

This form must be fully and accurately completed. All required information must be typed or legibly printed in the spaces provided. If additional space is necessary, identify each attached sheet as Form 19, reference the item number and identify the date prepared. The "date prepared/revised" on any attached sheets needs to match the "date prepared/revised" on this page.

General Reference: Section 273.284
Federal Regulations, Subtitle D: 258.54 and Appendix I to Part 258.

SECTION A. APPLICANT IDENTIFIER

Applicant/permittee: Lancaster County Solid Waste Mana

Site Name: Frey Farm Landfill

Facility ID (as issued by DEP): 101389

SECTION B. FACILITY INFORMATION

Monitoring Wells must be designed and constructed in accordance with Department Standards. INDICATE THE LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE TO THE NEAREST ONE TENTH OF A SECOND (D° MM' SS.S")

Monitoring Point Number: FFMP03AW Well Spring Stream Other
 Upgradient/Upstream Downgradient/Downstream

Location (County): Lancaster County

Municipality: Manor Township

Sampling Point: Latitude: 39 ° 57 ' 24.05 " Longitude: 76 ° 27 ' 30.58 "

Depth to Water Level: 52.71 ft Measured from: Land Surface TOC

Casing Stickup: 1.20 ft Elevation of Water Level: 538.19 ft./MSL

Sampling Depth: 130 ft Volume of Water Column: 138.77 gal

Total Well Depth: 147.2 ft Sampling Method: Pumped Bailed Grab

Well Purged: Yes No Well Volumes Purged: 0.8

Sample Field Filtered (must be 0.45 micron)?: Yes No

Spring Flow Rate: gpm

Sample Date (mm/dd/yy): 11/21/2019 Sample Collection Time: 10:20

Sample Collector's Name: Mr. Brian G Shade

Sample Collector's Affiliation: ALS

Laboratory(ies) Performing Analysis: ALS Environmental

Were any holding times exceeded?: Yes No If yes, please explain in comments field.

Lab Accreditation Number(s): 22-293

Lab Sample Number(s): 3071453001 Final Lab Analysis CompletionDate: 11/29/2019

Name/Affiliation of Person who Filled Out Form: Nick R. Rogers

Comments: _____

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP03AW

Sample Date 11/21/2019

FORM 19
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES
ANALYTES

1-Q. Inorganics (Enter all data in mg/l except as noted)

ANALYTE	VALUE ^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
AMMONIA-NITROGEN	0.1 ND	D6919-09
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY	20	SM20-2320B
CALCIUM, TOTAL	17.6	SW846 6010C
CALCIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
COD (CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND)	15 ND	EPA 410.4
CHLORIDE	24.7	EPA 300
FLUORIDE	0.2	EPA 300
IRON, TOTAL (ug/l)	67 ND	SW846 6010C
IRON, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, TOTAL	12.7	SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, TOTAL (ug/l)	260	SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
NITRATE-NITROGEN	20	EPA 300
pH-FIELD (SU)	4.96	FIELD
pH-LAB (SU)	6.17	SM20-4500HB
POTASSIUM, TOTAL	1.4	SW846 6010C
POTASSIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SODIUM, TOTAL	12.2	SW846 6010C
SODIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SPEC. COND., FIELD (umhos/cm)	304	FIELD
SPEC. COND., LAB (umhos/cm)	264	SW846 9050A
SULFATE	3.1	EPA 300
ALKALINITY	20	SM20-2320B
TDS (TOT. DISSOLVED SOLIDS)	80	SM20-2540C
TOC (TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON)	0.57	SW846 9060A
TOTAL PHENOLICS (ug/l)	5 ND	SW846 9066
TURBIDITY (N.T.U.)	0.1 ND	SM 2130B

* Indicator Analyte - For comparison with detection zone analytes.

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.

** Total and dissolved analysis required only in conjunction with additional annual metals sampling (see page 4).
Remaining quarterly samples only require total metals analysis.

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP03AW

Sample Date 11/21/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****2-Q. Organics (Enter all data in ug/l)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
BENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
CIS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRANS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
ETHYLBENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TETRACHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TOLUENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
VINYL CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
XYLENES (TOTAL)	3 ND	SW846 8260B

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF WASTE MANAGEMENT

Date Prepared/Revised
01/09/2020

DEP USE ONLY

Date Received

FORM 19
MUNICIPAL WASTE LANDFILL
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES

This form must be fully and accurately completed. All required information must be typed or legibly printed in the spaces provided. If additional space is necessary, identify each attached sheet as Form 19, reference the item number and identify the date prepared. The "date prepared/revised" on any attached sheets needs to match the "date prepared/revised" on this page.

General Reference: Section 273.284
Federal Regulations, Subtitle D: 258.54 and Appendix I to Part 258.

SECTION A. APPLICANT IDENTIFIER

Applicant/permittee: Lancaster County Solid Waste Mana

Site Name: Frey Farm Landfill

Facility ID (as issued by DEP): 101389

SECTION B. FACILITY INFORMATION

Monitoring Wells must be designed and constructed in accordance with Department Standards. INDICATE THE LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE TO THE NEAREST ONE TENTH OF A SECOND (D° MM' SS.S")

Monitoring Point Number: FFMP031W Well Spring Stream Other
 Upgradient/Upstream Downgradient/Downstream

Location (County): Lancaster County Municipality: MANOR TOWNSHIP

Sampling Point: Latitude: 39 ° 57 ' 31.2 " Longitude: 76 ° 27 ' 23.53 "

Depth to Water Level: 68.41 ft Measured from: Land Surface TOC

Casing Stickup: 2.38 ft Elevation of Water Level: 544.25 ft./MSL

Sampling Depth: 130 ft Volume of Water Column: 105.14 gal

Total Well Depth: 140 ft Sampling Method: Pumped Bailed Grab

Well Purged: Yes No Well Volumes Purged: 1.3

Sample Field Filtered (must be 0.45 micron)?: Yes No

Spring Flow Rate: gpm

Sample Date (mm/dd/yy): 11/21/2019 Sample Collection Time: 12:04

Sample Collector's Name: Mr. Brian G Shade

Sample Collector's Affiliation: ALS

Laboratory(ies) Performing Analysis: ALS Environmental

Were any holding times exceeded?: Yes No If yes, please explain in comments field.

Lab Accreditation Number(s): 22-293

Lab Sample Number(s): 3071453002 Final Lab Analysis CompletionDate: 12/4/2019

Name/Affiliation of Person who Filled Out Form: Nick R. Rogers

Comments: _____

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP031W

Sample Date 11/21/2019

FORM 19
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES
ANALYTES

1-Q. Inorganics (Enter all data in mg/l except as noted)

ANALYTE	VALUE ^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
AMMONIA-NITROGEN	0.1 ND	D6919-09
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY	81	SM20-2320B
CALCIUM, TOTAL	41.2	SW846 6010C
CALCIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
COD (CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND)	15 ND	EPA 410.4
CHLORIDE	23.5	EPA 300
FLUORIDE	0.26	EPA 300
IRON, TOTAL (ug/l)	3800	SW846 6010C
IRON, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, TOTAL	4.1	SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, TOTAL (ug/l)	360	SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
NITRATE-NITROGEN	0.2 ND	EPA 300
pH-FIELD (SU)	7.5	FIELD
pH-LAB (SU)	7.97	SM20-4500HB
POTASSIUM, TOTAL	1.5	SW846 6010C
POTASSIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SODIUM, TOTAL	10.4	SW846 6010C
SODIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SPEC. COND., FIELD (umhos/cm)	318	FIELD
SPEC. COND., LAB (umhos/cm)	269	SW846 9050A
SULFATE	45.7	EPA 300
ALKALINITY	81	SM20-2320B
TDS (TOT. DISSOLVED SOLIDS)	122	SM20-2540C
TOC (TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON)	0.58	SW846 9060A
TOTAL PHENOLICS (ug/l)	5 ND	SW846 9066
TURBIDITY (N.T.U.)	14	SM 2130B

* Indicator Analyte - For comparison with detection zone analytes.

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.

** Total and dissolved analysis required only in conjunction with additional annual metals sampling (see page 4).
Remaining quarterly samples only require total metals analysis.

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP031W

Sample Date 11/21/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****2-Q. Organics (Enter all data in ug/l)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
BENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
CIS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRANS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
ETHYLBENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TETRACHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TOLUENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
VINYL CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
XYLENES (TOTAL)	3 ND	SW846 8260B

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF WASTE MANAGEMENT

Date Prepared/Revised
01/09/2020

DEP USE ONLY

Date Received

FORM 19
MUNICIPAL WASTE LANDFILL
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES

This form must be fully and accurately completed. All required information must be typed or legibly printed in the spaces provided. If additional space is necessary, identify each attached sheet as Form 19, reference the item number and identify the date prepared. The "date prepared/revised" on any attached sheets needs to match the "date prepared/revised" on this page.

General Reference: Section 273.284
Federal Regulations, Subtitle D: 258.54 and Appendix I to Part 258.

SECTION A. APPLICANT IDENTIFIER

Applicant/permittee: Lancaster County Solid Waste Mana

Site Name: Frey Farm Landfill

Facility ID (as issued by DEP): 101389

SECTION B. FACILITY INFORMATION

Monitoring Wells must be designed and constructed in accordance with Department Standards. INDICATE THE LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE TO THE NEAREST ONE TENTH OF A SECOND (D° MM' SS.S")

Monitoring Point Number: FFMP002W Well Spring Stream Other
 Upgradient/Upstream Downgradient/Downstream

Location (County): Lancaster County Municipality: Manor Township

Sampling Point: Latitude: 39 ° 57 ' 32.25 " Longitude: 76 ° 27 ' 24.03 "

Depth to Water Level: 69.74 ft Measured from: Land Surface TOC

Casing Stickup: 1.60 ft Elevation of Water Level: 543.46 ft./MSL

Sampling Depth: 85 ft Volume of Water Column: 146.66 gal

Total Well Depth: 169.6 ft Sampling Method: Pumped Bailed Grab

Well Purged: Yes No Well Volumes Purged: 0.6

Sample Field Filtered (must be 0.45 micron)?: Yes No

Spring Flow Rate: gpm

Sample Date (mm/dd/yy): 11/21/2019 Sample Collection Time: 12:43

Sample Collector's Name: Mr. Brian G Shade

Sample Collector's Affiliation: ALS

Laboratory(ies) Performing Analysis: ALS Environmental

Were any holding times exceeded?: Yes No If yes, please explain in comments field.

Lab Accreditation Number(s): 22-293

Lab Sample Number(s): 3071453003 Final Lab Analysis Completion Date: 12/4/2019

Name/Affiliation of Person who Filled Out Form: Nick R. Rogers

Comments: _____

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP002W

Sample Date 11/21/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****ANALYTES****1-Q. Inorganics (Enter all data in mg/l except as noted)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
AMMONIA-NITROGEN	0.1 ND	D6919-09
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY	5 ND	SM20-2320B
CALCIUM, TOTAL	20.4	SW846 6010C
CALCIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
COD (CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND)	15 ND	EPA 410.4
CHLORIDE	21.1	EPA 300
FLUORIDE	0.2 ND	EPA 300
IRON, TOTAL (ug/l)	67 ND	SW846 6010C
IRON, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, TOTAL	8.2	SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, TOTAL (ug/l)	260	SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
NITRATE-NITROGEN	20	EPA 300
pH-FIELD (SU)	4.61	FIELD
pH-LAB (SU)	5.31	SM20-4500HB
POTASSIUM, TOTAL	1.9	SW846 6010C
POTASSIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SODIUM, TOTAL	15	SW846 6010C
SODIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SPEC. COND., FIELD (umhos/cm)	308	FIELD
SPEC. COND., LAB (umhos/cm)	261	SW846 9050A
SULFATE	23.3	EPA 300
ALKALINITY	5 ND	SM20-2320B
TDS (TOT. DISSOLVED SOLIDS)	220	SM20-2540C
TOC (TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON)	0.8	SW846 9060A
TOTAL PHENOLICS (ug/l)	5 ND	SW846 9066
TURBIDITY (N.T.U.)	0.1 ND	SM 2130B

* Indicator Analyte - For comparison with detection zone analytes.

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.

** Total and dissolved analysis required only in conjunction with additional annual metals sampling (see page 4).

Remaining quarterly samples only require total metals analysis.

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP002W

Sample Date 11/21/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****2-Q. Organics (Enter all data in ug/l)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
BENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
CIS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRANS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
ETHYLBENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TETRACHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TOLUENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
VINYL CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
XYLENES (TOTAL)	3 ND	SW846 8260B

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF WASTE MANAGEMENT

Date Prepared/Revised
01/09/2020

DEP USE ONLY

Date Received

FORM 19
MUNICIPAL WASTE LANDFILL
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES

This form must be fully and accurately completed. All required information must be typed or legibly printed in the spaces provided. If additional space is necessary, identify each attached sheet as Form 19, reference the item number and identify the date prepared. The "date prepared/revised" on any attached sheets needs to match the "date prepared/revised" on this page.

General Reference: Section 273.284
Federal Regulations, Subtitle D: 258.54 and Appendix I to Part 258.

SECTION A. APPLICANT IDENTIFIER

Applicant/permittee: Lancaster County Solid Waste Mana

Site Name: Frey Farm Landfill

Facility ID (as issued by DEP): 101389

SECTION B. FACILITY INFORMATION

Monitoring Wells must be designed and constructed in accordance with Department Standards. INDICATE THE LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE TO THE NEAREST ONE TENTH OF A SECOND (D° MM' SS.S")

Monitoring Point Number: FFMP032W Well Spring Stream Other
 Upgradient/Upstream Downgradient/Downstream

Location (County): Lancaster County Municipality: MANOR TOWNSHIP

Sampling Point: Latitude: 39 ° 57 ' 33.45 " Longitude: 76 ° 27 ' 17.71 "

Depth to Water Level: 49.29 ft Measured from: Land Surface TOC

Casing Stickup: 2.06 ft Elevation of Water Level: 544.80 ft./MSL

Sampling Depth: 62 ft Volume of Water Column: 37.76 gal

Total Well Depth: 75 ft Sampling Method: Pumped Bailed Grab

Well Purged: Yes No Well Volumes Purged: 0.7

Sample Field Filtered (must be 0.45 micron)?: Yes No

Spring Flow Rate: gpm

Sample Date (mm/dd/yy): 11/21/2019 Sample Collection Time: 13:50

Sample Collector's Name: Mr. Brian G Shade

Sample Collector's Affiliation: ALS

Laboratory(ies) Performing Analysis: ALS Environmental

Were any holding times exceeded?: Yes No If yes, please explain in comments field.

Lab Accreditation Number(s): 22-293

Lab Sample Number(s): 3071453004 Final Lab Analysis CompletionDate: 12/4/2019

Name/Affiliation of Person who Filled Out Form: Nick R. Rogers

Comments: _____

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP032W

Sample Date 11/21/2019

FORM 19
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES

ANALYTES

1-Q. Inorganics (Enter all data in mg/l except as noted)

ANALYTE	VALUE ^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
AMMONIA-NITROGEN	0.606	D6919-09
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY	90	SM20-2320B
CALCIUM, TOTAL	15.2	SW846 6010C
CALCIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
COD (CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND)	15 ND	EPA 410.4
CHLORIDE	21.2	EPA 300
FLUORIDE	0.2 ND	EPA 300
IRON, TOTAL (ug/l)	7800	SW846 6010C
IRON, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, TOTAL	5.1	SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, TOTAL (ug/l)	650	SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
NITRATE-NITROGEN	0.2 ND	EPA 300
pH-FIELD (SU)	6.96	FIELD
pH-LAB (SU)	7.65	SM20-4500HB
POTASSIUM, TOTAL	1.5	SW846 6010C
POTASSIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SODIUM, TOTAL	13.8	SW846 6010C
SODIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SPEC. COND., FIELD (umhos/cm)	214	FIELD
SPEC. COND., LAB (umhos/cm)	169	SW846 9050A
SULFATE	2 ND	EPA 300
ALKALINITY	90	SM20-2320B
TDS (TOT. DISSOLVED SOLIDS)	92	SM20-2540C
TOC (TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON)	0.64	SW846 9060A
TOTAL PHENOLICS (ug/l)	5 ND	SW846 9066
TURBIDITY (N.T.U.)	150	SM 2130B

* Indicator Analyte - For comparison with detection zone analytes.

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.

** Total and dissolved analysis required only in conjunction with additional annual metals sampling (see page 4).

Remaining quarterly samples only require total metals analysis.

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP032W

Sample Date 11/21/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****2-Q. Organics (Enter all data in ug/l)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
BENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
CIS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRANS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
ETHYLBENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TETRACHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TOLUENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
VINYL CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
XYLENES (TOTAL)	3 ND	SW846 8260B

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF WASTE MANAGEMENT

Date Prepared/Revised
01/09/2020

DEP USE ONLY

Date Received

FORM 19
MUNICIPAL WASTE LANDFILL
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES

This form must be fully and accurately completed. All required information must be typed or legibly printed in the spaces provided. If additional space is necessary, identify each attached sheet as Form 19, reference the item number and identify the date prepared. The "date prepared/revised" on any attached sheets needs to match the "date prepared/revised" on this page.

General Reference: Section 273.284
Federal Regulations, Subtitle D: 258.54 and Appendix I to Part 258.

SECTION A. APPLICANT IDENTIFIER

Applicant/permittee: Lancaster County Solid Waste Mana

Site Name: Frey Farm Landfill

Facility ID (as issued by DEP): 101389

SECTION B. FACILITY INFORMATION

Monitoring Wells must be designed and constructed in accordance with Department Standards. INDICATE THE LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE TO THE NEAREST ONE TENTH OF A SECOND (D° MM' SS.S")

Monitoring Point Number: FFMP025W Well Spring Stream Other
 Upgradient/Upstream Downgradient/Downstream

Location (County): Lancaster County

Municipality: Manor Township

Sampling Point: Latitude: 39 ° 57 ' 19.07 " Longitude: 76 ° 27 ' 1.12 "

Depth to Water Level: 24.85 ft Measured from: Land Surface TOC

Casing Stickup: 1.50 ft Elevation of Water Level: 451.95 ft./MSL

Sampling Depth: 39 ft Volume of Water Column: 22.25 gal

Total Well Depth: 40 ft Sampling Method: Pumped Bailed Grab

Well Purged: Yes No Well Volumes Purged: 3.6

Sample Field Filtered (must be 0.45 micron)?: Yes No

Spring Flow Rate: gpm

Sample Date (mm/dd/yy): 11/21/2019 Sample Collection Time: 14:34

Sample Collector's Name: Mr. Brian G Shade

Sample Collector's Affiliation: ALS

Laboratory(ies) Performing Analysis: ALS Environmental

Were any holding times exceeded?: Yes No If yes, please explain in comments field.

Lab Accreditation Number(s): 22-293

Lab Sample Number(s): 3071453005 Final Lab Analysis CompletionDate: 12/4/2019

Name/Affiliation of Person who Filled Out Form: Nick R. Rogers

Comments: _____

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP025W

Sample Date 11/21/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****ANALYTES****1-Q. Inorganics (Enter all data in mg/l except as noted)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
AMMONIA-NITROGEN	0.1 ND	D6919-09
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY	37	SM20-2320B
CALCIUM, TOTAL	21.7	SW846 6010C
CALCIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
COD (CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND)	15 ND	EPA 410.4
CHLORIDE	51.8	EPA 300
FLUORIDE	0.2 ND	EPA 300
IRON, TOTAL (ug/l)	67 ND	SW846 6010C
IRON, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, TOTAL	11.9	SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, TOTAL (ug/l)	6.6	SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
NITRATE-NITROGEN	8	EPA 300
pH-FIELD (SU)	4.78	FIELD
pH-LAB (SU)	6.63	SM20-4500HB
POTASSIUM, TOTAL	2.4	SW846 6010C
POTASSIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SODIUM, TOTAL	19.5	SW846 6010C
SODIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SPEC. COND., FIELD (umhos/cm)	345	FIELD
SPEC. COND., LAB (umhos/cm)	306	SW846 9050A
SULFATE	23.9	EPA 300
ALKALINITY	37	SM20-2320B
TDS (TOT. DISSOLVED SOLIDS)	188	SM20-2540C
TOC (TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON)	0.91	SW846 9060A
TOTAL PHENOLICS (ug/l)	5 ND	SW846 9066
TURBIDITY (N.T.U.)	0.11	SM 2130B

* Indicator Analyte - For comparison with detection zone analytes.

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.

** Total and dissolved analysis required only in conjunction with additional annual metals sampling (see page 4).

Remaining quarterly samples only require total metals analysis.

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP025W

Sample Date 11/21/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****2-Q. Organics (Enter all data in ug/l)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
BENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
CIS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRANS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
ETHYLBENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TETRACHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TOLUENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
VINYL CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
XYLENES (TOTAL)	3 ND	SW846 8260B

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF WASTE MANAGEMENT

Date Prepared/Revised
01/09/2020

DEP USE ONLY

Date Received

FORM 19
MUNICIPAL WASTE LANDFILL
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES

This form must be fully and accurately completed. All required information must be typed or legibly printed in the spaces provided. If additional space is necessary, identify each attached sheet as Form 19, reference the item number and identify the date prepared. The "date prepared/revised" on any attached sheets needs to match the "date prepared/revised" on this page.

General Reference: Section 273.284
Federal Regulations, Subtitle D: 258.54 and Appendix I to Part 258.

SECTION A. APPLICANT IDENTIFIER

Applicant/permittee: Lancaster County Solid Waste Mana

Site Name: Frey Farm Landfill

Facility ID (as issued by DEP): 101389

SECTION B. FACILITY INFORMATION

Monitoring Wells must be designed and constructed in accordance with Department Standards. INDICATE THE LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE TO THE NEAREST ONE TENTH OF A SECOND (D° MM' SS.S")

Monitoring Point Number: FFMP016W Well Spring Stream Other
 Upgradient/Upstream Downgradient/Downstream

Location (County): Lancaster County Municipality: Manor Township

Sampling Point: Latitude: 39 ° 57 ' 19.15 " Longitude: 76 ° 27 ' 0.88 "

Depth to Water Level: 22.67 ft Measured from: Land Surface TOC

Casing Stickup: 1.97 ft Elevation of Water Level: 451.93 ft./MSL

Sampling Depth: 135 ft Volume of Water Column: 186.71 gal

Total Well Depth: 149.8 ft Sampling Method: Pumped Bailed Grab

Well Purged: Yes No Well Volumes Purged: _____

Sample Field Filtered (must be 0.45 micron)?: Yes No

Spring Flow Rate: _____ gpm

Sample Date (mm/dd/yy): 11/22/2019 Sample Collection Time: 14:00

Sample Collector's Name: Mr. Brian G Shade

Sample Collector's Affiliation: ALS

Laboratory(ies) Performing Analysis: ALS Environmental

Were any holding times exceeded?: Yes No If yes, please explain in comments field.

Lab Accreditation Number(s): 22-293

Lab Sample Number(s): 3071832001 Final Lab Analysis CompletionDate: 12/4/2019

Name/Affiliation of Person who Filled Out Form: Nick R. Rogers

Comments: _____

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP016W

Sample Date 11/22/2019

FORM 19
QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES
ANALYTES

1-Q. Inorganics (Enter all data in mg/l except as noted)

ANALYTE	VALUE ^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
AMMONIA-NITROGEN	0.115	D6919-09
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY	35	SM20-2320B
CALCIUM, TOTAL	29	SW846 6010C
CALCIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
COD (CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND)	15 ND	EPA 410.4
CHLORIDE	68.5	EPA 300
FLUORIDE	0.2 ND	EPA 300
IRON, TOTAL (ug/l)	67 ND	SW846 6010C
IRON, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, TOTAL	14.6	SW846 6010C
MAGNESIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, TOTAL (ug/l)	18	SW846 6010C
MANGANESE, DISSOLVED (ug/l)		SW846 6010C
NITRATE-NITROGEN	10.1	EPA 300
pH-FIELD (SU)	5.18	FIELD
pH-LAB (SU)	6.37	SM20-4500HB
POTASSIUM, TOTAL	3	SW846 6010C
POTASSIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SODIUM, TOTAL	27.8	SW846 6010C
SODIUM, DISSOLVED		SW846 6010C
SPEC. COND., FIELD (umhos/cm)	468	FIELD
SPEC. COND., LAB (umhos/cm)	428	SW846 9050A
SULFATE	26	EPA 300
ALKALINITY	35	SM20-2320B
TDS (TOT. DISSOLVED SOLIDS)	284	SM20-2540C
TOC (TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON)	0.88	SW846 9060A
TOTAL PHENOLICS (ug/l)	5 ND	SW846 9066
TURBIDITY (N.T.U.)	0.18	SM 2130B

* Indicator Analyte - For comparison with detection zone analytes.

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.

** Total and dissolved analysis required only in conjunction with additional annual metals sampling (see page 4).
Remaining quarterly samples only require total metals analysis.

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP016W

Sample Date 11/22/2019

FORM 19**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES****2-Q. Organics (Enter all data in ug/l)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
BENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
CIS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRANS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
ETHYLBENZENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	1.1	SW846 8260B
TETRACHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TOLUENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
TRICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
VINYL CHLORIDE	1 ND	SW846 8260B
XYLENES (TOTAL)	3 ND	SW846 8260B

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.



December 5, 2019

Mr. Daniel Brown
Lancaster County Solid Waste Authority
1299 Hbg Pike, P.O. Box 4425
Lancaster, PA 17604

Certificate of Analysis

Project Name:	FREY FARM	Workorder:	3071453
Purchase Order:	PO1000126	Workorder ID:	4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Dear Mr. Brown:

Enclosed are the analytical results for samples received by the laboratory on Thursday, November 21, 2019.

The ALS Environmental laboratory in Middletown, Pennsylvania is a National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NELAP) accredited laboratory and as such, certifies that all applicable test results meet the requirements of NELAP.

If you have any questions regarding this certificate of analysis, please contact Ms. Susan J Scherer (Project Coordinator) at (717) 944-5541.


Analyses were performed according to our laboratory's NELAP-approved quality assurance program and any applicable state requirements. The test results meet requirements of the current NELAP standards or state requirements, where applicable. For a specific list of accredited analytes, refer to the certifications section of the ALS website at www.alsglobal.com/en/Our-Services/Life-Sciences/Environmental/Downloads.

This laboratory report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of ALS Environmental.

ALS Spring City: 10 Riverside Drive, Spring City, PA 19475 610-948-4903

CC: Mr. Nicholas Rogers , Ms. Jordan Gallagher , Mr. Jeff Musser

This page is included as part of the Analytical Report and must be retained as a permanent record thereof.


Ms. Susan J Scherer
Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Workorder: 3071453 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Date Received	Collected By
3071453001	FFMP03AW	Ground Water	11/21/2019 10:20	11/21/2019 15:51	Mr. Brian G Shade
3071453002	FFMP031W	Ground Water	11/21/2019 12:04	11/21/2019 15:51	Mr. Brian G Shade
3071453003	FFMP002W	Ground Water	11/21/2019 12:43	11/21/2019 15:51	Mr. Brian G Shade
3071453004	FFMP032W	Ground Water	11/21/2019 13:50	11/21/2019 15:51	Mr. Brian G Shade
3071453005	FFMP025W	Ground Water	11/21/2019 14:34	11/21/2019 15:51	Mr. Brian G Shade
3071453006	FIELD BLANK	Water	11/21/2019 14:50	11/21/2019 15:51	Mr. Brian G Shade
3071453007	TRIP BLANK	Water	11/21/2019 15:51	11/21/2019 15:51	Mr. Brian G Shade

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife **United States:** Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York **Mexico:** Monterrey

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Workorder: 3071453 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Notes

- Samples collected by ALS personnel are done so in accordance with the procedures set forth in the ALS Field Sampling Plan (20 - Field Services Sampling Plan).
- All Waste Water analyses comply with methodology requirements of 40 CFR Part 136.
- All Drinking Water analyses comply with methodology requirements of 40 CFR Part 141.
- Unless otherwise noted, all quantitative results for soils are reported on a dry weight basis.
- The Chain of Custody document is included as part of this report.
- All Library Search analytes should be regarded as tentative identifications based on the presumptive evidence of the mass spectra. Concentrations reported are estimated values.
- Parameters identified as "analyze immediately" require analysis within 15 minutes of collection. Any "analyze immediately" parameters not listed under the header "Field Parameters" are performed in the laboratory and are therefore analyzed out of hold time.
- Method references listed on this report beginning with the prefix "S" followed by a method number (such as S2310B-97) refer to methods from "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater".
- For microbiological analyses, the "Prepared" value is the date/time into the incubator and the "Analyzed" value is the date/time out the incubator.
- An Analysis-Prep Method Cross Reference Table is included after Analytical Results & Qualifiers section in this report.

Standard Acronyms/Flags

J	Indicates an estimated value between the Method Detection Limit (MDL) and the Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL) for the analyte
U	Indicates that the analyte was Not Detected (ND)
N	Indicates presumptive evidence of the presence of a compound
MDL	Method Detection Limit
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
RDL	Reporting Detection Limit
ND	Not Detected - indicates that the analyte was Not Detected at the RDL
Cntr	Analysis was performed using this container
RegLmt	Regulatory Limit
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
DUP	Sample Duplicate
%Rec	Percent Recovery
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
LOD	DoD Limit of Detection
LOQ	DoD Limit of Quantitation
DL	DoD Detection Limit
I	Indicates reported value is greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL) but less than the Report Detection Limit (RDL)
(S)	Surrogate Compound
NC	Not Calculated
*	Result outside of QC limits

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North AmericaCanada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071453 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

 Lab ID: **3071453001** Date Collected: 11/21/2019 10:20 Matrix: Ground Water
 Sample ID: **FFMP03AW** Date Received: 11/21/2019 15:51

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
VOLATILE ORGANICS										
Benzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:28	VLM	G
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:28	VLM	G
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:28	VLM	G
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:28	VLM	G
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:28	VLM	G
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:28	VLM	G
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:28	VLM	G
Ethylbenzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:28	VLM	G
Methylene Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:28	VLM	G
Tetrachloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:28	VLM	G
Toluene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:28	VLM	G
Total Xylenes	ND		ug/L	3.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:28	VLM	G
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:28	VLM	G
Trichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:28	VLM	G
Vinyl Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:28	VLM	G
<i>Surrogate Recoveries</i>	<i>Results</i>	<i>Flag</i>	<i>Units</i>	<i>Limits</i>	<i>Method</i>	<i>Prepared</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Analyzed</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Cntr</i>
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	110		%	62 - 133	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:28	VLM	G
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	91.6		%	79 - 114	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:28	VLM	G
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	88.2		%	78 - 116	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:28	VLM	G
Toluene-d8 (S)	90.5		%	76 - 127	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:28	VLM	G
WET CHEMISTRY										
Alkalinity, Bicarbonate	20	2	mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/27/19 13:55	MXO	B
Alkalinity, Total	20	3,4	mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/27/19 13:55	MXO	I
Ammonia-N	ND		mg/L	0.100	D6919-09			11/29/19 16:56	NJA	A
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	ND		mg/L	15	EPA 410.4			11/27/19 16:55	AK	A
Chloride	24.7		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/22/19 10:20	CHW	B
Fluoride	0.20		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/22/19 10:20	CHW	B
Nitrate-N	20.0	5	mg/L	0.50	EPA 300.0			11/23/19 12:40	CHW	B
pH	6.17	1	pH_Units		S4500HB-11			11/27/19 13:55	MXO	B
Phenolics	ND		mg/L	0.005	SW846 9066	11/26/19 09:16	C_D	11/26/19 11:19	C_D	F
Specific Conductance	264		umhos/cm	1	SW846 9050A			11/28/19 09:11	MBW	B
Sulfate	3.1		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/22/19 10:20	CHW	B
Total Dissolved Solids	80		mg/L	5	S2540C-11			11/24/19 21:35	VXF	B
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	0.57		mg/L	0.50	SW846 9060A			11/26/19 16:42	PAG	D
Turbidity	ND		NTU	0.10	SM2130B-2011			11/22/19 07:25	R2B	B

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America


 Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071453 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3071453001** Date Collected: 11/21/2019 10:20 Matrix: Ground Water
 Sample ID: **FFMP03AW** Date Received: 11/21/2019 15:51

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
METALS										
Calcium, Total	17.6		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 10:44	SRT	J1
Iron, Total	ND		mg/L	0.067	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 10:44	SRT	J1
Magnesium, Total	12.7		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 10:44	SRT	J1
Manganese, Total	0.26		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 10:44	SRT	J1
Potassium, Total	1.4		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 10:44	SRT	J1
Sodium, Total	12.2		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 10:44	SRT	J1
FIELD PARAMETERS										
Depth to Water Level	52.71		Feet		Field			11/21/19 10:20	BGS	C
Elev Top MW Casing above MSL	590.90		Feet		Field			11/21/19 10:20	BGS	C
Flow Rate	1.69		gal/min		Field			11/21/19 10:20	BGS	C
Ground Water Elevation	538.19		ft/MSL		Field			11/21/19 10:20	BGS	C
pH, Field (SM4500B)	4.96		pH_Units		Field			11/21/19 10:20	BGS	C
Sample Depth	130.00		Feet		Field			11/21/19 10:20	BGS	C
Specific Conductance, Field	304		umhos/cm	1	Field			11/21/19 10:20	BGS	C
Temperature	10.85		Deg. C		Field			11/21/19 10:20	BGS	C
Total Well Depth	148.40		Feet		Field			11/21/19 10:20	BGS	C
Volume in Water Column	140.66		Gallons		Field			11/21/19 10:20	BGS	C
Water Level After Purge	84.81		Feet		Field			11/21/19 10:20	BGS	C
Well Volumes Purged	0.84		Vol		Field			11/21/19 10:20	BGS	C



Ms. Susan J Scherer
 Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071453 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3071453002** Date Collected: 11/21/2019 12:04 Matrix: Ground Water
Sample ID: **FFMP031W** Date Received: 11/21/2019 15:51

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
VOLATILE ORGANICS										
Benzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:50	VLM	G
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:50	VLM	G
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:50	VLM	G
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:50	VLM	G
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:50	VLM	G
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:50	VLM	G
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:50	VLM	G
Ethylbenzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:50	VLM	G
Methylene Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:50	VLM	G
Tetrachloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:50	VLM	G
Toluene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:50	VLM	G
Total Xylenes	ND		ug/L	3.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:50	VLM	G
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:50	VLM	G
Trichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:50	VLM	G
Vinyl Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:50	VLM	G
<i>Surrogate Recoveries</i>	<i>Results</i>	<i>Flag</i>	<i>Units</i>	<i>Limits</i>	<i>Method</i>	<i>Prepared</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Analyzed</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Cntr</i>
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	111		%	62 - 133	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:50	VLM	G
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	91.6		%	79 - 114	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:50	VLM	G
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	89.9		%	78 - 116	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:50	VLM	G
Toluene-d8 (S)	91		%	76 - 127	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 05:50	VLM	G
WET CHEMISTRY										
Alkalinity, Bicarbonate	81	2	mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/27/19 13:55	MXO	B
Alkalinity, Total	81	5,6	mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/27/19 13:55	MXO	I
Ammonia-N	ND		mg/L	0.100	D6919-09			11/29/19 17:09	NJA	A
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	ND		mg/L	15	EPA 410.4			11/27/19 16:55	AK	A
Chloride	23.5		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/22/19 10:36	CHW	B
Fluoride	0.26		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/22/19 10:36	CHW	B
Nitrate-N	ND		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/22/19 10:36	CHW	B
pH	7.97	1	pH_Units		S4500HB-11			11/27/19 13:55	MXO	B
Phenolics	ND	3,4	mg/L	0.005	SW846 9066	12/2/19 14:11	C_D	12/4/19 07:20	C_D	F
Specific Conductance	269		umhos/cm	1	SW846 9050A			11/28/19 09:13	MBW	B
Sulfate	45.7		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/22/19 10:36	CHW	B
Total Dissolved Solids	122		mg/L	5	S2540C-11			11/24/19 21:35	VXF	B
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	0.58		mg/L	0.50	SW846 9060A			11/26/19 16:42	PAG	D
Turbidity	14.0		NTU	0.10	SM2130B-2011			11/22/19 07:25	R2B	B

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America


Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071453 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

 Lab ID: **3071453002** Date Collected: 11/21/2019 12:04 Matrix: Ground Water
 Sample ID: **FFMP031W** Date Received: 11/21/2019 15:51

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
METALS										
Calcium, Total	41.2		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 10:48	SRT	J1
Iron, Total	3.8		mg/L	0.067	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 10:48	SRT	J1
Magnesium, Total	4.1		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 10:48	SRT	J1
Manganese, Total	0.36		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 10:48	SRT	J1
Potassium, Total	1.5		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 10:48	SRT	J1
Sodium, Total	10.4		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 10:48	SRT	J1
FIELD PARAMETERS										
Depth to Water Level	68.41		Feet		Field			11/21/19 12:04	BGS	C
Elev Top MW Casing above MSL	612.66		Feet		Field			11/21/19 12:04	BGS	C
Flow Rate	1.61		gal/min		Field			11/21/19 12:04	BGS	C
Ground Water Elevation	544.25		ft/MSL		Field			11/21/19 12:04	BGS	C
pH, Field (SM4500B)	7.50		pH_Units		Field			11/21/19 12:04	BGS	C
Sample Depth	130.00		Feet		Field			11/21/19 12:04	BGS	C
Specific Conductance, Field	318		umhos/cm	1	Field			11/21/19 12:04	BGS	C
Temperature	13.53		Deg. C		Field			11/21/19 12:04	BGS	C
Total Well Depth	142.70		Feet		Field			11/21/19 12:04	BGS	C
Volume in Water Column	109.21		Gallons		Field			11/21/19 12:04	BGS	C
Water Level After Purge	107.68		Feet		Field			11/21/19 12:04	BGS	C
Well Volumes Purged	1.33		Vol		Field			11/21/19 12:04	BGS	C



 Ms. Susan J Scherer
 Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

 Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071453 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3071453003**

Date Collected: 11/21/2019 12:43

Matrix: Ground Water

Sample ID: **FFMP002W**

Date Received: 11/21/2019 15:51

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
VOLATILE ORGANICS										
Benzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:20	VLM	H
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:20	VLM	H
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:20	VLM	H
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:20	VLM	H
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:20	VLM	H
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:20	VLM	H
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:20	VLM	H
Ethylbenzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:20	VLM	H
Methylene Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:20	VLM	H
Tetrachloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:20	VLM	H
Toluene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:20	VLM	H
Total Xylenes	ND		ug/L	3.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:20	VLM	H
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:20	VLM	H
Trichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:20	VLM	H
Vinyl Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:20	VLM	H
<i>Surrogate Recoveries</i>	<i>Results</i>	<i>Flag</i>	<i>Units</i>	<i>Limits</i>	<i>Method</i>	<i>Prepared</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Analyzed</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Cntr</i>
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	103		%	62 - 133	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:20	VLM	H
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	91.6		%	79 - 114	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:20	VLM	H
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	91.9		%	78 - 116	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:20	VLM	H
Toluene-d8 (S)	91.6		%	76 - 127	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:20	VLM	H
WET CHEMISTRY										
Alkalinity, Bicarbonate	ND	2	mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/27/19 13:55	MXO	B
Alkalinity, Total	ND	3,4	mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/27/19 13:55	MXO	I
Ammonia-N	ND		mg/L	0.100	D6919-09			11/29/19 17:22	NJA	A
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	ND		mg/L	15	EPA 410.4			11/27/19 16:55	AK	A
Chloride	21.1		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/22/19 10:51	CHW	B
Fluoride	ND		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/22/19 10:51	CHW	B
Nitrate-N	20.0	5	mg/L	0.50	EPA 300.0			11/23/19 12:53	CHW	B
pH	5.31	1	pH_Units		S4500HB-11			11/27/19 13:55	MXO	B
Phenolics	ND		mg/L	0.005	SW846 9066	12/2/19 14:11	C_D	12/4/19 07:20	C_D	F
Specific Conductance	261		umhos/cm	1	SW846 9050A			11/28/19 09:14	MBW	B
Sulfate	23.3		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/22/19 10:51	CHW	B
Total Dissolved Solids	220		mg/L	5	S2540C-11			11/25/19 17:53	D1C	B
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	0.80		mg/L	0.50	SW846 9060A			11/26/19 16:42	PAG	D
Turbidity	ND		NTU	0.10	SM2130B-2011			11/22/19 07:25	R2B	B

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America


Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071453 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3071453003** Date Collected: 11/21/2019 12:43 Matrix: Ground Water
Sample ID: **FFMP002W** Date Received: 11/21/2019 15:51

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
METALS										
Calcium, Total	20.4		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 10:51	SRT	J1
Iron, Total	ND		mg/L	0.067	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 10:51	SRT	J1
Magnesium, Total	8.2		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 10:51	SRT	J1
Manganese, Total	0.26		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 10:51	SRT	J1
Potassium, Total	1.9		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 10:51	SRT	J1
Sodium, Total	15.0		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 10:51	SRT	J1
FIELD PARAMETERS										
Depth to Water Level	69.74		Feet		Field			11/21/19 12:42	BGS	C
Elev Top MW Casing above MSL	613.20		Feet		Field			11/21/19 12:42	BGS	C
Flow Rate	0.95		gal/min		Field			11/21/19 12:42	BGS	C
Ground Water Elevation	543.46		ft/MSL		Field			11/21/19 12:42	BGS	C
pH, Field (SM4500B)	4.61		pH_Units		Field			11/21/19 12:42	BGS	C
Sample Depth	85.00		Feet		Field			11/21/19 12:42	BGS	C
Specific Conductance, Field	308		umhos/cm	1	Field			11/21/19 12:42	BGS	C
Temperature	11.48		Deg. C		Field			11/21/19 12:42	BGS	C
Total Well Depth	90.02		Feet		Field			11/21/19 12:42	BGS	C
Volume in Water Column	29.81		Gallons		Field			11/21/19 12:42	BGS	C
Water Level After Purge	81.41		Feet		Field			11/21/19 12:42	BGS	C
Well Volumes Purged	0.61		Vol		Field			11/21/19 12:42	BGS	C



Ms. Susan J Scherer
Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071453 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3071453004** Date Collected: 11/21/2019 13:50 Matrix: Ground Water
Sample ID: **FFMP032W** Date Received: 11/21/2019 15:51

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
VOLATILE ORGANICS										
Benzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 06:35	VLM	G
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 06:35	VLM	G
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 06:35	VLM	G
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 06:35	VLM	G
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 06:35	VLM	G
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 06:35	VLM	G
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 06:35	VLM	G
Ethylbenzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 06:35	VLM	G
Methylene Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 06:35	VLM	G
Tetrachloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 06:35	VLM	G
Toluene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 06:35	VLM	G
Total Xylenes	ND		ug/L	3.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 06:35	VLM	G
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 06:35	VLM	G
Trichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 06:35	VLM	G
Vinyl Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 06:35	VLM	G
<i>Surrogate Recoveries</i>	<i>Results</i>	<i>Flag</i>	<i>Units</i>	<i>Limits</i>	<i>Method</i>	<i>Prepared</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Analyzed</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Cntr</i>
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	112		%	62 - 133	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 06:35	VLM	G
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	89.7		%	79 - 114	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 06:35	VLM	G
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	88.7		%	78 - 116	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 06:35	VLM	G
Toluene-d8 (S)	90.5		%	76 - 127	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 06:35	VLM	G
WET CHEMISTRY										
Alkalinity, Bicarbonate	90	2	mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/27/19 13:55	MXO	B
Alkalinity, Total	90	3,4	mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/27/19 13:55	MXO	I
Ammonia-N	0.606		mg/L	0.100	D6919-09			11/29/19 17:34	NJA	A
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	ND		mg/L	15	EPA 410.4			11/27/19 16:56	AK	A
Chloride	21.2		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/22/19 12:39	CHW	B
Fluoride	ND		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/22/19 12:39	CHW	B
Nitrate-N	ND		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/22/19 12:39	CHW	B
pH	7.65	1	pH_Units		S4500HB-11			11/27/19 13:55	MXO	B
Phenolics	ND		mg/L	0.005	SW846 9066	12/2/19 14:11	C_D	12/4/19 07:20	C_D	F
Specific Conductance	169		umhos/cm	1	SW846 9050A			11/28/19 09:15	MBW	B
Sulfate	ND		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/22/19 12:39	CHW	B
Total Dissolved Solids	92		mg/L	5	S2540C-11			11/25/19 17:53	D1C	B
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	0.64		mg/L	0.50	SW846 9060A			11/26/19 21:50	PAG	D
Turbidity	150		NTU	0.10	SM2130B-2011			11/22/19 07:25	R2B	B

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America


Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071453 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3071453004** Date Collected: 11/21/2019 13:50 Matrix: Ground Water
Sample ID: **FFMP032W** Date Received: 11/21/2019 15:51

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
METALS									
Calcium, Total	15.2		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55 SXC	11/27/19 11:13	SRT	J1
Iron, Total	7.8		mg/L	0.067	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55 SXC	11/27/19 11:13	SRT	J1
Magnesium, Total	5.1		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55 SXC	11/27/19 11:13	SRT	J1
Manganese, Total	0.65		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55 SXC	11/27/19 11:13	SRT	J1
Potassium, Total	1.5		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55 SXC	11/27/19 11:13	SRT	J1
Sodium, Total	13.8		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55 SXC	11/27/19 11:13	SRT	J1
FIELD PARAMETERS									
Depth to Water Level	49.29		Feet		Field		11/21/19 13:50	BGS	C
Elev Top MW Casing above MSL	594.09		Feet		Field		11/21/19 13:50	BGS	C
Flow Rate	0.61		gal/min		Field		11/21/19 13:50	BGS	C
Ground Water Elevation	544.80		ft/MSL		Field		11/21/19 13:50	BGS	C
pH, Field (SM4500B)	6.96		pH_Units		Field		11/21/19 13:50	BGS	C
Sample Depth	62.00		Feet		Field		11/21/19 13:50	BGS	C
Specific Conductance, Field	214		umhos/cm	1	Field		11/21/19 13:50	BGS	C
Temperature	12.34		Deg. C		Field		11/21/19 13:50	BGS	C
Total Well Depth	77.60		Feet		Field		11/21/19 13:50	BGS	C
Volume in Water Column	41.62		Gallons		Field		11/21/19 13:50	BGS	C
Water Level After Purge	55.87		Feet		Field		11/21/19 13:50	BGS	C
Well Volumes Purged	0.73		Vol		Field		11/21/19 13:50	BGS	C



Ms. Susan J Scherer
Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071453 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3071453005** Date Collected: 11/21/2019 14:34 Matrix: Ground Water
Sample ID: **FFMP025W** Date Received: 11/21/2019 15:51

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
VOLATILE ORGANICS										
Benzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:43	VLM	H
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:43	VLM	H
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:43	VLM	H
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:43	VLM	H
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:43	VLM	H
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:43	VLM	H
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:43	VLM	H
Ethylbenzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:43	VLM	H
Methylene Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:43	VLM	H
Tetrachloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:43	VLM	H
Toluene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:43	VLM	H
Total Xylenes	ND		ug/L	3.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:43	VLM	H
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:43	VLM	H
Trichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:43	VLM	H
Vinyl Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:43	VLM	H
<i>Surrogate Recoveries</i>	<i>Results</i>	<i>Flag</i>	<i>Units</i>	<i>Limits</i>	<i>Method</i>	<i>Prepared</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Analyzed</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Cntr</i>
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	105		%	62 - 133	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:43	VLM	H
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	91.3		%	79 - 114	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:43	VLM	H
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	94.1		%	78 - 116	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:43	VLM	H
Toluene-d8 (S)	90.6		%	76 - 127	SW846 8260B			11/26/19 00:43	VLM	H
WET CHEMISTRY										
Alkalinity, Bicarbonate	37	2	mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/27/19 13:55	MXO	B
Alkalinity, Total	37	3,4	mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/27/19 13:55	MXO	I
Ammonia-N	ND		mg/L	0.100	D6919-09			11/29/19 17:47	NJA	A
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	ND		mg/L	15	EPA 410.4			11/27/19 16:56	AK	A
Chloride	51.8		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/22/19 12:55	CHW	B
Fluoride	ND		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/22/19 12:55	CHW	B
Nitrate-N	8.0		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/22/19 12:55	CHW	B
pH	6.63	1	pH_Units		S4500HB-11			11/27/19 13:55	MXO	B
Phenolics	ND		mg/L	0.005	SW846 9066	12/2/19 14:11	C_D	12/4/19 07:20	C_D	F
Specific Conductance	306		umhos/cm	1	SW846 9050A			11/28/19 09:17	MBW	B
Sulfate	23.9		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/22/19 12:55	CHW	B
Total Dissolved Solids	188		mg/L	5	S2540C-11			11/25/19 17:53	D1C	B
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	0.91		mg/L	0.50	SW846 9060A			11/26/19 21:50	PAG	D
Turbidity	0.11		NTU	0.10	SM2130B-2011			11/22/19 07:25	R2B	B

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America


Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071453 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3071453005** Date Collected: 11/21/2019 14:34 Matrix: Ground Water
 Sample ID: **FFMP025W** Date Received: 11/21/2019 15:51

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
METALS										
Calcium, Total	21.7		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 11:17	SRT	J1
Iron, Total	ND		mg/L	0.067	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 11:17	SRT	J1
Magnesium, Total	11.9		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 11:17	SRT	J1
Manganese, Total	0.0066		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 11:17	SRT	J1
Potassium, Total	2.4		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 11:17	SRT	J1
Sodium, Total	19.5		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 11:17	SRT	J1
FIELD PARAMETERS										
Depth to Water Level	24.85		Feet		Field			11/21/19 14:34	BGS	C
Elev Top MW Casing above MSL	476.80		Feet		Field			11/21/19 14:34	BGS	C
Flow Rate	2.97		gal/min		Field			11/21/19 14:34	BGS	C
Ground Water Elevation	451.95		ft/MSL		Field			11/21/19 14:34	BGS	C
pH, Field (SM4500B)	4.78		pH_Units		Field			11/21/19 14:34	BGS	C
Sample Depth	39.00		Feet		Field			11/21/19 14:34	BGS	C
Specific Conductance, Field	345		umhos/cm	1	Field			11/21/19 14:34	BGS	C
Temperature	10.44		Deg. C		Field			11/21/19 14:34	BGS	C
Total Well Depth	41.50		Feet		Field			11/21/19 14:34	BGS	C
Volume in Water Column	24.48		Gallons		Field			11/21/19 14:34	BGS	C
Water Level After Purge	24.94		Feet		Field			11/21/19 14:34	BGS	C
Well Volumes Purged	3.64		Vol		Field			11/21/19 14:34	BGS	C



Ms. Susan J Scherer
 Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071453 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3071453006** Date Collected: 11/21/2019 14:50 Matrix: Water
Sample ID: **FIELD BLANK** Date Received: 11/21/2019 15:51

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
VOLATILE ORGANICS										
Benzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 01:21	VLM	G
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 01:21	VLM	G
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 01:21	VLM	G
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 01:21	VLM	G
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 01:21	VLM	G
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 01:21	VLM	G
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 01:21	VLM	G
Ethylbenzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 01:21	VLM	G
Methylene Chloride	3.4		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 01:21	VLM	G
Tetrachloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 01:21	VLM	G
Toluene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 01:21	VLM	G
Total Xylenes	ND		ug/L	3.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 01:21	VLM	G
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 01:21	VLM	G
Trichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 01:21	VLM	G
Vinyl Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 01:21	VLM	G
<i>Surrogate Recoveries</i>	<i>Results</i>	<i>Flag</i>	<i>Units</i>	<i>Limits</i>	<i>Method</i>	<i>Prepared</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Analyzed</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Cntr</i>
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	99		%	62 - 133	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 01:21	VLM	G
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	94		%	79 - 114	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 01:21	VLM	G
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	88.4		%	78 - 116	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 01:21	VLM	G
Toluene-d8 (S)	94		%	76 - 127	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 01:21	VLM	G
WET CHEMISTRY										
Alkalinity, Bicarbonate	ND	3	mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/27/19 13:55	MXO	B
Alkalinity, Total	ND	45	mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/27/19 13:55	MXO	I
Ammonia-N	ND		mg/L	0.100	D6919-09			11/29/19 18:25	NJA	A
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	ND		mg/L	15	EPA 410.4			11/27/19 16:55	AK	A
Chloride	ND		mg/L	1.0	EPA 300.0			11/22/19 13:10	CHW	B
Fluoride	ND		mg/L	0.10	EPA 300.0			11/22/19 13:10	CHW	B
Nitrate-N	ND		mg/L	0.10	EPA 300.0			11/22/19 13:10	CHW	B
pH	6.38	1	pH_Units		S4500HB-11			11/27/19 13:55	MXO	B
Phenolics	ND		mg/L	0.005	SW846 9066	12/2/19 14:11	C_D	12/4/19 07:20	C_D	F
Specific Conductance	ND		umhos/cm	1	SW846 9050A			11/28/19 09:18	MBW	B
Sulfate	ND		mg/L	1.0	EPA 300.0			11/22/19 13:10	CHW	B
Total Dissolved Solids	8	2	mg/L	5	S2540C-11			11/25/19 17:53	D1C	B
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	ND		mg/L	0.50	SW846 9060A			11/26/19 21:50	PAG	D
Turbidity	ND		NTU	0.10	SM2130B-2011			11/22/19 07:25	R2B	B

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America


Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071453 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3071453006** Date Collected: 11/21/2019 14:50 Matrix: Water
 Sample ID: **FIELD BLANK** Date Received: 11/21/2019 15:51

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
METALS										
Calcium, Total	ND		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 11:21	SRT	J1
Iron, Total	ND		mg/L	0.067	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 11:21	SRT	J1
Magnesium, Total	ND		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 11:21	SRT	J1
Manganese, Total	ND		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 11:21	SRT	J1
Potassium, Total	ND		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 11:21	SRT	J1
Sodium, Total	ND		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 14:55	SXC	11/27/19 11:21	SRT	J1


 Ms. Susan J Scherer
 Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America


Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071453 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3071453007** Date Collected: 11/21/2019 15:51 Matrix: Water
Sample ID: **TRIP BLANK** Date Received: 11/21/2019 15:51

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
VOLATILE ORGANICS										
Benzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 00:58	VLM	A
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 00:58	VLM	A
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 00:58	VLM	A
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 00:58	VLM	A
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 00:58	VLM	A
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 00:58	VLM	A
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 00:58	VLM	A
Ethylbenzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 00:58	VLM	A
Methylene Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 00:58	VLM	A
Tetrachloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 00:58	VLM	A
Toluene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 00:58	VLM	A
Total Xylenes	ND		ug/L	3.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 00:58	VLM	A
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 00:58	VLM	A
Trichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 00:58	VLM	A
Vinyl Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 00:58	VLM	A
<i>Surrogate Recoveries</i>	<i>Results</i>	<i>Flag</i>	<i>Units</i>	<i>Limits</i>	<i>Method</i>	<i>Prepared</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Analyzed</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Cntr</i>
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	95		%	62 - 133	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 00:58	VLM	A
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	94.6		%	79 - 114	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 00:58	VLM	A
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	89.7		%	78 - 116	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 00:58	VLM	A
Toluene-d8 (S)	93.5		%	76 - 127	SW846 8260B			11/23/19 00:58	VLM	A



Ms. Susan J Scherer
Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071453 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

PARAMETER QUALIFIERS

Lab ID	#	Sample ID	Analytical Method	Analyte
3071453001	1	FFMP03AW	S4500HB-11	pH
The pH analysis is an "analyze immediately" analysis. Parameters identified as "analyze immediately" require analysis within 15 minutes of collection, and are therefore analyzed outside of the method holding time when analyzed in the laboratory.				
3071453001	2	FFMP03AW	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Bicarbonate
The Method Blank for method SM2320B-2011 reported a value greater than the reporting level for the analyte Alkalinity, Total. The concentration was 6 mg/L.				
3071453001	3	FFMP03AW	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Total
The Total Alkalinity is titrated to a pH of 4.5 and reported as mg CaCO3/L.				
3071453001	4	FFMP03AW	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Total
The Method Blank for method SM2320B-2011 reported a value greater than the reporting level for the analyte Alkalinity, Total. The concentration was 6 mg/L.				
3071453001	5	FFMP03AW	EPA 300.0	Nitrate-N
The sample was originally run within hold time, but required further analysis that exceeded hold time.				
3071453002	1	FFMP031W	S4500HB-11	pH
The pH analysis is an "analyze immediately" analysis. Parameters identified as "analyze immediately" require analysis within 15 minutes of collection, and are therefore analyzed outside of the method holding time when analyzed in the laboratory.				
3071453002	2	FFMP031W	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Bicarbonate
The Method Blank for method SM2320B-2011 reported a value greater than the reporting level for the analyte Alkalinity, Total. The concentration was 6 mg/L.				
3071453002	3	FFMP031W	SW846 9066	Phenolics
The QC sample type MS for method 420.4/9066 was outside the control limits for the analyte Phenolics. The % Recovery was reported as 18.7 and the control limits were 90 to 110.				
3071453002	4	FFMP031W	SW846 9066	Phenolics
The QC sample type MSD for method 420.4/9066 was outside the control limits for the analyte Phenolics. The % Recovery was reported as 18.8 and the control limits were 90 to 110.				
3071453002	5	FFMP031W	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Total
The Total Alkalinity is titrated to a pH of 4.5 and reported as mg CaCO3/L.				
3071453002	6	FFMP031W	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Total
The Method Blank for method SM2320B-2011 reported a value greater than the reporting level for the analyte Alkalinity, Total. The concentration was 6 mg/L.				
3071453003	1	FFMP002W	S4500HB-11	pH
The pH analysis is an "analyze immediately" analysis. Parameters identified as "analyze immediately" require analysis within 15 minutes of collection, and are therefore analyzed outside of the method holding time when analyzed in the laboratory.				
3071453003	2	FFMP002W	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Bicarbonate
The Method Blank for method SM2320B-2011 reported a value greater than the reporting level for the analyte Alkalinity, Total. The concentration was 6 mg/L.				
3071453003	3	FFMP002W	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Total
The Total Alkalinity is titrated to a pH of 4.5 and reported as mg CaCO3/L.				
3071453003	4	FFMP002W	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Total
The Method Blank for method SM2320B-2011 reported a value greater than the reporting level for the analyte Alkalinity, Total. The concentration was 6 mg/L.				
3071453003	5	FFMP002W	EPA 300.0	Nitrate-N
The sample was originally run within hold time, but required further analysis that exceeded hold time.				

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071453 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

3071453004	1	FFMP032W	S4500HB-11	pH
The pH analysis is an "analyze immediately" analysis. Parameters identified as "analyze immediately" require analysis within 15 minutes of collection, and are therefore analyzed outside of the method holding time when analyzed in the laboratory.				
3071453004	2	FFMP032W	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Bicarbonate
The Method Blank for method SM2320B-2011 reported a value greater than the reporting level for the analyte Alkalinity, Total. The concentration was 6 mg/L.				
3071453004	3	FFMP032W	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Total
The Total Alkalinity is titrated to a pH of 4.5 and reported as mg CaCO ₃ /L.				
3071453004	4	FFMP032W	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Total
The Method Blank for method SM2320B-2011 reported a value greater than the reporting level for the analyte Alkalinity, Total. The concentration was 6 mg/L.				
3071453005	1	FFMP025W	S4500HB-11	pH
The pH analysis is an "analyze immediately" analysis. Parameters identified as "analyze immediately" require analysis within 15 minutes of collection, and are therefore analyzed outside of the method holding time when analyzed in the laboratory.				
3071453005	2	FFMP025W	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Bicarbonate
The Method Blank for method SM2320B-2011 reported a value greater than the reporting level for the analyte Alkalinity, Total. The concentration was 6 mg/L.				
3071453005	3	FFMP025W	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Total
The Total Alkalinity is titrated to a pH of 4.5 and reported as mg CaCO ₃ /L.				
3071453005	4	FFMP025W	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Total
The Method Blank for method SM2320B-2011 reported a value greater than the reporting level for the analyte Alkalinity, Total. The concentration was 6 mg/L.				
3071453006	1	FIELD BLANK	S4500HB-11	pH
The pH analysis is an "analyze immediately" analysis. Parameters identified as "analyze immediately" require analysis within 15 minutes of collection, and are therefore analyzed outside of the method holding time when analyzed in the laboratory.				
3071453006	2	FIELD BLANK	S2540C-11	Total Dissolved Solids
The method requires a minimum filter weight after drying of 0.0025g. The sample did not meet these requirements. A bias may exist with the result.				
3071453006	3	FIELD BLANK	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Bicarbonate
The Method Blank for method SM2320B-2011 reported a value greater than the reporting level for the analyte Alkalinity, Total. The concentration was 6 mg/L.				
3071453006	4	FIELD BLANK	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Total
The Total Alkalinity is titrated to a pH of 4.5 and reported as mg CaCO ₃ /L.				
3071453006	5	FIELD BLANK	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Total
The Method Blank for method SM2320B-2011 reported a value greater than the reporting level for the analyte Alkalinity, Total. The concentration was 6 mg/L.				

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYSIS - PREP METHOD CROSS REFERENCE TABLE

Workorder: 3071453 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID	Sample ID	Analysis Method	Prep Method
3071453001	FFMP03AW	D6919-09	
3071453001	FFMP03AW	EPA 300.0	
3071453001	FFMP03AW	EPA 410.4	
3071453001	FFMP03AW	Field	
3071453001	FFMP03AW	S2540C-11	
3071453001	FFMP03AW	S4500HB-11	
3071453001	FFMP03AW	SM2130B-2011	
3071453001	FFMP03AW	SM2320B-2011	
3071453001	FFMP03AW	SW846 6010C	SW846 3015
3071453001	FFMP03AW	SW846 8260B	
3071453001	FFMP03AW	SW846 9050A	
3071453001	FFMP03AW	SW846 9060A	
3071453001	FFMP03AW	SW846 9066	420.4/9066
3071453002	FFMP031W	D6919-09	
3071453002	FFMP031W	EPA 300.0	
3071453002	FFMP031W	EPA 410.4	
3071453002	FFMP031W	Field	
3071453002	FFMP031W	S2540C-11	
3071453002	FFMP031W	S4500HB-11	
3071453002	FFMP031W	SM2130B-2011	
3071453002	FFMP031W	SM2320B-2011	
3071453002	FFMP031W	SW846 6010C	SW846 3015
3071453002	FFMP031W	SW846 8260B	
3071453002	FFMP031W	SW846 9050A	
3071453002	FFMP031W	SW846 9060A	
3071453002	FFMP031W	SW846 9066	420.4/9066
3071453003	FFMP002W	D6919-09	
3071453003	FFMP002W	EPA 300.0	
3071453003	FFMP002W	EPA 410.4	
3071453003	FFMP002W	Field	
3071453003	FFMP002W	S2540C-11	
3071453003	FFMP002W	S4500HB-11	
3071453003	FFMP002W	SM2130B-2011	
3071453003	FFMP002W	SM2320B-2011	
3071453003	FFMP002W	SW846 6010C	SW846 3015
3071453003	FFMP002W	SW846 8260B	
3071453003	FFMP002W	SW846 9050A	
3071453003	FFMP002W	SW846 9060A	
3071453003	FFMP002W	SW846 9066	420.4/9066
3071453004	FFMP032W	D6919-09	
3071453004	FFMP032W	EPA 300.0	
3071453004	FFMP032W	EPA 410.4	
3071453004	FFMP032W	Field	
3071453004	FFMP032W	S2540C-11	
3071453004	FFMP032W	S4500HB-11	
3071453004	FFMP032W	SM2130B-2011	

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYSIS - PREP METHOD CROSS REFERENCE TABLE

Workorder: 3071453 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID	Sample ID	Analysis Method	Prep Method
3071453004	FFMP032W	SM2320B-2011	
3071453004	FFMP032W	SW846 6010C	SW846 3015
3071453004	FFMP032W	SW846 8260B	
3071453004	FFMP032W	SW846 9050A	
3071453004	FFMP032W	SW846 9060A	
3071453004	FFMP032W	SW846 9066	420.4/9066
3071453005	FFMP025W	D6919-09	
3071453005	FFMP025W	EPA 300.0	
3071453005	FFMP025W	EPA 410.4	
3071453005	FFMP025W	Field	
3071453005	FFMP025W	S2540C-11	
3071453005	FFMP025W	S4500HB-11	
3071453005	FFMP025W	SM2130B-2011	
3071453005	FFMP025W	SM2320B-2011	
3071453005	FFMP025W	SW846 6010C	SW846 3015
3071453005	FFMP025W	SW846 8260B	
3071453005	FFMP025W	SW846 9050A	
3071453005	FFMP025W	SW846 9060A	
3071453005	FFMP025W	SW846 9066	420.4/9066
3071453006	FIELD BLANK	D6919-09	
3071453006	FIELD BLANK	EPA 300.0	
3071453006	FIELD BLANK	EPA 410.4	
3071453006	FIELD BLANK	S2540C-11	
3071453006	FIELD BLANK	S4500HB-11	
3071453006	FIELD BLANK	SM2130B-2011	
3071453006	FIELD BLANK	SM2320B-2011	
3071453006	FIELD BLANK	SW846 6010C	SW846 3015
3071453006	FIELD BLANK	SW846 8260B	
3071453006	FIELD BLANK	SW846 9050A	
3071453006	FIELD BLANK	SW846 9060A	
3071453006	FIELD BLANK	SW846 9066	420.4/9066
3071453007	TRIP BLANK	SW846 8260B	

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey



301 Fulling Mill Road
 Middletown, PA 17057
 P: (717) 944-5541
 F: (717) 944-1430

Condition of Sample Receipt Form

Client: Lancaster County Work Order #: 3071453 Initials: CJ Date: 11/21/19

- | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------|-----------|
| 1. Were airbills / tracking numbers present and recorded?..... | <u>NONE</u> | YES | NO |
| Tracking number: _____ | | | |
| 2. Are Custody Seals on shipping containers intact?..... | <u>NONE</u> | YES | NO |
| 3. Are Custody Seals on sample containers intact?..... | <u>NONE</u> | YES | NO |
| 4. Is there a COC (Chain-of-Custody) present?..... | <u>YES</u> | YES | NO |
| 5. Are the COC and bottle labels complete, legible and in agreement?..... | <u>YES</u> | YES | NO |
| 5a. Does the COC contain sample locations?..... | <u>YES</u> | YES | NO |
| 5b. Does the COC contain date and time of sample collection for all samples?..... | <u>YES</u> | YES | NO |
| 5c. Does the COC contain sample collectors name?..... | <u>YES</u> | YES | NO |
| 5d. Does the COC note the type(s) of preservation for all bottles?..... | <u>YES</u> | YES | NO |
| 5e. Does the COC note the number of bottles submitted for each sample?..... | <u>YES</u> | YES | NO |
| 5f. Does the COC note the type of sample, composite or grab?..... | <u>YES</u> | YES | NO |
| 5g. Does the COC note the matrix of the sample(s)?..... | <u>YES</u> | YES | NO |
| 6. Are all aqueous samples requiring preservation preserved correctly?..... | N/A | <u>YES</u> | NO |
| 7. Were all samples placed in the proper containers for the requested analyses, with sufficient volume?..... | | <u>YES</u> | NO |
| 8. Are all samples within holding times for the requested analyses?..... | | <u>YES</u> | NO |
| 9. Were all sample containers received intact and headspace free when required? (not broken, leaking, frozen, etc.)..... | | <u>YES</u> | NO |
| 10. Did we receive trip blanks (applies only for methods EPA 504, EPA 524.2 and 1631E (LL Hg)?..... | <u>N/A</u> | YES | NO |
| 11. Were the samples received on ice?..... | | <u>YES</u> | NO |
| 12. Were sample temperatures measured at 0.0-6.0°C..... | | <u>YES</u> | NO |
| 13. Are the samples DW matrix ? If YES, fill out Reportable Drinking Water questions below..... | | YES | <u>NO</u> |
| 13a. Are the samples required for SDWA compliance reporting?..... | <u>N/A</u> | YES | NO |
| 13b. Did the client provide a SDWA PWS ID#?..... | <u>N/A</u> | YES | NO |
| 13c. Are all aqueous unpreserved SDWA samples pH 5-9?..... | <u>N/A</u> | YES | NO |
| 13d. Did the client provide the SDWA sample location ID/Description?..... | <u>N/A</u> | YES | NO |
| 13e. Did the client provide the SDWA sample type (D, E, R, C, P, S)?..... | <u>N/A</u> | YES | NO |

Cooler #: _____
 Temperature (°C): 2
 Thermometer ID: SZ5
 Radiological (µCi): _____

COMMENTS (Required for all NO responses above and any sample non-conformance):





December 2, 2019

Mr. Daniel Brown
Lancaster County Solid Waste Authority
1299 Hbg Pike, P.O. Box 4425
Lancaster, PA 17604

Certificate of Analysis

Project Name:	FREY FARM	Workorder:	3071088
Purchase Order:	PO1000126	Workorder ID:	4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Dear Mr. Brown:

Enclosed are the analytical results for samples received by the laboratory on Wednesday, November 20, 2019.

The ALS Environmental laboratory in Middletown, Pennsylvania is a National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NELAP) accredited laboratory and as such, certifies that all applicable test results meet the requirements of NELAP.

If you have any questions regarding this certificate of analysis, please contact Ms. Susan J Scherer (Project Coordinator) at (717) 944-5541.

Analyses were performed according to our laboratory's NELAP-approved quality assurance program and any applicable state requirements. The test results meet requirements of the current NELAP standards or state requirements, where applicable. For a specific list of accredited analytes, refer to the certifications section of the ALS website at www.alsglobal.com/en/Our-Services/Life-Sciences/Environmental/Downloads.

This laboratory report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of ALS Environmental.

ALS Spring City: 10 Riverside Drive, Spring City, PA 19475 610-948-4903

CC: Mr. Nicholas Rogers , Ms. Jordan Gallagher , Mr. Jeff Musser

This page is included as part of the Analytical Report and must be retained as a permanent record thereof.

Ms. Susan J Scherer
Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Workorder: 3071088 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Date Received	Collected By
3071088001	FFMP26RW	Ground Water	11/20/2019 10:14	11/20/2019 15:35	Mr. Brian G Shade
3071088002	FFMP018W	Ground Water	11/20/2019 10:40	11/20/2019 15:35	Mr. Brian G Shade
3071088003	FFMP019W	Ground Water	11/20/2019 11:27	11/20/2019 15:35	Mr. Brian G Shade
3071088004	FFMP029W	Ground Water	11/20/2019 12:32	11/20/2019 15:35	Mr. Brian G Shade
3071088005	FFMP02DW	Ground Water	11/20/2019 13:52	11/20/2019 15:35	Mr. Brian G Shade
3071088006	FFMP02SW	Ground Water	11/20/2019 14:17	11/20/2019 15:35	Mr. Brian G Shade

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife **United States:** Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York **Mexico:** Monterrey

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Workorder: 3071088 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Notes

- Samples collected by ALS personnel are done so in accordance with the procedures set forth in the ALS Field Sampling Plan (20 - Field Services Sampling Plan).
- All Waste Water analyses comply with methodology requirements of 40 CFR Part 136.
- All Drinking Water analyses comply with methodology requirements of 40 CFR Part 141.
- Unless otherwise noted, all quantitative results for soils are reported on a dry weight basis.
- The Chain of Custody document is included as part of this report.
- All Library Search analytes should be regarded as tentative identifications based on the presumptive evidence of the mass spectra. Concentrations reported are estimated values.
- Parameters identified as "analyze immediately" require analysis within 15 minutes of collection. Any "analyze immediately" parameters not listed under the header "Field Parameters" are performed in the laboratory and are therefore analyzed out of hold time.
- Method references listed on this report beginning with the prefix "S" followed by a method number (such as S2310B-97) refer to methods from "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater".
- For microbiological analyses, the "Prepared" value is the date/time into the incubator and the "Analyzed" value is the date/time out the incubator.
- An Analysis-Prep Method Cross Reference Table is included after Analytical Results & Qualifiers section in this report.

Standard Acronyms/Flags

J	Indicates an estimated value between the Method Detection Limit (MDL) and the Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL) for the analyte
U	Indicates that the analyte was Not Detected (ND)
N	Indicates presumptive evidence of the presence of a compound
MDL	Method Detection Limit
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
RDL	Reporting Detection Limit
ND	Not Detected - indicates that the analyte was Not Detected at the RDL
Cntr	Analysis was performed using this container
RegLmt	Regulatory Limit
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
DUP	Sample Duplicate
%Rec	Percent Recovery
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
LOD	DoD Limit of Detection
LOQ	DoD Limit of Quantitation
DL	DoD Detection Limit
I	Indicates reported value is greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL) but less than the Report Detection Limit (RDL)
(S)	Surrogate Compound
NC	Not Calculated
*	Result outside of QC limits

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North AmericaCanada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071088 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3071088001** Date Collected: 11/20/2019 10:14 Matrix: Ground Water
Sample ID: **FFMP26RW** Date Received: 11/20/2019 15:35

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
VOLATILE ORGANICS										
Benzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 18:56	TMP	G
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 18:56	TMP	G
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 18:56	TMP	G
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 18:56	TMP	G
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 18:56	TMP	G
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 18:56	TMP	G
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 18:56	TMP	G
Ethylbenzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 18:56	TMP	G
Methylene Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 18:56	TMP	G
Tetrachloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 18:56	TMP	G
Toluene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 18:56	TMP	G
Total Xylenes	ND		ug/L	3.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 18:56	TMP	G
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 18:56	TMP	G
Trichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 18:56	TMP	G
Vinyl Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 18:56	TMP	G
<i>Surrogate Recoveries</i>	<i>Results</i>	<i>Flag</i>	<i>Units</i>	<i>Limits</i>	<i>Method</i>	<i>Prepared</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Analyzed</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Cntr</i>
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	109		%	62 - 133	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 18:56	TMP	G
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	91.9		%	79 - 114	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 18:56	TMP	G
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	99.7		%	78 - 116	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 18:56	TMP	G
Toluene-d8 (S)	91.1		%	76 - 127	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 18:56	TMP	G
WET CHEMISTRY										
Alkalinity, Bicarbonate	50		mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	B
Alkalinity, Total	50	3	mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	I
Ammonia-N	0.154		mg/L	0.100	D6919-09			11/27/19 12:14	NJA	A
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	ND		mg/L	15	EPA 410.4			11/27/19 16:56	AK	A
Chloride	195		mg/L	5.0	EPA 300.0			11/23/19 13:58	CHW	B
Fluoride	ND		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/21/19 11:17	CHW	B
Nitrate-N	2.1		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/21/19 11:17	CHW	B
pH	5.96	1	pH_Units		S4500HB-11			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	B
Phenolics	ND		mg/L	0.005	SW846 9066	11/26/19 09:15	C_D	11/26/19 11:19	C_D	F
Specific Conductance	1020	2	umhos/cm	1	SW846 9050A			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	B
Sulfate	65.5		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/21/19 11:17	CHW	B
Total Dissolved Solids	502		mg/L	5	S2540C-11			11/22/19 14:50	D1C	B
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	1.5		mg/L	0.50	SW846 9060A			11/22/19 04:15	PAG	D
Turbidity	0.70		NTU	0.10	SM2130B-2011			11/21/19 07:39	R2B	B

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America


Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071088 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

 Lab ID: **3071088001** Date Collected: 11/20/2019 10:14 Matrix: Ground Water
 Sample ID: **FFMP26RW** Date Received: 11/20/2019 15:35

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared By	Analyzed By	Cntr
METALS								
Calcium, Total	72.0		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:00 SRT	J1
Iron, Total	ND		mg/L	0.067	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:00 SRT	J1
Magnesium, Total	19.9		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:00 SRT	J1
Manganese, Total	0.50		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:00 SRT	J1
Potassium, Total	8.4		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:00 SRT	J1
Sodium, Total	64.0		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:00 SRT	J1
FIELD PARAMETERS								
Depth to Water Level	80.96		Feet		Field		11/20/19 10:14 BGS	C
Elev Top MW Casing above MSL	547.40		Feet		Field		11/20/19 10:14 BGS	C
Flow Rate	2.07		gal/min		Field		11/20/19 10:14 BGS	C
Ground Water Elevation	466.44		ft/MSL		Field		11/20/19 10:14 BGS	C
pH, Field (SM4500B)	5.23		pH_Units		Field		11/20/19 10:14 BGS	C
Sample Depth	105.00		Feet		Field		11/20/19 10:14 BGS	C
Specific Conductance, Field	956		umhos/cm	1	Field		11/20/19 10:14 BGS	C
Temperature	11.34		Deg. C		Field		11/20/19 10:14 BGS	C
Total Well Depth	118.30		Feet		Field		11/20/19 10:14 BGS	C
Volume in Water Column	54.89		Gallons		Field		11/20/19 10:14 BGS	C
Water Level After Purge	98.97		Feet		Field		11/20/19 10:14 BGS	C
Well Volumes Purged	1.51		Vol		Field		11/20/19 10:14 BGS	C



 Ms. Susan J Scherer
 Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

 Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071088 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

 Lab ID: **3071088002** Date Collected: 11/20/2019 10:40 Matrix: Ground Water
 Sample ID: **FFMP018W** Date Received: 11/20/2019 15:35

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
VOLATILE ORGANICS										
Benzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:18	TMP	G
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:18	TMP	G
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:18	TMP	G
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:18	TMP	G
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:18	TMP	G
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:18	TMP	G
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:18	TMP	G
Ethylbenzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:18	TMP	G
Methylene Chloride	1.0		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:18	TMP	G
Tetrachloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:18	TMP	G
Toluene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:18	TMP	G
Total Xylenes	ND		ug/L	3.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:18	TMP	G
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:18	TMP	G
Trichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:18	TMP	G
Vinyl Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:18	TMP	G
<i>Surrogate Recoveries</i>	<i>Results</i>	<i>Flag</i>	<i>Units</i>	<i>Limits</i>	<i>Method</i>	<i>Prepared</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Analyzed</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Cntr</i>
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	112		%	62 - 133	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:18	TMP	G
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	91.6		%	79 - 114	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:18	TMP	G
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	95.2		%	78 - 116	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:18	TMP	G
Toluene-d8 (S)	90.6		%	76 - 127	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:18	TMP	G
WET CHEMISTRY										
Alkalinity, Bicarbonate	30		mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	B
Alkalinity, Total	30	4	mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	I
Ammonia-N	0.174		mg/L	0.100	D6919-09			11/27/19 12:27	NJA	A
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	ND		mg/L	15	EPA 410.4			11/27/19 16:56	AK	A
Chloride	99.2		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/21/19 11:33	CHW	B
Fluoride	ND		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/21/19 11:33	CHW	B
Nitrate-N	5.5		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/21/19 11:33	CHW	B
pH	6.15	1	pH_Units		S4500HB-11			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	B
Phenolics	ND	3	mg/L	0.005	SW846 9066	11/26/19 09:15	C_D	11/26/19 11:19	C_D	F
Specific Conductance	613	2	umhos/cm	1	SW846 9050A			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	B
Sulfate	39.9		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/21/19 11:33	CHW	B
Total Dissolved Solids	290		mg/L	5	S2540C-11			11/22/19 14:50	D1C	B
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	1.1		mg/L	0.50	SW846 9060A			11/22/19 04:15	PAG	D
Turbidity	ND		NTU	0.10	SM2130B-2011			11/21/19 07:39	R2B	B

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America


 Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071088 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3071088002** Date Collected: 11/20/2019 10:40 Matrix: Ground Water
Sample ID: **FFMP018W** Date Received: 11/20/2019 15:35

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared By	Analyzed By	Cntr
METALS								
Calcium, Total	35.5		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:04 SRT	J1
Iron, Total	ND		mg/L	0.067	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:04 SRT	J1
Magnesium, Total	16.2		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:04 SRT	J1
Manganese, Total	0.35		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:04 SRT	J1
Potassium, Total	6.5		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:04 SRT	J1
Sodium, Total	35.8		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:04 SRT	J1
FIELD PARAMETERS								
Depth to Water Level	28.06		Feet		Field		11/20/19 10:40 BGS	C
Elev Top MW Casing above MSL	472.20		Feet		Field		11/20/19 10:40 BGS	C
Flow Rate	3.95		gal/min		Field		11/20/19 10:40 BGS	C
Ground Water Elevation	444.14		ft/MSL		Field		11/20/19 10:40 BGS	C
pH, Field (SM4500B)	5.51		pH_Units		Field		11/20/19 10:40 BGS	C
Sample Depth	40.00		Feet		Field		11/20/19 10:40 BGS	C
Specific Conductance, Field	572		umhos/cm	1	Field		11/20/19 10:40 BGS	C
Temperature	12.18		Deg. C		Field		11/20/19 10:40 BGS	C
Total Well Depth	51.46		Feet		Field		11/20/19 10:40 BGS	C
Volume in Water Column	15.21		Gallons		Field		11/20/19 10:40 BGS	C
Well Volumes Purged	3.12		Vol		Field		11/20/19 10:40 BGS	C



Ms. Susan J Scherer
Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071088 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3071088003** Date Collected: 11/20/2019 11:27 Matrix: Ground Water
Sample ID: **FFMP019W** Date Received: 11/20/2019 15:35

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
VOLATILE ORGANICS										
Benzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:40	TMP	G
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:40	TMP	G
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:40	TMP	G
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:40	TMP	G
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	3,4	ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:40	TMP	G
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:40	TMP	G
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	5,6	ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:40	TMP	G
Ethylbenzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:40	TMP	G
Methylene Chloride	1.5		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:40	TMP	G
Tetrachloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:40	TMP	G
Toluene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:40	TMP	G
Total Xylenes	ND		ug/L	3.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:40	TMP	G
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:40	TMP	G
Trichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:40	TMP	G
Vinyl Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:40	TMP	G
<i>Surrogate Recoveries</i>	<i>Results</i>	<i>Flag</i>	<i>Units</i>	<i>Limits</i>	<i>Method</i>	<i>Prepared</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Analyzed</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Cntr</i>
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	113		%	62 - 133	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:40	TMP	G
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	91.7		%	79 - 114	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:40	TMP	G
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	95.7		%	78 - 116	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:40	TMP	G
Toluene-d8 (S)	92.2		%	76 - 127	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 19:40	TMP	G
WET CHEMISTRY										
Alkalinity, Bicarbonate	80		mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	B
Alkalinity, Total	80	7	mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	I
Ammonia-N	0.175		mg/L	0.100	D6919-09			11/27/19 12:40	NJA	A
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	ND		mg/L	15	EPA 410.4			11/27/19 16:56	AK	A
Chloride	81.1		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/21/19 13:21	CHW	B
Fluoride	ND		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/21/19 13:21	CHW	B
Nitrate-N	0.32		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/21/19 13:21	CHW	B
pH	7.28	1	pH_Units		S4500HB-11			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	B
Phenolics	ND		mg/L	0.005	SW846 9066	11/26/19 09:15	C_D	11/26/19 11:19	C_D	F
Specific Conductance	478	2	umhos/cm	1	SW846 9050A			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	B
Sulfate	16.4		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/21/19 13:21	CHW	B
Total Dissolved Solids	256		mg/L	5	S2540C-11			11/22/19 14:50	D1C	B
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	0.92		mg/L	0.50	SW846 9060A			11/25/19 20:58	PAG	D
Turbidity	ND		NTU	0.10	SM2130B-2011			11/21/19 07:39	R2B	B

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America


Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071088 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3071088003** Date Collected: 11/20/2019 11:27 Matrix: Ground Water
 Sample ID: **FFMP019W** Date Received: 11/20/2019 15:35

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared By	Analyzed By	Cntr
METALS								
Calcium, Total	59.0		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:08 SRT	J1
Iron, Total	ND		mg/L	0.067	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:08 SRT	J1
Magnesium, Total	5.8		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:08 SRT	J1
Manganese, Total	ND		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:08 SRT	J1
Potassium, Total	1.2		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:08 SRT	J1
Sodium, Total	10.5		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:08 SRT	J1
FIELD PARAMETERS								
Depth to Water Level	28.84		Feet		Field		11/20/19 11:27 BGS	C
Elev Top MW Casing above MSL	471.95		Feet		Field		11/20/19 11:27 BGS	C
Flow Rate	3.95		gal/min		Field		11/20/19 11:27 BGS	C
Ground Water Elevation	443.11		ft/MSL		Field		11/20/19 11:27 BGS	C
pH, Field (SM4500B)	6.53		pH_Units		Field		11/20/19 11:27 BGS	C
Sample Depth	49.00		Feet		Field		11/20/19 11:27 BGS	C
Specific Conductance, Field	454		umhos/cm	1	Field		11/20/19 11:27 BGS	C
Temperature	11.13		Deg. C		Field		11/20/19 11:27 BGS	C
Total Well Depth	132.79		Feet		Field		11/20/19 11:27 BGS	C
Volume in Water Column	67.57		Gallons		Field		11/20/19 11:27 BGS	C
Water Level After Purge	34.35		Feet		Field		11/20/19 11:27 BGS	C
Well Volumes Purged	2.34		Vol		Field		11/20/19 11:27 BGS	C



Ms. Susan J Scherer
 Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071088 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

 Lab ID: **3071088004** Date Collected: 11/20/2019 12:32 Matrix: Ground Water
 Sample ID: **FFMP029W** Date Received: 11/20/2019 15:35

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
VOLATILE ORGANICS										
Benzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:01	TMP	G
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:01	TMP	G
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:01	TMP	G
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:01	TMP	G
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:01	TMP	G
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:01	TMP	G
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:01	TMP	G
Ethylbenzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:01	TMP	G
Methylene Chloride	1.9		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:01	TMP	G
Tetrachloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:01	TMP	G
Toluene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:01	TMP	G
Total Xylenes	ND		ug/L	3.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:01	TMP	G
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:01	TMP	G
Trichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:01	TMP	G
Vinyl Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:01	TMP	G
<i>Surrogate Recoveries</i>	<i>Results</i>	<i>Flag</i>	<i>Units</i>	<i>Limits</i>	<i>Method</i>	<i>Prepared</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Analyzed</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Cntr</i>
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	97		%	62 - 133	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:01	TMP	G
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	95		%	79 - 114	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:01	TMP	G
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	91.9		%	78 - 116	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:01	TMP	G
Toluene-d8 (S)	91.7		%	76 - 127	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:01	TMP	G
WET CHEMISTRY										
Alkalinity, Bicarbonate	14		mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	B
Alkalinity, Total	14	3	mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	I
Ammonia-N	0.196		mg/L	0.100	D6919-09			11/27/19 12:52	NJA	A
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	ND		mg/L	15	EPA 410.4			11/27/19 16:56	AK	A
Chloride	61.9		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/21/19 13:36	CHW	B
Fluoride	ND		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/21/19 13:36	CHW	B
Nitrate-N	3.9		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/21/19 13:36	CHW	B
pH	6.00	1	pH_Units		S4500HB-11			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	B
Phenolics	ND		mg/L	0.005	SW846 9066	11/26/19 09:15	C_D	11/26/19 11:19	C_D	F
Specific Conductance	323	2	umhos/cm	1	SW846 9050A			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	B
Sulfate	6.8		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/21/19 13:36	CHW	B
Total Dissolved Solids	146		mg/L	5	S2540C-11			11/22/19 14:50	D1C	B
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	ND		mg/L	0.50	SW846 9060A			11/25/19 20:58	PAG	D
Turbidity	0.18		NTU	0.10	SM2130B-2011			11/21/19 07:39	R2B	B

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America


 Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071088 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3071088004** Date Collected: 11/20/2019 12:32 Matrix: Ground Water
 Sample ID: **FFMP029W** Date Received: 11/20/2019 15:35

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
METALS										
Calcium, Total	14.6		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10	SXC	11/22/19 10:12	SRT	J1
Iron, Total	ND		mg/L	0.067	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10	SXC	11/22/19 10:12	SRT	J1
Magnesium, Total	10.1		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10	SXC	11/22/19 10:12	SRT	J1
Manganese, Total	0.032		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10	SXC	11/22/19 10:12	SRT	J1
Potassium, Total	2.4		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10	SXC	11/22/19 10:12	SRT	J1
Sodium, Total	21.2		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10	SXC	11/22/19 10:12	SRT	J1
FIELD PARAMETERS										
Depth to Water Level	39.43		Feet		Field			11/20/19 12:32	BGS	C
Elev Top MW Casing above MSL	477.30		Feet		Field			11/20/19 12:32	BGS	C
Flow Rate	2.05		gal/min		Field			11/20/19 12:32	BGS	C
Ground Water Elevation	437.87		ft/MSL		Field			11/20/19 12:32	BGS	C
pH, Field (SM4500B)	5.04		pH_Units		Field			11/20/19 12:32	BGS	C
Sample Depth	55.00		Feet		Field			11/20/19 12:32	BGS	C
Specific Conductance, Field	307		umhos/cm	1	Field			11/20/19 12:32	BGS	C
Temperature	11.55		Deg. C		Field			11/20/19 12:32	BGS	C
Total Well Depth	60.50		Feet		Field			11/20/19 12:32	BGS	C
Volume in Water Column	30.97		Gallons		Field			11/20/19 12:32	BGS	C
Water Level After Purge	45.31		Feet		Field			11/20/19 12:32	BGS	C
Well Volumes Purged	3.31		Vol		Field			11/20/19 12:32	BGS	C



Ms. Susan J Scherer
 Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071088 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3071088005** Date Collected: 11/20/2019 13:52 Matrix: Ground Water
Sample ID: **FFMP02DW** Date Received: 11/20/2019 15:35

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
VOLATILE ORGANICS										
Benzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:23	TMP	G
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:23	TMP	G
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:23	TMP	G
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:23	TMP	G
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:23	TMP	G
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:23	TMP	G
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:23	TMP	G
Ethylbenzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:23	TMP	G
Methylene Chloride	1.4		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:23	TMP	G
Tetrachloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:23	TMP	G
Toluene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:23	TMP	G
Total Xylenes	ND		ug/L	3.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:23	TMP	G
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:23	TMP	G
Trichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:23	TMP	G
Vinyl Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:23	TMP	G
<i>Surrogate Recoveries</i>	<i>Results</i>	<i>Flag</i>	<i>Units</i>	<i>Limits</i>	<i>Method</i>	<i>Prepared</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Analyzed</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Cntr</i>
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	97.7		%	62 - 133	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:23	TMP	G
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	93		%	79 - 114	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:23	TMP	G
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	90.9		%	78 - 116	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:23	TMP	G
Toluene-d8 (S)	92		%	76 - 127	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:23	TMP	G
WET CHEMISTRY										
Alkalinity, Bicarbonate	138		mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	B
Alkalinity, Total	138	3	mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	I
Ammonia-N	0.322		mg/L	0.100	D6919-09			11/27/19 13:05	NJA	A
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	ND		mg/L	15	EPA 410.4			11/26/19 16:48	AK	A
Chloride	264		mg/L	5.0	EPA 300.0			11/23/19 14:13	CHW	B
Fluoride	ND		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/21/19 13:51	CHW	B
Nitrate-N	10.3		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/21/19 13:51	CHW	B
pH	7.77	1	pH_Units		S4500HB-11			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	B
Phenolics	ND		mg/L	0.005	SW846 9066	11/26/19 09:15	C_D	11/26/19 11:19	C_D	F
Specific Conductance	1370	2	umhos/cm	1	SW846 9050A			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	B
Sulfate	29.9		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/21/19 13:51	CHW	B
Total Dissolved Solids	714		mg/L	5	S2540C-11			11/22/19 14:50	D1C	B
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	0.74		mg/L	0.50	SW846 9060A			11/25/19 20:58	PAG	D
Turbidity	6.51		NTU	0.10	SM2130B-2011			11/21/19 07:39	R2B	B

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America


Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071088 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3071088005** Date Collected: 11/20/2019 13:52 Matrix: Ground Water
 Sample ID: **FFMP02DW** Date Received: 11/20/2019 15:35

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared By	Analyzed By	Cntr
METALS								
Calcium, Total	107		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:15 SRT	J1
Iron, Total	0.66		mg/L	0.067	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:15 SRT	J1
Magnesium, Total	17.0		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:15 SRT	J1
Manganese, Total	0.45		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:15 SRT	J1
Potassium, Total	2.0		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:15 SRT	J1
Sodium, Total	102		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:15 SRT	J1
FIELD PARAMETERS								
Depth to Water Level	20.59		Feet		Field		11/20/19 13:52 BGS	C
Elev Top MW Casing above MSL	509.60		Feet		Field		11/20/19 13:52 BGS	C
Flow Rate	1.35		gal/min		Field		11/20/19 13:52 BGS	C
Ground Water Elevation	489.01		ft/MSL		Field		11/20/19 13:52 BGS	C
pH, Field (SM4500B)	7.23		pH_Units		Field		11/20/19 13:52 BGS	C
Sample Depth	120.00		Feet		Field		11/20/19 13:52 BGS	C
Specific Conductance, Field	1286		umhos/cm	1	Field		11/20/19 13:52 BGS	C
Temperature	9.90		Deg. C		Field		11/20/19 13:52 BGS	C
Total Well Depth	153.00		Feet		Field		11/20/19 13:52 BGS	C
Volume in Water Column	194.64		Gallons		Field		11/20/19 13:52 BGS	C
Water Level After Purge	48.63		Feet		Field		11/20/19 13:52 BGS	C
Well Volumes Purged	0.42		Vol		Field		11/20/19 13:52 BGS	C



Ms. Susan J Scherer
 Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071088 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

 Lab ID: **3071088006** Date Collected: 11/20/2019 14:17 Matrix: Ground Water
 Sample ID: **FFMP02SW** Date Received: 11/20/2019 15:35

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
VOLATILE ORGANICS										
Benzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:46	TMP	G
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:46	TMP	G
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:46	TMP	G
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:46	TMP	G
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:46	TMP	G
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:46	TMP	G
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:46	TMP	G
Ethylbenzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:46	TMP	G
Methylene Chloride	1.4		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:46	TMP	G
Tetrachloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:46	TMP	G
Toluene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:46	TMP	G
Total Xylenes	ND		ug/L	3.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:46	TMP	G
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:46	TMP	G
Trichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:46	TMP	G
Vinyl Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:46	TMP	G
<i>Surrogate Recoveries</i>	<i>Results</i>	<i>Flag</i>	<i>Units</i>	<i>Limits</i>	<i>Method</i>	<i>Prepared</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Analyzed</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Cntr</i>
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	96.5		%	62 - 133	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:46	TMP	G
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	93		%	79 - 114	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:46	TMP	G
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	91.4		%	78 - 116	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:46	TMP	G
Toluene-d8 (S)	90.1		%	76 - 127	SW846 8260B			11/22/19 17:46	TMP	G
WET CHEMISTRY										
Alkalinity, Bicarbonate	19		mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	B
Alkalinity, Total	19	3	mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	I
Ammonia-N	0.160		mg/L	0.100	D6919-09			11/27/19 13:18	NJA	A
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	ND		mg/L	15	EPA 410.4			11/27/19 16:56	AK	A
Chloride	133		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/21/19 14:07	CHW	B
Fluoride	ND		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/21/19 14:07	CHW	B
Nitrate-N	14.8		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/21/19 14:07	CHW	B
pH	6.10	1	pH_Units		S4500HB-11			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	B
Phenolics	ND		mg/L	0.005	SW846 9066	11/26/19 09:15	C_D	11/26/19 11:19	C_D	F
Specific Conductance	719	2	umhos/cm	1	SW846 9050A			11/26/19 06:07	MXO	B
Sulfate	29.9		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/21/19 14:07	CHW	B
Total Dissolved Solids	360		mg/L	5	S2540C-11			11/22/19 14:50	D1C	B
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	1.5		mg/L	0.50	SW846 9060A			11/25/19 20:58	PAG	D
Turbidity	62.7		NTU	0.10	SM2130B-2011			11/21/19 07:39	R2B	B

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America


 Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071088 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3071088006** Date Collected: 11/20/2019 14:17 Matrix: Ground Water
 Sample ID: **FFMP02SW** Date Received: 11/20/2019 15:35

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared By	Analyzed By	Cntr
METALS								
Calcium, Total	24.7		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:19 SRT	J1
Iron, Total	1.7		mg/L	0.067	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:19 SRT	J1
Magnesium, Total	9.6		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:19 SRT	J1
Manganese, Total	0.038		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:19 SRT	J1
Potassium, Total	5.1		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:19 SRT	J1
Sodium, Total	88.5		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:10 SXC	11/22/19 10:19 SRT	J1
FIELD PARAMETERS								
Depth to Water Level	15.96		Feet		Field		11/20/19 14:17 BGS	C
Elev Top MW Casing above MSL	509.90		Feet		Field		11/20/19 14:17 BGS	C
Flow Rate	0.30		gal/min		Field		11/20/19 14:17 BGS	C
Ground Water Elevation	493.94		ft/MSL		Field		11/20/19 14:17 BGS	C
pH, Field (SM4500B)	5.46		pH_Units		Field		11/20/19 14:17 BGS	C
Sample Depth	18.00		Feet		Field		11/20/19 14:17 BGS	C
Specific Conductance, Field	395		umhos/cm	1	Field		11/20/19 14:17 BGS	C
Temperature	12.15		Deg. C		Field		11/20/19 14:17 BGS	C
Total Well Depth	22.70		Feet		Field		11/20/19 14:17 BGS	C
Volume in Water Column	4.38		Gallons		Field		11/20/19 14:17 BGS	C
Water Level After Purge	18.51		Feet		Field		11/20/19 14:17 BGS	C
Well Volumes Purged	0.69		Vol		Field		11/20/19 14:17 BGS	C



Ms. Susan J Scherer
 Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071088 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

PARAMETER QUALIFIERS

Lab ID	#	Sample ID	Analytical Method	Analyte
3071088001	1	FFMP26RW	S4500HB-11	pH
The pH analysis is an "analyze immediately" analysis. Parameters identified as "analyze immediately" require analysis within 15 minutes of collection, and are therefore analyzed outside of the method holding time when analyzed in the laboratory.				
3071088001	2	FFMP26RW	SW846 9050A	Specific Conductance
The QC sample type CCV1 for method SM2510B-2011 was outside the control limits for the analyte Specific Conductance. The % Recovery was reported as 114 and the control limits were 90 to 110.				
3071088001	3	FFMP26RW	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Total
The Total Alkalinity is titrated to a pH of 4.5 and reported as mg CaCO3/L.				
3071088002	1	FFMP018W	S4500HB-11	pH
The pH analysis is an "analyze immediately" analysis. Parameters identified as "analyze immediately" require analysis within 15 minutes of collection, and are therefore analyzed outside of the method holding time when analyzed in the laboratory.				
3071088002	2	FFMP018W	SW846 9050A	Specific Conductance
The QC sample type CCV1 for method SM2510B-2011 was outside the control limits for the analyte Specific Conductance. The % Recovery was reported as 114 and the control limits were 90 to 110.				
3071088002	3	FFMP018W	SW846 9066	Phenolics
The QC sample type MS for method 420.4/9066 was outside the control limits for the analyte Phenolics. The % Recovery was reported as 88.5 and the control limits were 90 to 110.				
3071088002	4	FFMP018W	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Total
The Total Alkalinity is titrated to a pH of 4.5 and reported as mg CaCO3/L.				
3071088003	1	FFMP019W	S4500HB-11	pH
The pH analysis is an "analyze immediately" analysis. Parameters identified as "analyze immediately" require analysis within 15 minutes of collection, and are therefore analyzed outside of the method holding time when analyzed in the laboratory.				
3071088003	2	FFMP019W	SW846 9050A	Specific Conductance
The QC sample type CCV1 for method SM2510B-2011 was outside the control limits for the analyte Specific Conductance. The % Recovery was reported as 114 and the control limits were 90 to 110.				
3071088003	3	FFMP019W	SW846 8260B	1,1-Dichloroethene
The QC sample type MS for method SW846 8260B was outside the control limits for the analyte 1,1-Dichloroethene. The % Recovery was reported as 151 and the control limits were 63 to 128.				
3071088003	4	FFMP019W	SW846 8260B	1,1-Dichloroethene
The QC sample type MSD for method SW846 8260B was outside the control limits for the analyte 1,1-Dichloroethene. The % Recovery was reported as 138 and the control limits were 63 to 128.				
3071088003	5	FFMP019W	SW846 8260B	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene
The QC sample type MS for method SW846 8260B was outside the control limits for the analyte trans-1,2-Dichloroethene. The % Recovery was reported as 139 and the control limits were 71 to 122.				
3071088003	6	FFMP019W	SW846 8260B	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene
The QC sample type MSD for method SW846 8260B was outside the control limits for the analyte trans-1,2-Dichloroethene. The % Recovery was reported as 126 and the control limits were 71 to 122.				
3071088003	7	FFMP019W	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Total
The Total Alkalinity is titrated to a pH of 4.5 and reported as mg CaCO3/L.				
3071088004	1	FFMP029W	S4500HB-11	pH
The pH analysis is an "analyze immediately" analysis. Parameters identified as "analyze immediately" require analysis within 15 minutes of collection, and are therefore analyzed outside of the method holding time when analyzed in the laboratory.				

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife
 United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York
 Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071088 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

3071088004 2 FFMP029W SW846 9050A Specific Conductance
 The QC sample type CCV1 for method SM2510B-2011 was outside the control limits for the analyte Specific Conductance. The % Recovery was reported as 114 and the control limits were 90 to 110.

3071088004 3 FFMP029W SM2320B-2011 Alkalinity, Total
 The Total Alkalinity is titrated to a pH of 4.5 and reported as mg CaCO3/L.

3071088005 1 FFMP02DW S4500HB-11 pH
 The pH analysis is an "analyze immediately" analysis. Parameters identified as "analyze immediately" require analysis within 15 minutes of collection, and are therefore analyzed outside of the method holding time when analyzed in the laboratory.

3071088005 2 FFMP02DW SW846 9050A Specific Conductance
 The QC sample type CCV1 for method SM2510B-2011 was outside the control limits for the analyte Specific Conductance. The % Recovery was reported as 114 and the control limits were 90 to 110.

3071088005 3 FFMP02DW SM2320B-2011 Alkalinity, Total
 The Total Alkalinity is titrated to a pH of 4.5 and reported as mg CaCO3/L.

3071088006 1 FFMP02SW S4500HB-11 pH
 The pH analysis is an "analyze immediately" analysis. Parameters identified as "analyze immediately" require analysis within 15 minutes of collection, and are therefore analyzed outside of the method holding time when analyzed in the laboratory.

3071088006 2 FFMP02SW SW846 9050A Specific Conductance
 The QC sample type CCV1 for method SM2510B-2011 was outside the control limits for the analyte Specific Conductance. The % Recovery was reported as 114 and the control limits were 90 to 110.

3071088006 3 FFMP02SW SM2320B-2011 Alkalinity, Total
 The Total Alkalinity is titrated to a pH of 4.5 and reported as mg CaCO3/L.

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYSIS - PREP METHOD CROSS REFERENCE TABLE

Workorder: 3071088 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID	Sample ID	Analysis Method	Prep Method
3071088001	FFMP26RW	D6919-09	
3071088001	FFMP26RW	EPA 300.0	
3071088001	FFMP26RW	EPA 410.4	
3071088001	FFMP26RW	Field	
3071088001	FFMP26RW	S2540C-11	
3071088001	FFMP26RW	S4500HB-11	
3071088001	FFMP26RW	SM2130B-2011	
3071088001	FFMP26RW	SM2320B-2011	
3071088001	FFMP26RW	SW846 6010C	SW846 3015
3071088001	FFMP26RW	SW846 8260B	
3071088001	FFMP26RW	SW846 9050A	
3071088001	FFMP26RW	SW846 9060A	
3071088001	FFMP26RW	SW846 9066	420.4/9066
3071088002	FFMP018W	D6919-09	
3071088002	FFMP018W	EPA 300.0	
3071088002	FFMP018W	EPA 410.4	
3071088002	FFMP018W	Field	
3071088002	FFMP018W	S2540C-11	
3071088002	FFMP018W	S4500HB-11	
3071088002	FFMP018W	SM2130B-2011	
3071088002	FFMP018W	SM2320B-2011	
3071088002	FFMP018W	SW846 6010C	SW846 3015
3071088002	FFMP018W	SW846 8260B	
3071088002	FFMP018W	SW846 9050A	
3071088002	FFMP018W	SW846 9060A	
3071088002	FFMP018W	SW846 9066	420.4/9066
3071088003	FFMP019W	D6919-09	
3071088003	FFMP019W	EPA 300.0	
3071088003	FFMP019W	EPA 410.4	
3071088003	FFMP019W	Field	
3071088003	FFMP019W	S2540C-11	
3071088003	FFMP019W	S4500HB-11	
3071088003	FFMP019W	SM2130B-2011	
3071088003	FFMP019W	SM2320B-2011	
3071088003	FFMP019W	SW846 6010C	SW846 3015
3071088003	FFMP019W	SW846 8260B	
3071088003	FFMP019W	SW846 9050A	
3071088003	FFMP019W	SW846 9060A	
3071088003	FFMP019W	SW846 9066	420.4/9066
3071088004	FFMP029W	D6919-09	
3071088004	FFMP029W	EPA 300.0	
3071088004	FFMP029W	EPA 410.4	
3071088004	FFMP029W	Field	
3071088004	FFMP029W	S2540C-11	
3071088004	FFMP029W	S4500HB-11	
3071088004	FFMP029W	SM2130B-2011	

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYSIS - PREP METHOD CROSS REFERENCE TABLE

Workorder: 3071088 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID	Sample ID	Analysis Method	Prep Method
3071088004	FFMP029W	SM2320B-2011	
3071088004	FFMP029W	SW846 6010C	SW846 3015
3071088004	FFMP029W	SW846 8260B	
3071088004	FFMP029W	SW846 9050A	
3071088004	FFMP029W	SW846 9060A	
3071088004	FFMP029W	SW846 9066	420.4/9066
3071088005	FFMP02DW	D6919-09	
3071088005	FFMP02DW	EPA 300.0	
3071088005	FFMP02DW	EPA 410.4	
3071088005	FFMP02DW	Field	
3071088005	FFMP02DW	S2540C-11	
3071088005	FFMP02DW	S4500HB-11	
3071088005	FFMP02DW	SM2130B-2011	
3071088005	FFMP02DW	SM2320B-2011	
3071088005	FFMP02DW	SW846 6010C	SW846 3015
3071088005	FFMP02DW	SW846 8260B	
3071088005	FFMP02DW	SW846 9050A	
3071088005	FFMP02DW	SW846 9060A	
3071088005	FFMP02DW	SW846 9066	420.4/9066
3071088006	FFMP02SW	D6919-09	
3071088006	FFMP02SW	EPA 300.0	
3071088006	FFMP02SW	EPA 410.4	
3071088006	FFMP02SW	Field	
3071088006	FFMP02SW	S2540C-11	
3071088006	FFMP02SW	S4500HB-11	
3071088006	FFMP02SW	SM2130B-2011	
3071088006	FFMP02SW	SM2320B-2011	
3071088006	FFMP02SW	SW846 6010C	SW846 3015
3071088006	FFMP02SW	SW846 8260B	
3071088006	FFMP02SW	SW846 9050A	
3071088006	FFMP02SW	SW846 9060A	
3071088006	FFMP02SW	SW846 9066	420.4/9066

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

December 2, 2019

Mr. Daniel Brown
Lancaster County Solid Waste Authority
1299 Hbg Pike, P.O. Box 4425
Lancaster, PA 17604

Certificate of Analysis

Project Name:	FREY FARM	Workorder:	3070806
Purchase Order:	PO1000126	Workorder ID:	4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Dear Mr. Brown:

Enclosed are the analytical results for samples received by the laboratory on Tuesday, November 19, 2019.

The ALS Environmental laboratory in Middletown, Pennsylvania is a National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NELAP) accredited laboratory and as such, certifies that all applicable test results meet the requirements of NELAP.

If you have any questions regarding this certificate of analysis, please contact Ms. Susan J Scherer (Project Coordinator) at (717) 944-5541.


Analyses were performed according to our laboratory's NELAP-approved quality assurance program and any applicable state requirements. The test results meet requirements of the current NELAP standards or state requirements, where applicable. For a specific list of accredited analytes, refer to the certifications section of the ALS website at www.alsglobal.com/en/Our-Services/Life-Sciences/Environmental/Downloads.

This laboratory report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of ALS Environmental.

ALS Spring City: 10 Riverside Drive, Spring City, PA 19475 610-948-4903

CC: Mr. Nicholas Rogers , Ms. Jordan Gallagher , Mr. Jeff Musser

This page is included as part of the Analytical Report and must be retained as a permanent record thereof.


Ms. Susan J Scherer
Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Workorder: 3070806 4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Date Received	Collected By
3070806001	FFMP30RW	Ground Water	11/19/2019 10:59	11/19/2019 16:23	Mr. Brian G Shade
3070806002	FFMP04AW	Ground Water	11/19/2019 12:06	11/19/2019 16:23	Mr. Brian G Shade
3070806003	FFMP017W	Ground Water	11/19/2019 13:50	11/19/2019 16:23	Mr. Brian G Shade
3070806004	FFMP005W	Ground Water	11/19/2019 15:10	11/19/2019 16:23	Mr. Brian G Shade

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife **United States:** Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York **Mexico:** Monterrey

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Workorder: 3070806 4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Notes

- Samples collected by ALS personnel are done so in accordance with the procedures set forth in the ALS Field Sampling Plan (20 - Field Services Sampling Plan).
- All Waste Water analyses comply with methodology requirements of 40 CFR Part 136.
- All Drinking Water analyses comply with methodology requirements of 40 CFR Part 141.
- Unless otherwise noted, all quantitative results for soils are reported on a dry weight basis.
- The Chain of Custody document is included as part of this report.
- All Library Search analytes should be regarded as tentative identifications based on the presumptive evidence of the mass spectra. Concentrations reported are estimated values.
- Parameters identified as "analyze immediately" require analysis within 15 minutes of collection. Any "analyze immediately" parameters not listed under the header "Field Parameters" are performed in the laboratory and are therefore analyzed out of hold time.
- Method references listed on this report beginning with the prefix "S" followed by a method number (such as S2310B-97) refer to methods from "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater".
- For microbiological analyses, the "Prepared" value is the date/time into the incubator and the "Analyzed" value is the date/time out the incubator.
- An Analysis-Prep Method Cross Reference Table is included after Analytical Results & Qualifiers section in this report.

Standard Acronyms/Flags

J	Indicates an estimated value between the Method Detection Limit (MDL) and the Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL) for the analyte
U	Indicates that the analyte was Not Detected (ND)
N	Indicates presumptive evidence of the presence of a compound
MDL	Method Detection Limit
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
RDL	Reporting Detection Limit
ND	Not Detected - indicates that the analyte was Not Detected at the RDL
Cntr	Analysis was performed using this container
RegLmt	Regulatory Limit
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
DUP	Sample Duplicate
%Rec	Percent Recovery
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
LOD	DoD Limit of Detection
LOQ	DoD Limit of Quantitation
DL	DoD Detection Limit
I	Indicates reported value is greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL) but less than the Report Detection Limit (RDL)
(S)	Surrogate Compound
NC	Not Calculated
*	Result outside of QC limits

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North AmericaCanada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYSIS - PREP METHOD CROSS REFERENCE TABLE

Workorder: 3070806 4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID	Sample ID	Analysis Method	Prep Method
3070806001	FFMP30RW	D6919-09	
3070806001	FFMP30RW	EPA 300.0	
3070806001	FFMP30RW	EPA 410.4	
3070806001	FFMP30RW	Field	
3070806001	FFMP30RW	S2540C-11	
3070806001	FFMP30RW	S4500HB-11	
3070806001	FFMP30RW	SM2130B-2011	
3070806001	FFMP30RW	SM2320B-2011	
3070806001	FFMP30RW	SW846 6010C	SW846 3015
3070806001	FFMP30RW	SW846 8260B	
3070806001	FFMP30RW	SW846 9050A	
3070806001	FFMP30RW	SW846 9060A	
3070806001	FFMP30RW	SW846 9066	420.4/9066
3070806002	FFMP04AW	D6919-09	
3070806002	FFMP04AW	EPA 300.0	
3070806002	FFMP04AW	EPA 410.4	
3070806002	FFMP04AW	Field	
3070806002	FFMP04AW	S2540C-11	
3070806002	FFMP04AW	S4500HB-11	
3070806002	FFMP04AW	SM2130B-2011	
3070806002	FFMP04AW	SM2320B-2011	
3070806002	FFMP04AW	SW846 6010C	SW846 3015
3070806002	FFMP04AW	SW846 8260B	
3070806002	FFMP04AW	SW846 9050A	
3070806002	FFMP04AW	SW846 9060A	
3070806002	FFMP04AW	SW846 9066	420.4/9066
3070806003	FFMP017W	D6919-09	
3070806003	FFMP017W	EPA 300.0	
3070806003	FFMP017W	EPA 410.4	
3070806003	FFMP017W	Field	
3070806003	FFMP017W	S2540C-11	
3070806003	FFMP017W	S4500HB-11	
3070806003	FFMP017W	SM2130B-2011	
3070806003	FFMP017W	SM2320B-2011	
3070806003	FFMP017W	SW846 6010C	SW846 3015
3070806003	FFMP017W	SW846 8260B	
3070806003	FFMP017W	SW846 9050A	
3070806003	FFMP017W	SW846 9060A	
3070806003	FFMP017W	SW846 9066	420.4/9066
3070806004	FFMP005W	D6919-09	
3070806004	FFMP005W	EPA 300.0	
3070806004	FFMP005W	EPA 410.4	
3070806004	FFMP005W	Field	
3070806004	FFMP005W	S2540C-11	
3070806004	FFMP005W	S4500HB-11	
3070806004	FFMP005W	SM2130B-2011	

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYSIS - PREP METHOD CROSS REFERENCE TABLE

Workorder: 3070806 4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID	Sample ID	Analysis Method	Prep Method
3070806004	FFMP005W	SM2320B-2011	
3070806004	FFMP005W	SW846 6010C	SW846 3015
3070806004	FFMP005W	SW846 8260B	
3070806004	FFMP005W	SW846 9050A	
3070806004	FFMP005W	SW846 9060A	
3070806004	FFMP005W	SW846 9066	420.4/9066

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife
United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York
Mexico: Monterrey

December 2, 2019

Mr. Daniel Brown
Lancaster County Solid Waste Authority
1299 Hbg Pike, P.O. Box 4425
Lancaster, PA 17604

Certificate of Analysis

Project Name:	FREY FARM	Workorder:	3070614
Purchase Order:	PO1000126	Workorder ID:	4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Dear Mr. Brown:

Enclosed are the analytical results for samples received by the laboratory on Monday, November 18, 2019.

The ALS Environmental laboratory in Middletown, Pennsylvania is a National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NELAP) accredited laboratory and as such, certifies that all applicable test results meet the requirements of NELAP.

If you have any questions regarding this certificate of analysis, please contact Ms. Susan J Scherer (Project Coordinator) at (717) 944-5541.


Analyses were performed according to our laboratory's NELAP-approved quality assurance program and any applicable state requirements. The test results meet requirements of the current NELAP standards or state requirements, where applicable. For a specific list of accredited analytes, refer to the certifications section of the ALS website at www.alsglobal.com/en/Our-Services/Life-Sciences/Environmental/Downloads.

This laboratory report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of ALS Environmental.

ALS Spring City: 10 Riverside Drive, Spring City, PA 19475 610-948-4903

CC: Mr. Nicholas Rogers , Ms. Jordan Gallagher , Mr. Jeff Musser

This page is included as part of the Analytical Report and must be retained as a permanent record thereof.


Ms. Susan J Scherer
Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Workorder: 3070614 4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Date Received	Collected By
3070614001	FFMP015W	Ground Water	11/18/2019 10:40	11/18/2019 16:23	Mr. Brian G Shade
3070614002	FFMP028W	Ground Water	11/18/2019 13:45	11/18/2019 16:23	Mr. Brian G Shade
3070614003	FFMP033W	Ground Water	11/18/2019 15:03	11/18/2019 16:23	Mr. Brian G Shade

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife **United States:** Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York **Mexico:** Monterrey

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Workorder: 3070614 4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Notes

- Samples collected by ALS personnel are done so in accordance with the procedures set forth in the ALS Field Sampling Plan (20 - Field Services Sampling Plan).
- All Waste Water analyses comply with methodology requirements of 40 CFR Part 136.
- All Drinking Water analyses comply with methodology requirements of 40 CFR Part 141.
- Unless otherwise noted, all quantitative results for soils are reported on a dry weight basis.
- The Chain of Custody document is included as part of this report.
- All Library Search analytes should be regarded as tentative identifications based on the presumptive evidence of the mass spectra. Concentrations reported are estimated values.
- Parameters identified as "analyze immediately" require analysis within 15 minutes of collection. Any "analyze immediately" parameters not listed under the header "Field Parameters" are performed in the laboratory and are therefore analyzed out of hold time.
- Method references listed on this report beginning with the prefix "S" followed by a method number (such as S2310B-97) refer to methods from "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater".
- For microbiological analyses, the "Prepared" value is the date/time into the incubator and the "Analyzed" value is the date/time out the incubator.
- An Analysis-Prep Method Cross Reference Table is included after Analytical Results & Qualifiers section in this report.

Standard Acronyms/Flags

J	Indicates an estimated value between the Method Detection Limit (MDL) and the Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL) for the analyte
U	Indicates that the analyte was Not Detected (ND)
N	Indicates presumptive evidence of the presence of a compound
MDL	Method Detection Limit
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
RDL	Reporting Detection Limit
ND	Not Detected - indicates that the analyte was Not Detected at the RDL
Cntr	Analysis was performed using this container
RegLmt	Regulatory Limit
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
DUP	Sample Duplicate
%Rec	Percent Recovery
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
LOD	DoD Limit of Detection
LOQ	DoD Limit of Quantitation
DL	DoD Detection Limit
I	Indicates reported value is greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL) but less than the Report Detection Limit (RDL)
(S)	Surrogate Compound
NC	Not Calculated
*	Result outside of QC limits

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North AmericaCanada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3070614 4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3070614001** Date Collected: 11/18/2019 10:40 Matrix: Ground Water
Sample ID: **FFMP015W** Date Received: 11/18/2019 16:23

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
VOLATILE ORGANICS										
Benzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:29	PDK	G
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:29	PDK	G
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:29	PDK	G
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:29	PDK	G
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:29	PDK	G
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:29	PDK	G
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:29	PDK	G
Ethylbenzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:29	PDK	G
Methylene Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:29	PDK	G
Tetrachloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:29	PDK	G
Toluene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:29	PDK	G
Total Xylenes	ND		ug/L	3.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:29	PDK	G
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:29	PDK	G
Trichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:29	PDK	G
Vinyl Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:29	PDK	G
<i>Surrogate Recoveries</i>	<i>Results</i>	<i>Flag</i>	<i>Units</i>	<i>Limits</i>	<i>Method</i>	<i>Prepared</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Analyzed</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Cntr</i>
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	116		%	62 - 133	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:29	PDK	G
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	110		%	79 - 114	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:29	PDK	G
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	99.1		%	78 - 116	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:29	PDK	G
Toluene-d8 (S)	109		%	76 - 127	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:29	PDK	G
WET CHEMISTRY										
Alkalinity, Bicarbonate	19		mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/24/19 02:51	MXO	B
Alkalinity, Total	19	3	mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/24/19 02:51	MXO	I
Ammonia-N	ND		mg/L	0.100	D6919-09			11/26/19 13:07	NJA	A
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	ND		mg/L	15	EPA 410.4			11/25/19 16:51	AK	A
Chloride	13.4		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/19/19 14:34	CHW	B
Fluoride	ND		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/19/19 14:34	CHW	B
Nitrate-N	14.9		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/19/19 14:34	CHW	B
pH	5.92	1	pH_Units		S4500HB-11			11/24/19 02:51	MXO	B
Phenolics	ND		mg/L	0.005	SW846 9066	11/19/19 14:45	C_D	11/20/19 06:11	C_D	F
Specific Conductance	252		umhos/cm	1	SW846 9050A			11/27/19 03:26	MBW	B
Sulfate	36.5		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/19/19 14:34	CHW	B
Total Dissolved Solids	304	2	mg/L	5	S2540C-11			11/20/19 14:36	D1C	B
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	1.0		mg/L	0.50	SW846 9060A			11/21/19 09:06	PAG	D
Turbidity	ND		NTU	0.10	SM2130B-2011			11/19/19 09:15	R2B	B

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America


Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3070614 4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3070614001** Date Collected: 11/18/2019 10:40 Matrix: Ground Water
Sample ID: **FFMP015W** Date Received: 11/18/2019 16:23

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
METALS									
Calcium, Total	11.7		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:40 SXC	11/22/19 11:49	SRT	J1
Iron, Total	ND		mg/L	0.067	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:40 SXC	11/22/19 11:49	SRT	J1
Magnesium, Total	12.0		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:40 SXC	11/22/19 11:49	SRT	J1
Manganese, Total	0.030		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:40 SXC	11/22/19 11:49	SRT	J1
Potassium, Total	2.1		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:40 SXC	11/22/19 11:49	SRT	J1
Sodium, Total	18.9		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:40 SXC	11/22/19 11:49	SRT	J1
FIELD PARAMETERS									
Depth to Water Level	59.81		Feet		Field		11/18/19 10:40	BGS	C
Elev Top MW Casing above MSL	576.40		Feet		Field		11/18/19 10:40	BGS	C
Flow Rate	2.15		gal/min		Field		11/18/19 10:40	BGS	C
Ground Water Elevation	516.59		ft/MSL		Field		11/18/19 10:40	BGS	C
pH, Field (SM4500B)	5.31		pH_Units		Field		11/18/19 10:40	BGS	C
Sample Depth	135.00		Feet		Field		11/18/19 10:40	BGS	C
Specific Conductance, Field	293		umhos/cm	1	Field		11/18/19 10:40	BGS	C
Temperature	10.85		Deg. C		Field		11/18/19 10:40	BGS	C
Total Well Depth	149.90		Feet		Field		11/18/19 10:40	BGS	C
Volume in Water Column	132.43		Gallons		Field		11/18/19 10:40	BGS	C
Water Level After Purge	103.60		Feet		Field		11/18/19 10:40	BGS	C
Well Volumes Purged	1.30		Vol		Field		11/18/19 10:40	BGS	C



Ms. Susan J Scherer
Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3070614 4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

 Lab ID: **3070614002** Date Collected: 11/18/2019 13:45 Matrix: Ground Water
 Sample ID: **FFMP028W** Date Received: 11/18/2019 16:23

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
VOLATILE ORGANICS										
Benzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:52	PDK	G
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:52	PDK	G
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:52	PDK	G
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:52	PDK	G
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:52	PDK	G
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:52	PDK	G
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:52	PDK	G
Ethylbenzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:52	PDK	G
Methylene Chloride	1.1		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:52	PDK	G
Tetrachloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:52	PDK	G
Toluene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:52	PDK	G
Total Xylenes	ND		ug/L	3.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:52	PDK	G
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:52	PDK	G
Trichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:52	PDK	G
Vinyl Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:52	PDK	G
<i>Surrogate Recoveries</i>	<i>Results</i>	<i>Flag</i>	<i>Units</i>	<i>Limits</i>	<i>Method</i>	<i>Prepared</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Analyzed</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Cntr</i>
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	117		%	62 - 133	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:52	PDK	G
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	111		%	79 - 114	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:52	PDK	G
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	99.6		%	78 - 116	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:52	PDK	G
Toluene-d8 (S)	110		%	76 - 127	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:52	PDK	G
WET CHEMISTRY										
Alkalinity, Bicarbonate	34		mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/24/19 02:51	MXO	B
Alkalinity, Total	34	3	mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/24/19 02:51	MXO	I
Ammonia-N	ND		mg/L	0.100	D6919-09			11/26/19 13:19	NJA	A
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	ND		mg/L	15	EPA 410.4			11/26/19 16:48	AK	A
Chloride	89.4		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/19/19 16:06	CHW	B
Fluoride	ND		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/19/19 16:06	CHW	B
Nitrate-N	18.6		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/19/19 16:06	CHW	B
pH	6.01	1	pH_Units		S4500HB-11			11/24/19 02:51	MXO	B
Phenolics	ND		mg/L	0.005	SW846 9066	11/19/19 14:45	C_D	11/20/19 06:11	C_D	F
Specific Conductance	552		umhos/cm	1	SW846 9050A			11/27/19 03:35	MBW	B
Sulfate	26.7		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/19/19 16:06	CHW	B
Total Dissolved Solids	426	2	mg/L	5	S2540C-11			11/20/19 14:36	D1C	B
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	1.1		mg/L	0.50	SW846 9060A			11/21/19 09:06	PAG	D
Turbidity	ND		NTU	0.10	SM2130B-2011			11/19/19 09:15	R2B	B

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America


 Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3070614 4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3070614002** Date Collected: 11/18/2019 13:45 Matrix: Ground Water
Sample ID: **FFMP028W** Date Received: 11/18/2019 16:23

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
METALS									
Calcium, Total	41.4		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:40 SXC	11/22/19 11:52	SRT	J1
Iron, Total	ND		mg/L	0.067	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:40 SXC	11/22/19 11:52	SRT	J1
Magnesium, Total	17.5		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:40 SXC	11/22/19 11:52	SRT	J1
Manganese, Total	0.0092		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:40 SXC	11/22/19 11:52	SRT	J1
Potassium, Total	2.2		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:40 SXC	11/22/19 11:52	SRT	J1
Sodium, Total	28.0		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:40 SXC	11/22/19 11:52	SRT	J1
FIELD PARAMETERS									
Depth to Water Level	12.31		Feet		Field		11/18/19 13:45	BGS	C
Elev Top MW Casing above MSL	465.00		Feet		Field		11/18/19 13:45	BGS	C
Flow Rate	2.73		gal/min		Field		11/18/19 13:45	BGS	C
Ground Water Elevation	452.69		ft/MSL		Field		11/18/19 13:45	BGS	C
pH, Field (SM4500B)	5.44		pH_Units		Field		11/18/19 13:45	BGS	C
Sample Depth	50.00		Feet		Field		11/18/19 13:45	BGS	C
Specific Conductance, Field	573		umhos/cm	1	Field		11/18/19 13:45	BGS	C
Temperature	10.27		Deg. C		Field		11/18/19 13:45	BGS	C
Total Well Depth	60.00		Feet		Field		11/18/19 13:45	BGS	C
Volume in Water Column	70.10		Gallons		Field		11/18/19 13:45	BGS	C
Water Level After Purge	37.28		Feet		Field		11/18/19 13:45	BGS	C
Well Volumes Purged	2.34		Vol		Field		11/18/19 13:45	BGS	C



Ms. Susan J Scherer
Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3070614 4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: 3070614003	Date Collected: 11/18/2019 15:03	Matrix: Ground Water
Sample ID: FFMP033W	Date Received: 11/18/2019 16:23	

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
VOLATILE ORGANICS										
Benzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 03:14	PDK	G
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 03:14	PDK	G
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 03:14	PDK	G
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 03:14	PDK	G
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	4,5	ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 03:14	PDK	G
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 03:14	PDK	G
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	6	ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 03:14	PDK	G
Ethylbenzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 03:14	PDK	G
Methylene Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 03:14	PDK	G
Tetrachloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 03:14	PDK	G
Toluene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 03:14	PDK	G
Total Xylenes	ND		ug/L	3.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 03:14	PDK	G
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 03:14	PDK	G
Trichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 03:14	PDK	G
Vinyl Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 03:14	PDK	G
<i>Surrogate Recoveries</i>	<i>Results</i>	<i>Flag</i>	<i>Units</i>	<i>Limits</i>	<i>Method</i>	<i>Prepared</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Analyzed</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Cntr</i>
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	119		%	62 - 133	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 03:14	PDK	G
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	110		%	79 - 114	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 03:14	PDK	G
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	102		%	78 - 116	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 03:14	PDK	G
Toluene-d8 (S)	108		%	76 - 127	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 03:14	PDK	G
WET CHEMISTRY										
Alkalinity, Bicarbonate	55		mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/24/19 02:51	MXO	B
Alkalinity, Total	55	7	mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/24/19 02:51	MXO	I
Ammonia-N	0.702		mg/L	0.100	D6919-09			11/26/19 13:32	NJA	A
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	ND	1	mg/L	15	EPA 410.4			11/25/19 16:51	AK	A
Chloride	39.2		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/19/19 16:22	CHW	B
Fluoride	ND		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/19/19 16:22	CHW	B
Nitrate-N	11.6		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/19/19 16:22	CHW	B
pH	6.46	2	pH_Units		S4500HB-11			11/24/19 02:51	MXO	B
Phenolics	ND		mg/L	0.005	SW846 9066	11/19/19 14:45	C_D	11/20/19 06:11	C_D	F
Specific Conductance	294		umhos/cm	1	SW846 9050A			11/27/19 03:45	MBW	B
Sulfate	7.4		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/19/19 16:22	CHW	B
Total Dissolved Solids	284	3	mg/L	5	S2540C-11			11/20/19 16:10	D1C	B
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	ND		mg/L	0.50	SW846 9060A			11/21/19 09:06	PAG	D
Turbidity	5.81		NTU	0.10	SM2130B-2011			11/19/19 09:15	R2B	B

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America


Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife
 United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York
 Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3070614 4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3070614003** Date Collected: 11/18/2019 15:03 Matrix: Ground Water
Sample ID: **FFMP033W** Date Received: 11/18/2019 16:23

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
METALS										
Calcium, Total	26.7		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:40	SXC	11/22/19 11:56	SRT	J1
Iron, Total	6.0		mg/L	0.067	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:40	SXC	11/22/19 11:56	SRT	J1
Magnesium, Total	9.0		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:40	SXC	11/22/19 11:56	SRT	J1
Manganese, Total	0.49		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:40	SXC	11/22/19 11:56	SRT	J1
Potassium, Total	1.7		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:40	SXC	11/22/19 11:56	SRT	J1
Sodium, Total	14.1		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/21/19 17:40	SXC	11/22/19 11:56	SRT	J1
FIELD PARAMETERS										
Depth to Water Level	18.11		Feet		Field			11/18/19 15:03	BGS	C
Elev Top MW Casing above MSL	516.52		Feet		Field			11/18/19 15:03	BGS	C
Flow Rate	1.95		gal/min		Field			11/18/19 15:03	BGS	C
Ground Water Elevation	498.41		ft/MSL		Field			11/18/19 15:03	BGS	C
pH, Field (SM4500B)	5.82		pH_Units		Field			11/18/19 15:03	BGS	C
Sample Depth	79.00		Feet		Field			11/18/19 15:03	BGS	C
Specific Conductance, Field	351		umhos/cm	1	Field			11/18/19 15:03	BGS	C
Temperature	11.84		Deg. C		Field			11/18/19 15:03	BGS	C
Total Well Depth	100.00		Feet		Field			11/18/19 15:03	BGS	C
Volume in Water Column	120.38		Gallons		Field			11/18/19 15:03	BGS	C
Water Level After Purge	28.32		Feet		Field			11/18/19 15:03	BGS	C
Well Volumes Purged	0.97		Vol		Field			11/18/19 15:03	BGS	C



Ms. Susan J Scherer
Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3070614 4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

PARAMETER QUALIFIERS

Lab ID	#	Sample ID	Analytical Method	Analyte
3070614001	1	FFMP015W	S4500HB-11	pH
The pH analysis is an "analyze immediately" analysis. Parameters identified as "analyze immediately" require analysis within 15 minutes of collection, and are therefore analyzed outside of the method holding time when analyzed in the laboratory.				
3070614001	2	FFMP015W	S2540C-11	Total Dissolved Solids
The Method Blank for method S2540C-11 reported a value equal to/greater than the reporting level for the analyte Total Dissolved Solids. The concentration was 25 mg/L.				
3070614001	3	FFMP015W	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Total
The Total Alkalinity is titrated to a pH of 4.5 and reported as mg CaCO3/L.				
3070614002	1	FFMP028W	S4500HB-11	pH
The pH analysis is an "analyze immediately" analysis. Parameters identified as "analyze immediately" require analysis within 15 minutes of collection, and are therefore analyzed outside of the method holding time when analyzed in the laboratory.				
3070614002	2	FFMP028W	S2540C-11	Total Dissolved Solids
The Method Blank for method S2540C-11 reported a value equal to/greater than the reporting level for the analyte Total Dissolved Solids. The concentration was 25 mg/L.				
3070614002	3	FFMP028W	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Total
The Total Alkalinity is titrated to a pH of 4.5 and reported as mg CaCO3/L.				
3070614003	1	FFMP033W	EPA 410.4	Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)
The recovery of the Matrix Spike (MS) associated to this analyte was outside of the established control limits.				
3070614003	2	FFMP033W	S4500HB-11	pH
The pH analysis is an "analyze immediately" analysis. Parameters identified as "analyze immediately" require analysis within 15 minutes of collection, and are therefore analyzed outside of the method holding time when analyzed in the laboratory.				
3070614003	3	FFMP033W	S2540C-11	Total Dissolved Solids
The Method Blank for method S2540C-11 reported a value equal to/greater than the reporting level for the analyte Total Dissolved Solids. The concentration was 25 mg/L.				
3070614003	4	FFMP033W	SW846 8260B	1,1-Dichloroethene
The QC sample type MS for method SW846 8260B was outside the control limits for the analyte 1,1-Dichloroethene. The % Recovery was reported as 142 and the control limits were 63 to 128.				
3070614003	5	FFMP033W	SW846 8260B	1,1-Dichloroethene
The QC sample type MSD for method SW846 8260B was outside the control limits for the analyte 1,1-Dichloroethene. The % Recovery was reported as 130 and the control limits were 63 to 128.				
3070614003	6	FFMP033W	SW846 8260B	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene
The QC sample type MS for method SW846 8260B was outside the control limits for the analyte trans-1,2-Dichloroethene. The % Recovery was reported as 132 and the control limits were 71 to 122.				
3070614003	7	FFMP033W	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Total
The Total Alkalinity is titrated to a pH of 4.5 and reported as mg CaCO3/L.				

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey



ANALYSIS - PREP METHOD CROSS REFERENCE TABLE

Workorder: 3070614 4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID	Sample ID	Analysis Method	Prep Method
3070614001	FFMP015W	D6919-09	
3070614001	FFMP015W	EPA 300.0	
3070614001	FFMP015W	EPA 410.4	
3070614001	FFMP015W	Field	
3070614001	FFMP015W	S2540C-11	
3070614001	FFMP015W	S4500HB-11	
3070614001	FFMP015W	SM2130B-2011	
3070614001	FFMP015W	SM2320B-2011	
3070614001	FFMP015W	SW846 6010C	SW846 3015
3070614001	FFMP015W	SW846 8260B	
3070614001	FFMP015W	SW846 9050A	
3070614001	FFMP015W	SW846 9060A	
3070614001	FFMP015W	SW846 9066	420.4/9066
3070614002	FFMP028W	D6919-09	
3070614002	FFMP028W	EPA 300.0	
3070614002	FFMP028W	EPA 410.4	
3070614002	FFMP028W	Field	
3070614002	FFMP028W	S2540C-11	
3070614002	FFMP028W	S4500HB-11	
3070614002	FFMP028W	SM2130B-2011	
3070614002	FFMP028W	SM2320B-2011	
3070614002	FFMP028W	SW846 6010C	SW846 3015
3070614002	FFMP028W	SW846 8260B	
3070614002	FFMP028W	SW846 9050A	
3070614002	FFMP028W	SW846 9060A	
3070614002	FFMP028W	SW846 9066	420.4/9066
3070614003	FFMP033W	D6919-09	
3070614003	FFMP033W	EPA 300.0	
3070614003	FFMP033W	EPA 410.4	
3070614003	FFMP033W	Field	
3070614003	FFMP033W	S2540C-11	
3070614003	FFMP033W	S4500HB-11	
3070614003	FFMP033W	SM2130B-2011	
3070614003	FFMP033W	SM2320B-2011	
3070614003	FFMP033W	SW846 6010C	SW846 3015
3070614003	FFMP033W	SW846 8260B	
3070614003	FFMP033W	SW846 9050A	
3070614003	FFMP033W	SW846 9060A	
3070614003	FFMP033W	SW846 9066	420.4/9066

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey



December 5, 2019

Mr. Daniel Brown
Lancaster County Solid Waste Authority
1299 Hbg Pike, P.O. Box 4425
Lancaster, PA 17604

Certificate of Analysis

Project Name:	FREY FARM	Workorder:	3071832
Purchase Order:	PO1000126	Workorder ID:	4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Dear Mr. Brown:

Enclosed are the analytical results for samples received by the laboratory on Friday, November 22, 2019.

The ALS Environmental laboratory in Middletown, Pennsylvania is a National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NELAP) accredited laboratory and as such, certifies that all applicable test results meet the requirements of NELAP.

If you have any questions regarding this certificate of analysis, please contact Ms. Susan J Scherer (Project Coordinator) at (717) 944-5541.

Analyses were performed according to our laboratory's NELAP-approved quality assurance program and any applicable state requirements. The test results meet requirements of the current NELAP standards or state requirements, where applicable. For a specific list of accredited analytes, refer to the certifications section of the ALS website at www.alsglobal.com/en/Our-Services/Life-Sciences/Environmental/Downloads.

This laboratory report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of ALS Environmental.

ALS Spring City: 10 Riverside Drive, Spring City, PA 19475 610-948-4903

CC: Mr. Nicholas Rogers , Ms. Jordan Gallagher , Mr. Jeff Musser

This page is included as part of the Analytical Report and must be retained as a permanent record thereof.

Ms. Susan J Scherer
Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey



SAMPLE SUMMARY

Workorder: 3071832 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Date Received	Collected By
3071832001	FFMP016W	Ground Water	11/22/2019 14:00	11/22/2019 17:24	Mr. Brian G Shade

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife **United States:** Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York **Mexico:** Monterrey

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Workorder: 3071832 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Notes

- Samples collected by ALS personnel are done so in accordance with the procedures set forth in the ALS Field Sampling Plan (20 - Field Services Sampling Plan).
- All Waste Water analyses comply with methodology requirements of 40 CFR Part 136.
- All Drinking Water analyses comply with methodology requirements of 40 CFR Part 141.
- Unless otherwise noted, all quantitative results for soils are reported on a dry weight basis.
- The Chain of Custody document is included as part of this report.
- All Library Search analytes should be regarded as tentative identifications based on the presumptive evidence of the mass spectra. Concentrations reported are estimated values.
- Parameters identified as "analyze immediately" require analysis within 15 minutes of collection. Any "analyze immediately" parameters not listed under the header "Field Parameters" are performed in the laboratory and are therefore analyzed out of hold time.
- Method references listed on this report beginning with the prefix "S" followed by a method number (such as S2310B-97) refer to methods from "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater".
- For microbiological analyses, the "Prepared" value is the date/time into the incubator and the "Analyzed" value is the date/time out the incubator.
- An Analysis-Prep Method Cross Reference Table is included after Analytical Results & Qualifiers section in this report.

Standard Acronyms/Flags

J	Indicates an estimated value between the Method Detection Limit (MDL) and the Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL) for the analyte
U	Indicates that the analyte was Not Detected (ND)
N	Indicates presumptive evidence of the presence of a compound
MDL	Method Detection Limit
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
RDL	Reporting Detection Limit
ND	Not Detected - indicates that the analyte was Not Detected at the RDL
Cntr	Analysis was performed using this container
RegLmt	Regulatory Limit
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
DUP	Sample Duplicate
%Rec	Percent Recovery
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
LOD	DoD Limit of Detection
LOQ	DoD Limit of Quantitation
DL	DoD Detection Limit
I	Indicates reported value is greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL) but less than the Report Detection Limit (RDL)
(S)	Surrogate Compound
NC	Not Calculated
*	Result outside of QC limits

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North AmericaCanada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071832 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3071832001** Date Collected: 11/22/2019 14:00 Matrix: Ground Water
Sample ID: **FFMP016W** Date Received: 11/22/2019 17:24

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
VOLATILE ORGANICS										
Benzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/27/19 14:55	TMP	G
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/27/19 14:55	TMP	G
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/27/19 14:55	TMP	G
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/27/19 14:55	TMP	G
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/27/19 14:55	TMP	G
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/27/19 14:55	TMP	G
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/27/19 14:55	TMP	G
Ethylbenzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/27/19 14:55	TMP	G
Methylene Chloride	1.1		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/27/19 14:55	TMP	G
Tetrachloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/27/19 14:55	TMP	G
Toluene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/27/19 14:55	TMP	G
Total Xylenes	ND		ug/L	3.0	SW846 8260B			11/27/19 14:55	TMP	G
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/27/19 14:55	TMP	G
Trichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/27/19 14:55	TMP	G
Vinyl Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/27/19 14:55	TMP	G
<i>Surrogate Recoveries</i>	<i>Results</i>	<i>Flag</i>	<i>Units</i>	<i>Limits</i>	<i>Method</i>	<i>Prepared</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Analyzed</i>	<i>By</i>	<i>Cntr</i>
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	115		%	62 - 133	SW846 8260B			11/27/19 14:55	TMP	G
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	92.2		%	79 - 114	SW846 8260B			11/27/19 14:55	TMP	G
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	92.6		%	78 - 116	SW846 8260B			11/27/19 14:55	TMP	G
Toluene-d8 (S)	89.2		%	76 - 127	SW846 8260B			11/27/19 14:55	TMP	G
WET CHEMISTRY										
Alkalinity, Bicarbonate	35		mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/28/19 12:09	MXO	B
Alkalinity, Total	35	2	mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/28/19 12:09	MXO	J
Ammonia-N	0.115		mg/L	0.100	D6919-09			12/3/19 23:50	NJA	A
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	ND		mg/L	15	EPA 410.4			12/2/19 15:55	AK	A
Chloride	68.5		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/23/19 06:47	CHW	B
Fluoride	ND		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/23/19 06:47	CHW	B
Nitrate-N	10.1		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/23/19 06:47	CHW	B
pH	6.37	1	pH_Units		S4500HB-11			11/28/19 12:09	MXO	B
Phenolics	ND		mg/L	0.005	SW846 9066	12/2/19 20:00	VXF	12/4/19 07:20	C_D	F
Specific Conductance	428		umhos/cm	1	SW846 9050A			12/3/19 09:39	MBW	B
Sulfate	26.0		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/23/19 06:47	CHW	B
Total Dissolved Solids	284		mg/L	5	S2540C-11			11/26/19 15:35	D1C	B
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	0.88		mg/L	0.50	SW846 9060A			12/2/19 19:28	PAG	D
Turbidity	0.18		NTU	0.10	SM2130B-2011			11/23/19 05:44	R2B	B

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America


Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071832 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID: **3071832001** Date Collected: 11/22/2019 14:00 Matrix: Ground Water
Sample ID: **FFMP016W** Date Received: 11/22/2019 17:24

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
METALS										
Calcium, Total	29.0		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 18:55	SXC	11/27/19 14:04	SRT	K1
Iron, Total	ND		mg/L	0.067	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 18:55	SXC	11/27/19 14:04	SRT	K1
Magnesium, Total	14.6		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 18:55	SXC	11/27/19 14:04	SRT	K1
Manganese, Total	0.018		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 18:55	SXC	11/27/19 14:04	SRT	K1
Potassium, Total	3.0		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 18:55	SXC	11/27/19 14:04	SRT	K1
Sodium, Total	27.8		mg/L	0.56	SW846 6010C	11/26/19 18:55	SXC	11/27/19 14:04	SRT	K1
FIELD PARAMETERS										
Depth to Water Level	22.67		Feet		Field			11/22/19 14:27	BGS	C
Elev Top MW Casing above MSL	474.60		Feet		Field			11/22/19 14:27	BGS	C
Ground Water Elevation	451.93		ft/MSL		Field			11/22/19 14:27	BGS	C
pH, Field (SM4500B)	5.18		pH_Units		Field			11/22/19 14:27	BGS	C
Sample Depth	135.00		Feet		Field			11/22/19 14:27	BGS	C
Specific Conductance, Field	468		umhos/cm	1	Field			11/22/19 14:27	BGS	C
Temperature	9.57		Deg. C		Field			11/22/19 14:27	BGS	C
Total Well Depth	149.80		Feet		Field			11/22/19 14:27	BGS	C
Volume in Water Column	331.81		Gallons		Field			11/22/19 14:27	BGS	C


Ms. Susan J Scherer
Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3071832 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

PARAMETER QUALIFIERS

Lab ID	#	Sample ID	Analytical Method	Analyte
3071832001	1	FFMP016W	S4500HB-11	pH
The pH analysis is an "analyze immediately" analysis. Parameters identified as "analyze immediately" require analysis within 15 minutes of collection, and are therefore analyzed outside of the method holding time when analyzed in the laboratory.				
3071832001	2	FFMP016W	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Total
The Total Alkalinity is titrated to a pH of 4.5 and reported as mg CaCO3/L.				

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife **United States:** Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York **Mexico:** Monterrey

ANALYSIS - PREP METHOD CROSS REFERENCE TABLE

Workorder: 3071832 4TH QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 19Q

Lab ID	Sample ID	Analysis Method	Prep Method
3071832001	FFMP016W	D6919-09	
3071832001	FFMP016W	EPA 300.0	
3071832001	FFMP016W	EPA 410.4	
3071832001	FFMP016W	Field	
3071832001	FFMP016W	S2540C-11	
3071832001	FFMP016W	S4500HB-11	
3071832001	FFMP016W	SM2130B-2011	
3071832001	FFMP016W	SM2320B-2011	
3071832001	FFMP016W	SW846 6010C	SW846 3015
3071832001	FFMP016W	SW846 8260B	
3071832001	FFMP016W	SW846 9050A	
3071832001	FFMP016W	SW846 9060A	
3071832001	FFMP016W	SW846 9066	420.4/9066

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey




ALS Environmental
 34 Dogwood Lane • Middletown, PA 17057 • Fax: 717.944.5541 • Fax: 717.944.1430
 34 Dogwood Lane • Middletown, PA 17057 • Phone: 717.944.5541 • Fax: 717.944.1430

**CHAIN OF CUSTODY/
 REQUEST FOR ANALYSIS**
**ALL SHADED AREAS MUST BE COMPLETED BY THE CLIENT /
 SAMPLER. INSTRUCTIONS ON THE BACK.**

Client Name: Lancaster County Solid Waste MA
Address: 1299 Harrisburg Pike, P.O. Box 4424
 Lancaster, PA 17604

Contact: Mark Reider
Phone#: (717) 735-0193
Project Name#: Frey Farm Quarterly (GWMP)
Bill To: Lancaster County Solid Waste MA
TAT Normal-Standard TAT is 10-12 business days.
 Rush-Subject to ALS approval and surcharges.
Date Required: _____ **Approved By:** _____
Email? Y N **mirreider@LCSWMA.com**
Fax? Y N **No.: (717) 397-9973**

COC
 ALS



Generated by ALS
 1 of 1
 * 3 0 7 1 8 3 2 *

Container Type
 AG 40 ml HCl
 AN 125 ml H2SO4
 CG 40 ml HCl
 PL 250 ml H2SO4
 PL 500 ml None
 PL 500 ml None

Analyses/Method Requested
 TOC
 VOC - Form 190
 NH3-N, COD
 Sample Depth for AUX Data
 Field Measurements
 Metals: Fe, Mn, Na, Ca, K, Mg
 pH, Cl, SPC, F, SO4, TDS, NO3
 Alkalinity Bicarbonate

Sample Description/Location (as it will appear on the lab report)	Sample Date	Time	Matrix	TOC	VOC - Form 190	Field Measurements	Sample Depth for AUX Data	NH3-N, COD	Metals: Fe, Mn, Na, Ca, K, Mg	pH, Cl, SPC, F, SO4, TDS, NO3	Alkalinity Bicarbonate
1. FFMP016W	11/22/19	1400	G GW	2	1	X	X	1	1	1	1
2					3	3					
3											
4											
5											
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											

Enter Number of Containers Per Sample or Field Results Below.

LOGGED BY (signature):	REVIEWED BY (signature):	Date	Time
		11/22/19	1729

Project Comments:
 Requisitioned By / Company Name: ALS
 Received By / Company Name: Taylor
 Date: 11/22/19
 Time: 1729

ALS Field Services: Pickup Labor
 Composite_Sampling Rental_Equipment
 Other:

Special Processing: USACE Navy
 Standard CLP-like USACE
 Reportable to PADEP? Yes No
 PWSID # _____
 EDDS: Format Type: _____



301 Fulling Mill Road
 Middletown, PA 17057
 P: (717) 944-5541
 F: (717) 944-1430

Condition of Sample Receipt Form

Client: LCSWMA Work Order #: 3071832 Initials: TS Date: 11-22-19

- | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------|-----------|
| 1. Were airbills / tracking numbers present and recorded?..... | <u>NONE</u> | YES | NO |
| Tracking number: _____ | | | |
| 2. Are Custody Seals on shipping containers intact?..... | <u>NONE</u> | YES | NO |
| 3. Are Custody Seals on sample containers intact?..... | <u>NONE</u> | YES | NO |
| 4. Is there a COC (Chain-of-Custody) present?..... | | <u>YES</u> | NO |
| 5. Are the COC and bottle labels complete, legible and in agreement?..... | | YES | NO |
| 5a. Does the COC contain sample locations?..... | | YES | NO |
| 5b. Does the COC contain date and time of sample collection for all samples?..... | | YES | NO |
| 5c. Does the COC contain sample collectors name?..... | | YES | NO |
| 5d. Does the COC note the type(s) of preservation for all bottles?..... | | YES | NO |
| 5e. Does the COC note the number of bottles submitted for each sample?..... | | YES | NO |
| 5f. Does the COC note the type of sample, composite or grab?..... | | YES | NO |
| 5g. Does the COC note the matrix of the sample(s)?..... | | YES | NO |
| 6. Are all aqueous samples requiring preservation preserved correctly?..... | N/A | <u>YES</u> | NO |
| 7. Were all samples placed in the proper containers for the requested analyses, with sufficient volume?..... | | <u>YES</u> | NO |
| 8. Are all samples within holding times for the requested analyses?..... | | <u>YES</u> | NO |
| 9. Were all sample containers received intact and headspace free when required? (not broken, leaking, frozen, etc.)..... | | <u>YES</u> | NO |
| 10. Did we receive trip blanks (applies only for methods EPA 504, EPA 524.2 and 1631E (LL Hg)?..... | <u>N/A</u> | YES | NO |
| 11. Were the samples received on ice?..... | | <u>YES</u> | NO |
| 12. Were sample temperatures measured at 0.0-6.0°C..... | | <u>YES</u> | NO |
| 13. Are the samples DW matrix ? If YES, fill out Reportable Drinking Water questions below..... | | YES | <u>NO</u> |
| 13a. Are the samples required for SDWA compliance reporting?..... | <u>N/A</u> | YES | NO |
| 13b. Did the client provide a SDWA PWS ID#?..... | <u>N/A</u> | YES | NO |
| 13c. Are all aqueous unpreserved SDWA samples pH 5-9?..... | <u>N/A</u> | YES | NO |
| 13d. Did the client provide the SDWA sample location ID/Description?..... | <u>N/A</u> | YES | NO |
| 13e. Did the client provide the SDWA sample type (D, E, R, C, P, S)?..... | <u>N/A</u> | YES | NO |

Cooler #: 1
 Temperature (°C): 0
 Thermometer ID: 525
 Radiological (µCi): _____

COMMENTS (Required for all NO responses above and any sample non-conformance):



I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP034W

Sample Date 11/18/2019

FORM 8**1. Inorganics (Enter all data in mg/l except as noted)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
AMMONIA-NITROGEN	0.1 ND	ASTM D6919-03
BICARBONATE ALKALINITY	37	SM20 2321
CALCIUM, TOTAL	43.3	EPA 200.7
CALCIUM, DISSOLVED	46.3	EPA 200.7
COD (CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND)	15 ND	EPA 410.4
CHLORIDE	124	EPA 300
FLUORIDE	0.2 ND	EPA 300
IRON, TOTAL	1500	EPA 200.7
IRON, DISSOLVED	1000	EPA 200.7
MAGNESIUM, TOTAL	17.6	EPA 200.7
MAGNESIUM, DISSOLVED	18.5	EPA 200.7
MANGANESE, TOTAL	86	EPA 200.7
MANGANESE, DISSOLVED	96	EPA 200.7
NITRATE-NITROGEN	9.5	EPA 300
pH-FIELD	5.65	FIELD
pH-LAB	6.09	EPA 150.1
POTASSIUM, TOTAL	2.3	EPA 200.7
POTASSIUM, DISSOLVED	2.3	EPA 200.7
SODIUM, TOTAL	33.3	EPA 200.7
SODIUM, DISSOLVED	33.7	EPA 200.7
SPEC. COND., FIELD	633	FIELD
SPEC. COND., LAB	607	EPA 120.1
SULFATE	32.7	EPA 300
ALKALINITY	37	SM20 2320B
TDS (TOT. DISSOLVED SOLIDS)	482	SM20 2540C
TOC (TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON)	0.93	SM20 5310B
TOTAL PHENOLICS	5 ND	SW846 9066
TURBIDITY	4.6	SM 2130B

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.

I.D. No 101389Monitoring Point No. FFMP034WSample Date 11/18/2019**FORM 8****2. Metals (Enter all data in ug/l)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
ARSENIC, TOTAL	3.3 ND	EPA 200.8
ARSENIC, DISSOLVED	3 ND	EPA 200.8
BARIUM, TOTAL	39	EPA 200.8
BARIUM, DISSOLVED	40	EPA 200.8
CADMIUM, TOTAL	1.1 ND	EPA 200.8
CADMIUM, DISSOLVED	1.1 ND	EPA 200.8
CHROMIUM, TOTAL	2.2 ND	EPA 200.8
CHROMIUM, DISSOLVED	2.2 ND	EPA 200.8
COPPER, TOTAL	5.6 ND	EPA 200.8
COPPER, DISSOLVED	5.6 ND	EPA 200.8
LEAD-FLAMELESS, TOTAL	2.2 ND	EPA 200.8
LEAD, DISSOLVED	2.2 ND	EPA 200.8
MERCURY, TOTAL	0.5 ND	EPA 200.8
MERCURY, DISSOLVED	0.5 ND	EPA 200.8
SELENIUM, TOTAL	5.6 ND	EPA 200.8
SELENIUM, DISSOLVED	5.6 ND	EPA 200.8
SILVER, TOTAL	2.2 ND	EPA 200.8
SILVER, DISSOLVED	2.2 ND	EPA 200.8
ZINC, TOTAL	5.6 ND	EPA 200.8
ZINC, DISSOLVED	5.6 ND	EPA 200.8

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP034W

Sample Date 11/18/2019

FORM 8**3. Organics (Enter all data in ug/l)**

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
BENZENE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
BROMOFORM	1 ND	EPA 524.2
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
CHLORO BENZENE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
CHLOROETHANE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
3-CHLORO-1-PROPENE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
1,2-DIBROMOETHANE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
1,2-DICHLORO BENZENE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
1,3-DICHLORO BENZENE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
1,4-DICHLORO BENZENE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
CIS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
TRANS 1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
CIS 1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
TRANS 1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
ETHYLBENZENE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
BROMOMETHANE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
CHLOROMETHANE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
METHYLENE CHLORIDE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
2-BUTANONE (MEK)	10 ND	EPA 524.2
1,1,1,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
TETRACHLOROETHENE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
TOLUENE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
TRICHLOROETHENE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE	2 ND	EPA 524.2
VINYL CHLORIDE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
XYLENES (TOTAL)	3 ND	EPA 524.2
4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE	5 ND	EPA 524.2

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.

I.D. No 101389

Monitoring Point No. FFMP034W

Sample Date 11/18/2019

FORM 8**QUARTERLY AND ANNUAL WATER QUALITY ANALYSES**

SUBTITLE D - Add-On List - For Detection Zone Analytes (mg/l). When the MCLs (where established) of any analyte is exceeded in the detection zone (e.g. established cells) Form 50 monitoring, the following analytes must be monitored during the baseline groundwater analyses .

ORGANICS AND METALS

ANALYTE	VALUE^T	ANALYSIS METHOD NUMBER
ACETONE	10 ND	EPA 524.2
ACRYLONITRILE	5 ND	EPA 524.2
BROMOCHLOROMETHANE (CHLOROBRO	1 ND	EPA 524.2
BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
CARBON DISULFIDE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
CHLOROFORM	1 ND	EPA 524.2
1,2-DIBROMO-3-CHLOROPROPANE	7 ND	EPA 524.2
TRANS 1,4-DICHLORO-2-BUTENE	3 ND	EPA 524.2
2-HEXANONE	5 ND	EPA 524.2
DIBROMOMETHANE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
IODOMETHANE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
STYRENE	1 ND	EPA 524.2
VINYL ACETATE	5 ND	EPA 524.2
ANTIMONY	2.2 ND	EPA 200.8
BERYLLIUM	1.1 ND	EPA 200.8
COBALT	5.6 ND	SW846 6010B
NICKEL	5.6 ND	SW846 6010B
THALLIUM	1.1 ND	EPA 200.8
VANADIUM	2.2 ND	SW846 6010B

T Please indicate detection limit if analyte is not detected.



December 3, 2019

Mr. Daniel Brown
Lancaster County Solid Waste Authority
1299 Hbg Pike, P.O. Box 4425
Lancaster, PA 17604

Certificate of Analysis

Revised Report - 12/3/2019 4:56:26 PM - See workorder comment section for explanation

Project Name:	FREY FARM	Workorder:	3070615
Purchase Order:	PO1000126	Workorder ID:	4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 8

Dear Mr. Brown:

Enclosed are the analytical results for samples received by the laboratory on Monday, November 18, 2019.

The ALS Environmental laboratory in Middletown, Pennsylvania is a National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NELAP) accredited laboratory and as such, certifies that all applicable test results meet the requirements of NELAP.

If you have any questions regarding this certificate of analysis, please contact Ms. Susan J Scherer (Project Coordinator) at (717) 944-5541.


Analyses were performed according to our laboratory's NELAP-approved quality assurance program and any applicable state requirements. The test results meet requirements of the current NELAP standards or state requirements, where applicable. For a specific list of accredited analytes, refer to the certifications section of the ALS website at www.alsglobal.com/en/Our-Services/Life-Sciences/Environmental/Downloads.

This laboratory report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of ALS Environmental.

ALS Spring City: 10 Riverside Drive, Spring City, PA 19475 610-948-4903

CC: Mr. Nicholas Rogers , Ms. Jordan Gallagher , Mr. Jeff Musser

This page is included as part of the Analytical Report and must be retained as a permanent record thereof.


Ms. Susan J Scherer
Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey



SAMPLE SUMMARY

Workorder: 3070615 4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 8

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Date Received	Collected By
3070615001	FFMP034W	Ground Water	11/18/2019 12:33	11/18/2019 16:23	Mr. Brian G Shade

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife **United States:** Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York **Mexico:** Monterrey

SAMPLE SUMMARY

Workorder: 3070615 4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 8

Notes

- Samples collected by ALS personnel are done so in accordance with the procedures set forth in the ALS Field Sampling Plan (20 - Field Services Sampling Plan).
- All Waste Water analyses comply with methodology requirements of 40 CFR Part 136.
- All Drinking Water analyses comply with methodology requirements of 40 CFR Part 141.
- Unless otherwise noted, all quantitative results for soils are reported on a dry weight basis.
- The Chain of Custody document is included as part of this report.
- All Library Search analytes should be regarded as tentative identifications based on the presumptive evidence of the mass spectra. Concentrations reported are estimated values.
- Parameters identified as "analyze immediately" require analysis within 15 minutes of collection. Any "analyze immediately" parameters not listed under the header "Field Parameters" are performed in the laboratory and are therefore analyzed out of hold time.
- Method references listed on this report beginning with the prefix "S" followed by a method number (such as S2310B-97) refer to methods from "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater".
- For microbiological analyses, the "Prepared" value is the date/time into the incubator and the "Analyzed" value is the date/time out the incubator.
- An Analysis-Prep Method Cross Reference Table is included after Analytical Results & Qualifiers section in this report.

Standard Acronyms/Flags

J	Indicates an estimated value between the Method Detection Limit (MDL) and the Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL) for the analyte
U	Indicates that the analyte was Not Detected (ND)
N	Indicates presumptive evidence of the presence of a compound
MDL	Method Detection Limit
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
RDL	Reporting Detection Limit
ND	Not Detected - indicates that the analyte was Not Detected at the RDL
Cntr	Analysis was performed using this container
RegLmt	Regulatory Limit
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
MS	Matrix Spike
MSD	Matrix Spike Duplicate
DUP	Sample Duplicate
%Rec	Percent Recovery
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
LOD	DoD Limit of Detection
LOQ	DoD Limit of Quantitation
DL	DoD Detection Limit
I	Indicates reported value is greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL) but less than the Report Detection Limit (RDL)
(S)	Surrogate Compound
NC	Not Calculated
*	Result outside of QC limits

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North AmericaCanada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey



PROJECT SUMMARY

Workorder: 3070615 4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 8

Workorder Comments

This certificate of analysis was modified to include the analytical results and chain of custody attachment. SJS 12/03/19

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife **United States:** Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York **Mexico:** Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3070615 4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 8

 Lab ID: **3070615001** Date Collected: 11/18/2019 12:33 Matrix: Ground Water
 Sample ID: **FFMP034W** Date Received: 11/18/2019 16:23

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared By	Analyzed By	Cntr
VOLATILE ORGANICS								
Acetone	ND		ug/L	10.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
Acrylonitrile	ND		ug/L	5.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
Benzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
Bromochloromethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
Bromodichloromethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
Bromoform	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
Bromomethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
2-Butanone	ND		ug/L	10.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
Carbon Disulfide	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
Chlorobenzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
Chlorodibromomethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
Chloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
Chloroform	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
Chloromethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
3-Chloro-1-propene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND		ug/L	7.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
Dibromomethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	ND		ug/L	3.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
Ethylbenzene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
2-Hexanone	ND		ug/L	5.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
Iodomethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone(MIBK)	ND		ug/L	5.0	SW846 8260B		11/21/19 02:07 PDK	J

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

 Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3070615 4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 8

Lab ID: **3070615001** Date Collected: 11/18/2019 12:33 Matrix: Ground Water
Sample ID: **FFMP034W** Date Received: 11/18/2019 16:23

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
Methylene Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:07	PDK	J
Styrene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:07	PDK	J
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:07	PDK	J
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:07	PDK	J
Tetrachloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:07	PDK	J
Toluene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:07	PDK	J
Total Xylenes	ND		ug/L	3.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:07	PDK	J
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:07	PDK	J
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:07	PDK	J
Trichloroethene	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:07	PDK	J
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:07	PDK	J
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND		ug/L	2.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:07	PDK	J
Vinyl Acetate	ND		ug/L	5.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:07	PDK	J
Vinyl Chloride	ND		ug/L	1.0	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:07	PDK	J

Surrogate Recoveries	Results	Flag	Units	Limits	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S)	115		%	62 - 133	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:07	PDK	J
4-Bromofluorobenzene (S)	112		%	79 - 114	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:07	PDK	J
Dibromofluoromethane (S)	99.3		%	78 - 116	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:07	PDK	J
Toluene-d8 (S)	110		%	76 - 127	SW846 8260B			11/21/19 02:07	PDK	J

LIBRARY SEARCH - VOLATILES

No TIC's Detected . Lib Search VOC 11/21/19 02:07 CPK J

WET CHEMISTRY

Alkalinity, Bicarbonate	37		mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/24/19 02:51	MXO	B
Alkalinity, Total	37	1	mg/L	5	SM2320B-2011			11/24/19 02:51	MXO	A
Ammonia-N	ND		mg/L	0.100	D6919-09			11/26/19 14:10	NJA	C
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	ND		mg/L	15	EPA 410.4			11/26/19 16:48	AK	C
Chloride	124		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/19/19 16:37	CHW	B
Fluoride	ND		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/19/19 16:37	CHW	B
Nitrate-N	9.5		mg/L	0.20	EPA 300.0			11/19/19 16:37	CHW	B
pH	6.09	2	pH_Units		S4500HB-11			11/24/19 02:51	MXO	B
Phenolics	ND		mg/L	0.005	SW846 9066	11/19/19 14:45	C_D	11/20/19 06:11	C_D	I
Specific Conductance	607		umhos/cm	1	SM2510B-2011			11/27/19 03:54	MBW	B
Sulfate	32.7		mg/L	2.0	EPA 300.0			11/19/19 16:37	CHW	B
Total Dissolved Solids	482	3	mg/L	25	S2540C-11			11/20/19 16:10	D1C	B
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	0.93		mg/L	0.50	SM5310B-2011			11/21/19 09:06	PAG	G
Turbidity	4.60		NTU	0.10	SM2130B-2011			11/19/19 09:15	R2B	B

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3070615 4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 8

Lab ID: 3070615001	Date Collected: 11/18/2019 12:33	Matrix: Ground Water
Sample ID: FFMP034W	Date Received: 11/18/2019 16:23	

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared	By	Analyzed	By	Cntr
METALS										
Antimony, Total	ND		mg/L	0.0022	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 21:05	SXC	11/26/19 07:48	MSA	E1
Arsenic, Total	ND		mg/L	0.0033	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 21:05	SXC	11/26/19 07:48	MSA	E1
Arsenic, Dissolved	ND		mg/L	0.0030	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 20:00	SXC	11/26/19 16:56	MO	D1
Barium, Total	0.039		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 21:05	SXC	11/26/19 07:48	MSA	E1
Barium, Dissolved	0.040		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 20:00	SXC	11/26/19 16:56	MO	D1
Beryllium, Total	ND		mg/L	0.0011	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 21:05	SXC	11/26/19 07:48	MSA	E1
Cadmium, Total	ND		mg/L	0.0011	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 21:05	SXC	11/26/19 07:48	MSA	E1
Cadmium, Dissolved	ND		mg/L	0.0011	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 20:00	SXC	11/26/19 16:56	MO	D1
Calcium, Total	43.3		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 21:05	SXC	11/26/19 07:48	MSA	E1
Calcium, Dissolved	46.3		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 20:00	SXC	11/26/19 16:56	MO	D1
Chromium, Total	ND		mg/L	0.0022	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 21:05	SXC	11/26/19 07:48	MSA	E1
Chromium, Dissolved	ND		mg/L	0.0022	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 20:00	SXC	11/26/19 16:56	MO	D1
Cobalt, Total	ND		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 21:05	SXC	11/26/19 07:48	MSA	E1
Copper, Total	ND		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 21:05	SXC	11/26/19 07:48	MSA	E1
Copper, Dissolved	ND		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 20:00	SXC	11/26/19 16:56	MO	D1
Iron, Total	1.5		mg/L	0.056	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 21:05	SXC	11/26/19 07:48	MSA	E1
Iron, Dissolved	1.0		mg/L	0.056	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 20:00	SXC	11/26/19 16:56	MO	D1
Lead, Total	ND		mg/L	0.0022	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 21:05	SXC	11/26/19 07:48	MSA	E1
Lead, Dissolved	ND		mg/L	0.0022	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 20:00	SXC	11/26/19 16:56	MO	D1
Magnesium, Total	17.6		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 21:05	SXC	11/26/19 07:48	MSA	E1
Magnesium, Dissolved	18.5		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 20:00	SXC	11/26/19 16:56	MO	D1
Manganese, Total	0.086		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 21:05	SXC	11/26/19 07:48	MSA	E1
Manganese, Dissolved	0.096		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 20:00	SXC	11/26/19 16:56	MO	D1
Mercury, Total	ND		mg/L	0.00050	SW846 7470A	11/21/19 11:50	AHI	11/21/19 16:33	AHI	E
Mercury, Dissolved	ND		mg/L	0.00050	SW846 7470A	11/26/19 10:15	AHI	11/26/19 16:15	AHI	D
Nickel, Total	ND		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 21:05	SXC	11/26/19 07:48	MSA	E1
Potassium, Total	2.3		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 21:05	SXC	11/26/19 07:48	MSA	E1
Potassium, Dissolved	2.3		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 20:00	SXC	11/26/19 16:56	MO	D1
Selenium, Total	ND		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 21:05	SXC	11/26/19 07:48	MSA	E1
Selenium, Dissolved	ND		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 20:00	SXC	11/26/19 16:56	MO	D1
Silver, Total	ND		mg/L	0.0022	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 21:05	SXC	11/26/19 07:48	MSA	E1
Silver, Dissolved	ND		mg/L	0.0022	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 20:00	SXC	11/26/19 16:56	MO	D1
Sodium, Total	33.3	4,5	mg/L	0.11	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 21:05	SXC	11/26/19 07:48	MSA	E1
Sodium, Dissolved	33.7		mg/L	0.11	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 20:00	SXC	11/26/19 16:56	MO	D1
Thallium, Total	ND		mg/L	0.0011	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 21:05	SXC	11/26/19 07:48	MSA	E1
Vanadium, Total	ND		mg/L	0.0022	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 21:05	SXC	11/26/19 07:48	MSA	E1
Zinc, Total	ND		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 21:05	SXC	11/26/19 07:48	MSA	E1

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America


Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife
 United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York
 Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3070615 4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 8

Lab ID: **3070615001** Date Collected: 11/18/2019 12:33 Matrix: Ground Water
 Sample ID: **FFMP034W** Date Received: 11/18/2019 16:23

Parameters	Results	Flag	Units	RDL	Method	Prepared By	Analyzed By	Cntr
Zinc, Dissolved	ND		mg/L	0.0056	SW846 6020A	11/21/19 20:00 SXC	11/26/19 16:56 MO	D1
FIELD PARAMETERS								
Depth to Water Level	10.63		Feet		Field		11/18/19 12:33 BGS	F
Elev Top MW Casing above MSL	472.88		Feet		Field		11/18/19 12:33 BGS	F
Flow Rate	1.88		gal/min		Field		11/18/19 12:33 BGS	F
Ground Water Elevation	462.25		ft/MSL		Field		11/18/19 12:33 BGS	F
pH, Field (SM4500B)	5.65		pH_Units		Field		11/18/19 12:33 BGS	F
Sample Depth	25.85		Feet		Field		11/18/19 12:33 BGS	F
Specific Conductance, Field	633		umhos/cm	1	Field		11/18/19 12:33 BGS	F
Temperature	11.28		Deg. C		Field		11/18/19 12:33 BGS	F
Total Well Depth	121.00		Feet		Field		11/18/19 12:33 BGS	F
Volume in Water Column	162.24		Gallons		Field		11/18/19 12:33 BGS	F
Water Level After Purge	11.54		Feet		Field		11/18/19 12:33 BGS	F
Well Volumes Purged	1.00		Vol		Field		11/18/19 12:33 BGS	F



Ms. Susan J Scherer
 Project Coordinator

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Workorder: 3070615 4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 8

PARAMETER QUALIFIERS

Lab ID	#	Sample ID	Analytical Method	Analyte
3070615001	1	FFMP034W	SM2320B-2011	Alkalinity, Total
The Total Alkalinity is titrated to a pH of 4.5 and reported as mg CaCO3/L.				
3070615001	2	FFMP034W	S4500HB-11	pH
The pH analysis is an "analyze immediately" analysis. Parameters identified as "analyze immediately" require analysis within 15 minutes of collection, and are therefore analyzed outside of the method holding time when analyzed in the laboratory.				
3070615001	3	FFMP034W	S2540C-11	Total Dissolved Solids
The Method Blank for method S2540C-11 reported a value equal to/greater than the reporting level for the analyte Total Dissolved Solids. The concentration was 25 mg/L.				
3070615001	4	FFMP034W	SW846 6020A	Sodium, Total
One of the bracketing Low Level CCVs associated with this sample was recovered outside the required range for sodium, biased high. The concentration of sodium in the sample was greater than the concentration of the CCV. The sample was reported with a comment. MO 11-26-19				
3070615001	5	FFMP034W	SW846 6020A	Sodium, Total
One of the bracketing calibration blanks associated with this sample was positive for sodium. The sample sodium concentration was ten times greater than the concentration in the blank. For this reason, the sample was reported with a comment. MO 11-26-19				

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
 Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey



ANALYSIS - PREP METHOD CROSS REFERENCE TABLE

Workorder: 3070615 4th QTR 2019 GWMP-FORM 8

Lab ID	Sample ID	Analysis Method	Prep Method
3070615001	FFMP034W	D6919-09	
3070615001	FFMP034W	EPA 300.0	
3070615001	FFMP034W	EPA 410.4	
3070615001	FFMP034W	Field	
3070615001	FFMP034W	Lib Search VOC	
3070615001	FFMP034W	S2540C-11	
3070615001	FFMP034W	S4500HB-11	
3070615001	FFMP034W	SM2130B-2011	
3070615001	FFMP034W	SM2320B-2011	
3070615001	FFMP034W	SM2510B-2011	
3070615001	FFMP034W	SM5310B-2011	
3070615001	FFMP034W	SW846 6020A	SW846 3015
3070615001	FFMP034W	SW846 7470A	SW846 7470A
3070615001	FFMP034W	SW846 8260B	
3070615001	FFMP034W	SW846 9066	420.4/9066

ALS Environmental Laboratory Locations Across North America

Canada: Burlington · Calgary · Centre of Excellence · Edmonton · Fort McMurray · Fort St. John · Grande Prairie · London · Mississauga · Richmond Hill · Saskatoon · Thunder Bay
Vancouver Waterloo · Winnipeg · Yellowknife United States: Cincinnati · Everett · Fort Collins · Holland · Houston · Middletown · Salt Lake City · Spring City · York Mexico: Monterrey



301 Fulling Mill Road
Middletown, PA 17057

P: (717) 944-5541

F: (717) 944-1430

Condition of Sample Receipt Form

Client: Lancaster County SWMA Work Order #: 3070615 Initials: GD Date: 11/18/18

1. Were airbills / tracking numbers present and recorded?..... NONE YES NO
Tracking number: _____
2. Are Custody Seals on shipping containers intact?..... NONE YES NO
3. Are Custody Seals on sample containers intact?..... NONE YES NO
4. Is there a COC (Chain-of-Custody) present?..... YES NO
5. Are the COC and bottle labels complete, legible and in agreement?..... YES NO
 - 5a. Does the COC contain sample locations?..... YES NO
 - 5b. Does the COC contain date and time of sample collection for all samples?..... YES NO
 - 5c. Does the COC contain sample collectors name?..... YES NO
 - 5d. Does the COC note the type(s) of preservation for all bottles?..... YES NO
 - 5e. Does the COC note the number of bottles submitted for each sample?..... YES NO
 - 5f. Does the COC note the type of sample, composite or grab?..... YES NO
 - 5g. Does the COC note the matrix of the sample(s)?..... YES NO
6. Are all aqueous samples requiring preservation preserved correctly?..... N/A YES NO
7. Were all samples placed in the proper containers for the requested analyses, with sufficient volume?..... YES NO
8. Are all samples within holding times for the requested analyses?..... PH is out of Hold YES NO
9. Were all sample containers received intact and headspace free when required? (not broken, leaking, frozen, etc.)..... YES NO
10. Did we receive trip blanks (applies only for methods EPA 504, EPA 524.2 and 1631E (LL Hg)?..... N/A YES NO
11. Were the samples received on ice?..... YES NO
12. Were sample temperatures measured at 0.0-6.0°C..... YES NO
13. Are the samples DW matrix? If YES, fill out Reportable Drinking Water questions below..... YES NO
 - 13a. Are the samples required for SDWA compliance reporting?..... N/A YES NO
 - 13b. Did the client provide a SDWA PWS ID#?..... N/A YES NO
 - 13c. Are all aqueous unpreserved SDWA samples pH 5-9?..... GD N/A YES NO
 - 13d. Did the client provide the SDWA sample location ID/Description?..... 11/18 N/A YES NO
 - 13e. Did the client provide the SDWA sample type (D, E, R, C, P, S)?..... N/A YES NO

Cooler #: _____

Temperature (°C): 4 _____

Thermometer ID: 318 _____

Radiological (µCi): _____

COMMENTS (Required for all NO responses above and any sample non-conformance):

Rev. 4/29/2019